Aortobronchial fistula post coronary angiography, first reported case in the literature

Percutaneous coronary intervention is a common procedure with well documented complications in the medical literature. Aortobronchial fistulas are a rare entity that requires vigilance to diagnose and prompt treatment. In this article we report on a previously unrecognized complication of percutaneous coronary intervention an aortic pseudoaneurysm, further complicated by infection of the aneurysmal sac and subsequently causing an aortobronchial fistula.
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Introduction

Aortobronchial fistula (ABF) is a communication between the thoracic aorta and the adjacent pulmonary parenchyma or tracheobronchial tree. It is a rare, life-threatening condition that can develop after thoracic aortic interventions. The most common reported causes of ABF in the literature are aortic aneurysms, pseudoaneurysms, traumatic thoracic aorta injuries, penetrating aortic ulcers, and previous thoracic aortic surgery [1]. The diagnosis should be considered in any patient with minor or major hemoptysis and prior history of thoracic aortic operations [2,3].

Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) is one of the most common medical procedures, with a 140,905 PCIs performed across Canada by 30 cardiac care centers (excluding Quebec) from fiscal years 2013–2014 to 2015–2016 [4]. The most serious complications associated with PCIs include procedural and periprocedural myocardial infarction, stroke, and catheter related vascular complications [5].

To our knowledge, this is the first case of iatrogenic aortic pseudoaneurysm formation post PCI, with subsequent ABF formation due to infection of the aneurysmal sac ’ ’ mycotic aortic aneurysm’’.

Case Presentation

A 62-year-old woman self-presented to a local tertiary care center emergency department in February 2020. The patient was known to have diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. In late December 2019, she had undergone therapeutic coronary angiography, for a myocardial infarction, using a femoral insertion site with placement of a single bare metal stent to her right circumflex artery. The procedure was reported to be uneventful and the patient was discharged four days later with a documented ejection fraction of 35%.

On assessment in the emergency department, the patient was hypoxic on 4 L of oxygen, but not in acute distress. Respiratory auscultation demonstrated crackles in the bases of both lungs. There were no identifiable murmurs. Systemic examination was unremarkable, as was the patient’s chest radiography. The initial laboratory investigations were significant for an acute kidney injury, and a drop from the last documented hemoglobin by 30%.
The patient underwent a computed tomography (CT) of the chest with an aortic phase protocol with the primary concern of an aortic dissection. The CT demonstrated a left para-aortic mass with multiple areas of enhancement, suggestive of a pseudoaneurysm and contained rupture (Figure 1).

Both vascular surgery and cardiothoracic surgery services were asked to evaluate the patient. They agreed that the most likely cause of the patient’s pseudoaneurysm was an iatrogenic injury during recent coronary angiography, yet believed it was less likely that the aneurism itself was infected at presentation.

The patient was admitted and urgently underwent successful thoracic endovascular graft repair (TEVAR) using a Medtronic (Valiant™ thoracic stent graft with the Captivia ™) uneventfully, without consideration for eventual open repair. The patient was transferred to the floor in a stable condition (Figure 2). During her stay, the patient continued to demonstrate fever spikes with an associated bacteremia, growing methicillin sensitive staphylococcus aureus (MSSA), despite initial sensitive selective stepdown to cloxacillin. Repeat CT of chest, abdomen, and pelvis, attempting to identify an occult source of infection, demonstrated a well-positioned thoracic stent with no leak and no infected collections, but multiple splenic infarcts were identified. This clinical presentation was suggestive of an endovascular infection, which was likely the cause of the patient’s progression of symptoms after the initial iatrogenic injury.

The patient began to improve clinically, but on the 10th day of admission, she suffered a sudden cardiac arrest of unclear etiology for which she could not be resuscitated. No autopsy was performed.

Discussion

ABFs are thought to be uniformly fatal if not diagnosed and treated [1,3]. The diagnosis should be considered based on a high index of suspicion in the context of a patient with treated thoracic pathology. CT angiography is the diagnostic modality of choice, due its availability and accuracy [6]. Survival has been estimated at 76%, based on earlier findings using an open repair approach [7]. More recent literature, however, establishes TEVAR as the treatment of choice for this condition [8]. Although, there is a concern of persistent infection and the use of endovascular repair, if the cause of the ABF was an infected aneurism [9].

Vascular complications post PCI are not infrequent and include: access site hematomas, retroperitoneal hematomas, pseudoaneurysm of access artery, arteriovenous fistula, and arterial dissection and/or occlusion [10]. Incidence of these complications ranges from 1.5% to 9%, with femoral pseudoaneurysm formation estimated at 3.42% in case series [11,12].

In this case report, the presumed cause of the aneurysmal sac is an iatrogenic injury during the PCI. Another plausible sequence is an intimal injury and seeding of aorta with an infectious organism, causing an infectious arteritis, which could have progressed to an aneurysmal sac and subsequently fistulized to the bronchus. Due to a lack of imaging immediately post procedure it would be impossible to be certain. We note that the reported rate of PCI related persistent bacteremia, of which infectious arteritis is a small subset of, is 0.64% in the literature, suggesting the second possibility as less likely [13].

 Infective, commonly referred to as “mycotic” aortic aneurysms (MAA) are localized, irreversible vascular dilations, caused by weakening and destruction of the vessel wall by an invasive organism resulting in an infective arteritis [14]. Although the exact diagnostic criteria are not well defined in the literature, it is likely a combination of four criteria: clinical presentation, laboratory findings, radiological findings and intraoperative findings [15]. MAA causing an ABF have been documented in up to 23% of patients with established aortic aneurysms. The gold standard for treatment of MAA is surgical resection, debridement and revascularization [16]. Repair using TEVAR has shown favorable outcomes [17].

The relationship of infection of the aorta causing ABF has been well established in the medical literature, with infection of the aortic aneurism or prosthetic grafts cited as being the most significant factor related to mortality in ABF, in a recent review [18]. Multiple infectious organisms have been implicated including gram positive, gram negative, anaerobes, mycobacterium as well fungal species [1,19-21].
In this specific case, the persistent bacteremia post intervention was likely due to an infection of the endograft (TEVAR), a conservative antibiotic only approach was attempted to treat the infection. It is important to note that more recent medical literature has demonstrated a higher mortality rate in cases of infected TEVAR treated conservatively, when compared to surgical intervention

**Learning Objective**

- Aortobronchial fistulas is a rare but critical diagnosis that should be considered in any patient presenting with hemoptysis and a history of previous aortic intervention.
- Vascular complication related to percutaneous coronary interventions is frequent. Most common are access site hematomas, retroperitoneal hematomas, pseudoaneurysm of access artery, arteriovenous fistula, and arterial dissection and/or occlusion.

**Conclusion**

ABF is a rare but critical diagnosis that should be considered in any patient presenting with hemoptysis and a history of previous aortic intervention. In this case report, we discuss a unique cause of aortic injury due to percutaneous coronary angiography and further complicated by another unique and serious disease, an MAA.
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