Use of bisphosphonates in the treatment of pediatric osteoporosis

Bisphosphonates are increasingly prescribed for children with primary and secondary osteoporosis. Despite this, many questions remain as to the optimal agent, and the dose and duration of therapy, particularly considering the unique issues related to the growing skeleton. While much is now understood regarding their use in one of the most debilitating forms of childhood osteoporosis (osteogenesis imperfecta), their role in the treatment of secondary osteoporosis is less clearly defined. The purpose of this review is to highlight the impact of bisphosphonate use on the growing skeleton and to review the current evidence for bisphosphonate administration in primary and secondary childhood osteoporosis. In particular, we discuss the evidence for clinical benefit (including functional outcomes beyond bone mineral density) and review the issues related to known and theoretical side effects. Finally, we provide specific recommendations for the use of bisphosphonates in pediatric osteoporosis, including guidelines for monitoring treatment outcomes. This review has been developed for educational purposes by the Drugs and Therapeutics Committee of the Lawson Wilkins Pediatric Endocrine Society.
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The use of bisphosphonates (BPs) in children has increased over the past few decades, particularly since the description of their beneficial effect among children with one of the most debilitating forms of osteoporosis – moderate and severe osteogenesis imperfecta (OI). In this review, we discuss the mechanism of action of these agents on bone, with particular attention to their unique effects on the growing skeleton. We also summarize the evidence for their use in the most common of the reported clinical contexts: low bone mass and bone fragility (osteoporosis). Finally, we analyze the known risks and benefits of BPs for children with established osteoporosis or risk factors for compromised bone health, and provide recommendations for their administration to children. This review was developed by the Drugs and Therapeutics Committee of the Lawson Wilkins Pediatric Endocrine Society to be of educational value for practitioners. It does not indicate an official policy or guideline of the Society.

Structure of BPs & mechanism of action on bone

The mechanism of action and the pharmacology of BPs have been detailed in a recent review by Russell [1]. In brief, BPs are chemical analogs of pyrophosphate, in which the oxygen atom is replaced by a carbon atom (P-C-P instead of P-O-P) [2]. This substitution confers resistance to hydrolysis in the gastrointestinal tract and to enzymatic cleavage by alkaline phosphatase. By adhering to the bone surface, BPs come into close contact with osteoclasts. The low pH of the osteoclast microenvironment results in the breakdown of hydroxyapatite, release of BPs and their subsequent internalization by osteoclasts where they accumulate in high concentrations, despite less than 1–5% absorption from the gastrointestinal tract [3–5]. The R1 side chain of BPs is usually a hydroxyl group (except for clorine in clodronate), which, along with the phosphate groups, acts as a ‘bone hook’, increasing the affinity for calcium [1,5]. The R2 side chain modifications determine the antiresorptive potency of BPs. In the first generation BPs, such as etidronate and dexamethasone, R2 is a simple alky or halogen group, respectively. Introduction of the nitrogen atom at R2 (pamidronate, alendronate, ibandronate, neridronate and olpadronate), particularly within a heteroaromatic ring (zoledronate and risedronate), increases in vitro antiresorptive potency [6–9]. The half-life and the recommended treatment doses and duration of treatment vary between various classes of BPs. The treatment protocols for pediatric disorders have been recently reviewed by Bachrach and Ward [10].

The mechanisms of BP action on osteoclasts can be conceptually grouped into two categories: physicochemical and cellular, the end result
being apoptosis and/or impairment of cellular functions of osteoclasts (Figure 1) [1]. The first is based on a direct cytotoxic effect of high levels of BPs within the osteoclast and is typical of first-generation non-nitrogen-containing BPs (non-N-BPs). The second involves interaction with the mevalonate pathway, mainly by inhibiting farnesyl diphasphate (FPP) synthase [11,12], which is the main mode of action of nitrogen-containing BPs (N-BPs) [13–16]. Inhibition of FPP leads to reduced prenylation, a post-translational modification involving transfer of isoprenoid lipids. By increasing hydrophobicity, this process promotes the attachment of proteins to cell membranes, as well as protein–protein interactions [17]. Proteins that undergo prenylation include GTP-binding proteins (Ras, Rac, Rab and Rho), which regulate osteoclast function, including cytoskeletal organization, vesicular trafficking, formation of ruffled border and apoptosis [18–20].

**Figure 1. Mechanism of action of bisphosphonates.** (A) Non-nitrogen-containing BPs are metabolized to cytotoxic ATP analogs, which initiate a cascade leading to osteoclast apoptosis. (B) Nitrogen-containing BPs interfere with the mevalonate pathway, mainly by inhibiting FPP synthase. Reduced prenylation of GTP-binding proteins impaires osteoclast function and leads to increased apoptosis. Inhibition of FPP synthase also results in accumulation of isopentenyl diphosphate, which may be metabolized to cytotoxic ATP analogs and directly stimulates apoptosis.

BP: Bisphosphonate; FPP: Farnesyl diphasphate; N-BP: Nitrogen-containing BP; Non-N-BP: Non-nitrogen-containing BP.
Effect of BPs on growing bone

Bisphosphonates have the potential to bring about sizeable changes in bone density and the reshaping of vertebral bodies in children (Figure 2), since BPs interfere with the process by which the pediatric skeleton continually changes in bone size and shape (modeling). The bone tissue effect of BPs therapy on growing bone was first described by Rauch et al. in OI using intravenous (iv.), cyclical pamidronate administered every 2–4 months (total annual dose: 9 mg/kg/year). Through transiliac histomorphometry, the investigators documented that treatment with pamidronate increased cortical thickness by interfering with modeling, whereby skeletal resorption was blunted by BP therapy on endocortical surfaces. On the other hand, osteoblast activity on periosteal surfaces proceeded unfettered, giving rise to a sizeable net increase in the thickness of the internal and external cortices. These observations provide an explanation for the greater response in bone mineral density (BMD) and content (BMC) to BPs seen in children compared with adults.

Rauch et al. further described that the majority of the gains from pamidronate therapy in childhood OI are realized in the first 2–4 years, raising the question as to the optimal duration of therapy in the pediatric setting. In patients with OI, gains in bone mass during therapy are maintained for at least 2 years after therapy has been stopped, although increases in areal spinal BMD lag behind those in healthy controls post-treatment discontinuation. Furthermore, while bone resorption is elevated post-discontinuation compared with treated values, it is incompletely reactivated. The effects of treatment discontinuation are more pronounced in growing patients; for example, the differences between pamidronate-treated high-density bone and treatment-naive (post-discontinuation) bone in growing patients are clearly evident radiologically (Figure 3A & Figure 3B) compared with patients who ceased growth at the time of BP discontinuation (Figure 3C). It is not surprising then, that fractures have been described in OI patients following pamidronate discontinuation, precisely at the interface between the high-density (pamidronate-treated) and low-density (newly formed, pamidronate-naive) bone, raising the question as to the optimal dose as well as the duration of BP therapy.

These observations suggest that patients who have persistent risk factors for compromised bone health (such as a genetic defect such as collagenopathy) and who are still growing following a period of approximately 2–4 years of BP ‘rescue therapy’ (such as 9 mg/kg pamidronate per year, one of the most common dosing regimens in OI) may require treatment that is continued as close to the cessation of linear growth as possible. This is particularly true if threats to bone health (either genetic or acquired) are persistent following treatment discontinuation.
other hand, if the risk factors for osteoporosis (such as glucocorticoid therapy) have resolved at the time of BP discontinuation, there is at least theoretical potential for the creation of new, healthy bone of normal density through endochondral bone formation. This may obviate the need for ongoing therapy, a concept that requires validation through further study.

Evidence for the efficacy of BPs in children with specific disorders

Osteogenesis imperfecta

Bisphosphonates have been widely used in the treatment of moderate-to-severe OI in children, and more recently, less severe forms of OI (defined as two or fewer fractures per year, an absence of vertebral compression fractures and no long bone deformities) [26,27]. The first case report of beneficial effects of BP treatment in OI was published in 1987 [28] and was followed by a number of clinical trials that started in the 1990s. The results of over 30 clinical trials (most of them uncontrolled) conducted between 1997 and 2007 have been previously reviewed [8,29,30]. In these studies, the most commonly used BP was iv. pamidronate, administered at a dose of 0.6–3.75 mg/kg/day over 1–3 days in cycles every 1–6 months, with doses over 1 mg/kg administered every 4–6 months [25,31]. The age of the patients was 0.04–18 years and the duration of follow-up was up to 9 years. The reported therapeutic effects included improvement in bone density (increase in the lumbar spine BMD Z-score of approximately 2.6 or a change in spine BMD of 42–48%), grip strength, vertebral height, cortical thickness, trabecular number (but not trabecular thickness), decreased bone pain (within 1–2 weeks after the first infusion cycle), decreased bone turnover, increased mobility, improved quality of life and decreased fracture rate (by approximately 60% in children younger than 3 years). However, it was noted that the latter is difficult to interpret due to the natural decrease in the frequency of fractures in OI over time [8,25,32–38]. The increase in BMD and cortical thickness is most significant during the first 2 years of treatment, with smaller gains after prolonged treatment [21,39] and negligible gains after 4 years [40]. The factors that affect the response to BP treatment may be multifactorial. Early onset of treatment is particularly beneficial in patients with severe OI to prevent severe skeletal deformities and preserve mobility, but an increase in spine BMD Z-score does not seem to be associated with age at initiation of treatment, duration of treatment or initial spine BMD Z-score [41].

---

**Figure 3. Radiographs of the distal forearm and wrist and corresponding pQCT images.** The bar in the radiograph indicates the approximate site of the pQCT analysis. (A) Findings at the time of pamidronate discontinuation in a 12-year-old girl with osteogenesis imperfecta type IV. The patient received pamidronate cycles every 4 months for 6 years. Each treatment cycle led to the formation of a transverse line in the metaphysis. The BMC Z-score of the radius (the bone on the right side of the cross-sectional image) is +2.7. (B) Same patient as in (A) at 18 months following pamidronate discontinuation. Growth proceeded after treatment discontinuation. The pQCT section now presents bone that formed after the last cycle of pamidronate. The BMC Z-score is -2.7. (C) Man with osteogenesis imperfecta type 1 at 20 years of age, 2.2 years after pamidronate discontinuation. Pamidronate was started at the age of 14 years. The distal radius growth plate fused soon thereafter. Therefore, the metaphyseal lines do not reach the site of the pQCT analysis. Consequently, the BMC Z-score remained negative (-1.1) at the end of the pamidronate treatment. BMC: Bone mineral content; pQCT: Peripheral quantitative computed tomography. Adapted with permission from [24].
Other BPs that have been used in children with OI include: neridronate (iv.), zoledronic acid (iv.), olpadronate (oral) and alendronate (oral). A recent study has suggested that zoledronic acid may be as effective as pamidronate in the treatment of pediatric OI (42). Neridronate infusions have been used in adults with OI (43) and more recently in children (2 mg/kg every 3 months) with a similar result – improvement in BMD and reduced fracture risk, particularly when started right after birth [6,44]. Olpadronate was used in two pediatric studies [45,46]. The latter was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Oral treatment with olpadronate (10 mg/m²/day) resulted in a significant increase in lumbar BMD and a reduced fracture rate. Several small observational studies have evaluated the short-term benefits of oral alendronate (5–10 mg every 1–3 days) in improving BMD in children with OI and demonstrated improvement in collapsed vertebral bodies and mobility [47–51]. The increase in BMD Z-score was greatest in the lumbar spine (on average, an increase of 2.2–2.4 after 2–4 years of treatment) and to a lesser degree in forearm bones [47,50]. A partially randomized, controlled clinical trial that directly compared oral alendronate (1 mg/kg/day) with iv. pamidronate (3 mg/kg over 3 days every 4 months) over a 2-year period found similar effectiveness in terms of improvement in spine BMD (a 2.1 and 1.9 increase in Z-score, respectively), suppression of markers of bone turnover, fracture incidence and growth [48]. However, the largest trial assessing an oral agent (5 or 10 mg/day alendronate), in children with moderate-to-severe OI, showed an increase in lumbar spine BMD and a reduction in resorption parameters, but an absence of effect on clinically relevant parameters such as iliac cortical thickness, vertebral body area, pain and incident fractures [52].

In summary, short-term treatment with BPs has been proven to be beneficial in patients with OI and is becoming the standard of care in the treatment of moderate and severe forms. Proof of efficacy for outcomes including improved bone density, reduced fracture rate, decreased pain and improved quality of life has been provided by recent randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) [6,46,53,54]. The most published evidence showing beneficial effects exists to date for iv. pamidronate. The long-term outcome, which could be measured by the number of orthopedic procedures, decrease in long bone deformities and improvement of scoliosis in patients with OI treated with BPs remains unknown.

### Chronic diseases

#### Systemic illnesses

Interest in the use of BPs for these indications has brought about a variety of approaches to the diagnosis of osteoporosis in children with chronic systemic illness. In children with OI, the diagnosis of their bone disorder is typically evident, due to the presence of physical stigmata that represent the hallmarks of the condition (blue sclerae, triangular facies, short stature, limb deformity and fractures). In children with chronic illness, the physical signs of osteoporosis are less evident, ranging from asymptomatic vertebral compression [53] to painful spine fractures/recurrent extremity fractures [56,57]. In view of the increased awareness of osteoporosis as a complication of chronic illness and the need for specific diagnostic criteria to guide clinicians beyond mere reductions in BMD or BMC, the International Society for Clinical Densitometry recently published a position statement on the matter, defining osteoporosis in childhood as ‘the presence of both clinically significant fracture history and low BMC or BMD’. Low bone mass is defined as BMC or BMD Z-scores matched for age, gender and body size lower than 2 standard deviations (SD) below the mean [58]. The other component of the diagnosis, clinically significant fractures, is represented by at least one low trauma, lower extremity fracture, two or more upper extremity fractures or spontaneous vertebral compression. At the same time, it should be recognized that bone fragility can be present even if the BMC or BMD Z-scores are higher than -2 SD in children with serious illness such as leukemia [59]. Nevertheless, these criteria provide the clinician with a starting ground for identifying children with abnormal bone strength, and thereby considering their need for intervention. Note that in children, asymptomatic reductions in BMC or BMD are not sufficient to render a diagnosis of osteoporosis. On the other hand, vertebral compression is an under-recognized complication of chronic illness, occurring in 16 [58] and 19% [60] of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and rheumatic disorders, respectively.

The first step in treating children with osteoporosis due to systemic illness is to identify and treat modifiable risk factors by quelling the underlying disease, restoring the normal hormonal milieu (such as treating growth hormone and sex steroid deficiencies), treating vitamin D deficiency and rectifying under-/over-weight and physical deconditioning. However, these measures may be insufficient to rescue the child from...
the frankly osteoporotic state. In such cases, intervention with a BP may be considered on compassionate grounds, as suggested in a recent Cochrane Review of BP use in a variety of systemic pediatric illnesses [61]. To date, there have been five RCTs [62–66] plus one follow-up study to an initial RCT [67] and three controlled trials [68–70] of BP use in children with chronic systemic illness. The Cochrane Review [61] showed the most frequent entry criterion for BP trials in children with chronic disease to be asymptomatic reductions in spine BMD. Of the agents studied, including oral alendronate, pamidronate (oral and iv.) and oral clodronate, iv. pamidronate was associated with the most consistent gains in BMC/BMD. There were no serious side effects of the treatment reported. Due to small numbers of patients in either group (<40), there was an inability to assess vertebral or extremity fracture prevention; other functional parameters including back pain and mobility were not evaluated. While admittedly it is extremely challenging to design osteoporosis trials in children that address the prevention of fractures (given the large numbers of children needed to carry out such trials), it is possible to enroll children with true osteoporosis (i.e., with vertebral compression fractures) and to evaluate the evolution of their bone and related morbidity beyond BMD, including muscle (grip) strength, mobility, vertebral morphometry and pain. Since treatment with iv. agents appears most promising in children with chronic illness, future RCTs carried out in as homogenous a patient population as possible with clinically relevant entry criteria (fractures) and end points are warranted.

Neuromuscular Disorders
Musculoskeletal complications that are encountered in several of the neuromuscular and metabolic diseases often represent a major source of morbidity. A better understanding of the fundamental mechanisms of bone pathology in these disorders will lead to a clearer understanding of how to treat the low bone mass seen in these patients, including when the use of BPs for a low bone mass and/or fractures is appropriate. Several studies have been carried out examining BPs as a measure to augment bone density or decrease fractures in children with neuromuscular disease, as discussed in a recent review [71]. Most of this work has been carried out in children with neuromuscular dysfunction and bone loss secondary to cerebral palsy (CP). The main cause of a low bone density in this group of children and adolescents is lack of activity, but nutritional issues and pharmacological treatments can also contribute. Efforts to initiate mechanical stimulation of their bones and to improve nutrition are helpful in these patients.

Cerebral palsy
One of the first double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials in patients with CP explored the safety and efficacy of iv. pamidronate to treat low bone mass in nonambulatory children [72]. Six pairs of subjects were matched for age, sex and race. One member of each pair randomly received plain saline placebo or pamidronate. Drug/placebo was administered intravenously daily for 3 consecutive days, and repeated at 3-month intervals for 1 year. Evaluations were continued for 6 months after the year of treatment. BMD was measured at the distal femur and lumbar spine. At the distal femur, BMD increased by 89 ± 21% (mean ± SEM) over the 18-month study period in the pamidronate group compared with 9 ± 6% in controls. Age-normalized Z-scores also increased in the pamidronate group, but did not change significantly in the control group. The first dosing with pamidronate caused a transient drop in serum calcium that was asymptomatic; no other adverse effects were noted.

Other small, uncontrolled studies have tested the efficacy of iv. pamidronate in increasing BMD among nonambulatory children with CP [73–75] with improvements noted at the spine, femoral neck and/or total body. No serious side effects were noted. Bachrach et al. evaluated the response to pamidronate treatment among nine patients with spastic quadriplegic CP [76]. In keeping with other studies implementing iv. pamidronate in this setting, clinically significant Z-score gains were observed at the spine and distal femur. However, these gains were not maintained in all patients following treatment discontinuation—the mean spine BMD Z-score close to 3 years post-treatment approached the pretreatment value. A total of six of eight patients had distal femur Z-scores that were the same or improved compared with baseline, at approximately 2 years following treatment discontinuation. While most, but not all, gains in BMD were lost over the first 2 years following treatment discontinuation, no patient sustained fractures during or after therapy [76].

Muscular dystrophy
A recent case series of three boys with muscular dystrophy showed a beneficial skeletal effect of once weekly oral alendronate, in addition to calcium and vitamin D supplementation [77].
In another small before—after trial of boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy receiving glucocorticoid therapy, the mean age was 10.8 years (range: 6.9–15.6 years) and each had a known low BMD, alendronate had a positive effect on BMD Z-scores. In a multivariable analysis, improvement in total body and spine Z-scores was associated with younger age at baseline (p = 0.01 for both). These data suggest that the effect of these agents may be greatest when given early in the course of the disease [78].

Idiopathic juvenile osteoporosis
Idiopathic juvenile osteoporosis (IJO) is a term relegated to otherwise healthy children with unexplained low bone mass that is characterized by increased fracture rates [79]. Many pediatric providers are unfamiliar with this condition, owing to difficulty in recognition and since bone fragility in a child generates an extensive differential diagnosis. IJO is a diagnosis of exclusion. Children often present with back or other bone pain, recurrent fractures, or osteopenia on radiographs or dual-energy x-ray absorptometry (DXA) assessments. Trabecular deficits are marked and histomorphometric studies have shown a decreased bone formation rate along the endocortical surface [80,81]. Many of the reports of BP use for IJO include data from heterogeneous case series that include patients with osteoporosis from varying etiologies. One case report using iv. pamidronate every 3 months resulted in dramatic gains (>20%) in BMD and a reduced fracture rate [82]. In another small case series of six patients with IJO, an almost twofold increase in spinal BMD Z-score was observed [83]. A recent case report showed improvement of BMD and reduced fractures upon institution of parenteral clodronate in an 8-year-old boy with severe IJO [84]. Upon discontinuation of therapy, bone pain and fractures recurred within 1 year. Of note is the fact that many cases of IJO resolve spontaneously, making interpretation of clinical outcomes in response to BP therapy difficult to interpret.

Overview of short- & long-term safety issues

Short-term safety
Hypocalcemia
A transient decrease in serum calcium and phosphorus, and a transient increase in parathyroid hormone have been reported in children treated with iv. pamidronate [25,85]. The risk of hypocalcemia can be reduced by maintenance of a normal vitamin D status and ongoing provision of adequate calcium and vitamin D supplementation while on therapy. Munns et al. have advocated for treatment of all children aged 4 years of age and older with 0.25 µg calcitriol twice daily, and 1000 mg elemental calcium twice daily for the first 3 days post-infusion of zoledronic acid [86]. However, the safety and efficacy of this regimen is still under study.

Acute-phase reaction
An acute-phase reaction, including influenza-like symptoms such as low-grade fever, headache, nausea, vomiting, rash, tachycardia, myalgia and bone pain, may occur upon first exposure to iv. and oral BPs. The reaction, occurring in the majority of patients, usually occurs within 48 h of administration and is typically brief, lasting less than 24 h [25,87–90]. These symptoms may be accompanied by a mild reduction in absolute lymphocyte counts [89]. The symptoms have been attributed to release of proinflammatory cytokines by T cells [91,92]. Practitioners frequently administer antipyretic/anti-inflammatory agents to mitigate the side effects resulting from the acute-phase reaction. For pamidronate, the reaction can also be lessened by administering one half the dose for the initial infusion.

Nephrotoxicity
Nephrotoxicity has been reported in adults treated with pamidronate [93,94]. No adverse effect on renal function has been reported in children treated with pamidronate or other BPs, although it would be prudent to use BPs with caution in patients with renal insufficiency, monitoring renal function closely.

Gastrointestinal toxicity
Orally-administered N-BPs may cause esophageal irritation and ulceration [95]. Gastrointestinal toxicity can be avoided by swallowing the tablet with a full glass of water on an empty stomach before breakfast and remaining upright for at least 30 min thereafter.

Ocular toxicity
Anterior uveitis has been reported in patients treated with pamidronate and alendronate [96–98]. Uveitis has also been observed in two out of 215 children treated with pamidronate [29]. Patient outcome following identification of uveitis was not reported.

Atrial fibrillation
In adults, atrial fibrillation (AF) and flutter has been reported in patients receiving both oral alendronate and risedronate, and parenteral
zolendronic acid \[30,99,100\]. One case series from the UK reported reassuring age-adjusted incidence rate ratios for these arrhythmias in individuals during their exposure to oral BPs (\(n = 2195\)) to be 1.07 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.94–1.21). The age-adjusted incidence rate ratios for alendronate (\(n = 1489\)) and risedronate (\(n = 649\)) in exposed individuals were 1.09 (95% CI: 0.93–1.26) and 0.99 (95% CI: 0.78–1.26) respectively. However, in post-hoc analyses, an increased risk of incident AF or flutter was detected for patients during their first few months of alendronate therapy \[100\]. A recent US study examined alendronate ever use in relation to the risk of incident AF in women in a clinical practice setting. They identified 719 women with incident AF and 966 female control subjects without AF who were frequency matched on age, presence or absence of treated hypertension and calendar year. More AF case patients than controls had ever used alendronate (6.5 \([n = 47]\) vs 4.1% \([n = 40]\); \(p = 0.03\)). Compared with never use of any BP, ever use of alendronate was associated with a higher risk of incident AF (odds ratio: 1.86; 95% CI: 1.09–3.15) after adjustment for the matching variables, a diagnosis of osteoporosis and a history of cardiovascular disease. Based on the population- attributable fraction, they estimated that 3% of incident AF in this population might be explained by alendronate use \[99\]. Of note, there have been no reports to date of atrial or other arrhythmias in children receiving either oral or parenteral BPs.

Anaphylactic reactions

There is a theoretical risk of anaphylaxis in response to a parenteral BP. The risk is extremely low, and may be higher in children with rheumatic diseases owing to underlying inflammation \[101\].

Long-term safety

Bisphosphonates are rapidly taken up and deposited in the skeleton. A half-life of more than 10 years has been reported in adults \[102\]. BPs may also be released from the skeleton due to bone turnover \[103\]. Long-term release of BPs has been shown in children who were treated with pamidronate for 4–10 years \[104\]; pamidronate was detectable in the urine up to 8 years after discontinuation of treatment. Incomplete reactivation of resorption was reported 2 years after discontinuation of pamidronate treatment in children \[23\], suggesting continued biologic activity of the drug for at least 2 years after the drug was last given.

Teratogenicity

Despite a known, minimal theoretical risk, there have been no human reports to date of an adverse effect of BPs when administered either preconception or during pregnancy, likely because the amount of BP that is mobilized from the skeleton in subsequent years is clinically insignificant. For example, data from Papapoulos and Cremer shows that 4–10 years after daily oral pamidronate administration to children with osteoporosis, a maximum of \(0.13\) mg/kg/year is excreted in the urine (less than 0.02% of the annual dose) \[104\]. The fact that the amount released from the skeleton is clinically insignificant is supported by numerous human reports of BP administration preconception/during pregnancy and newborn outcome. Recent studies, including a comprehensive literature review on adolescent girls and women who have received BP agents either preconception or during pregnancy, do not show adverse outcomes on the newborn \[105,106\]. In fact, a 2008 report by Levy et al. \[106\] and another by Djokanovic et al. \[105\] have arisen from the Motherisk program at the Toronto Hospital for Sick Children (ON, Canada). In the study by Levy et al. \[106\], 21 women exposed to BPs during or less than 3 months before pregnancy were compared with 21 matched controls; no adverse effects on the mothers or infants were found. This study concluded that: “coupled with the existing data in the literature, our findings suggest that preconceptual and first-trimester use of BPs may not pose substantial fetal risks”. Similarly, the article by Djokanovic et al. \[105\] provides a review of all case reports to December 2008 of women or girls who have received BPs preconception or during pregnancy, and reports an absence of skeletal abnormalities or congenital malformations in the infants. This review concludes: “although in theory BPs may affect bone modeling and development in the fetus, the 51 cases reported to date did not detect such pathology”.

Other reports include those on two pregnancies after exposure to pamidronate shortly before conception, with transient hypocalcemia on day 1 in one of the newborns, but no adverse effect was observed on the skeleton or congenital anomalies that were felt to be attributable to the drug \[107\]. Another case report highlights the course of a 33-year-old female who received zoledronic acid throughout the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, in addition to other chemotherapeutic agents, as the patient was not aware of the pregnancy until the 28th
Fractures after BP discontinuation in growing patients

The effect of BPs on bone geometry and mechanical properties in the long-term remains under study. There is also concern about potential continued suppression of bone turnover after discontinuation of BPs. In fact, bone stiffness could potentially make it more brittle, which has been observed in a mouse model of OI treated with alendronate [115]. Persistence of mineralized growth plate cartilage may make the skeleton less resistant to fractures [113,116]. As discussed previously in this review, there appears to be a zone of susceptibility to fracture at the interface between previously treated and iv. BP (pamidronate) naive bone that occurs following BP discontinuation in OI [117], with recommendations to minimize the discrepancy in bone quality/density at this interface.

Fracture healing

While spontaneous fracture healing is not impaired, healing may be delayed at osteotomy sites [118,119]. It has been recommended that BPs are discontinued 6 months prior to elective orthopedic procedures [120]; however, this may interfere with the children’s ability to arrest the fracture-refracture cycle and augment bone mass/density/mobility preoperatively. At the very least, it is recommended that BPs are delayed in their administration post-operatively, until radiographic signs of osteotomy healing are evident.

Growth

Studies in mouse models of OI have shown an adverse effect of alendronate on linear bone growth with persistence of metaphyseal calcified cartilage [121,122]. Longitudinal growth does not seem to be impaired during BP treatment in children at recommended doses, and may even improve [47,50,51,109]. A total of 4 years of treatment with pamidronate resulted in height gain over that expected for untreated patients based on historical controls in patients with moderate-to-severe OI [123].

Esophageal cancer

In adults, there have been rare reports of esophageal cancer associated with BP use, as was recently reviewed in the New England Journal of Medicine [124]. Since the initial marketing of alendronate in 1995 to mid-May 2008, 23 cases of esophageal cancer have been reported to the US FDA; oral alendronate being the suspect drug in 21 of those cases. The median age of
those affected was 74.0 years and eight deaths were reported. To date, there have been no reported cases in children or adolescents.

Osteonecrosis of the jaw
Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) has been reported in several series in adults [125–127]. Risk factors included length of treatment, dental procedures, age over 60 years, female sex, the type of BP (with higher risk after administration of zoledronate vs pamidronate) and history of multiple myeloma. There have been no reported cases of ONJ in children after treatment with BPs, even in those with a history of dental surgical procedures after 0.03–7.9 years of treatment [128]. However, there remains significant anxiety with respect to this potential problem. The ongoing collection of safety data in pediatric patients will be particularly informative.

**Recommendations for the use of BPs in children**

- **Candidates for therapy**
  In many centers worldwide, BP administration, particularly iv., to children with moderate and severe forms of OI has been adopted as part of routine clinical care. This practice has arisen from over 15 years of experience with these agents in this condition. Such an approach appears well justified, provided these drugs are administered by experts in the care of children with OI, at published doses, and as part of multidisciplinary rehabilitative care. For children with mild forms of OI or osteoporosis due to chronic illness, the evidence to date suggests that the use of BPs should be relegated to well-designed clinical trials or used on compassionate grounds for such children who, in addition, show clinical evidence for bone fragility (recurrent low trauma extremity fractures and/or vertebral compression) associated with low bone mass or density. At the present time, there are insufficient data on the use of BPs as preventative agents to recommend their administration to children with asymptomatic reductions in bone mass/density alone. For apparently healthy children with recurrent fractures, the priority is first to rule out the possibility of an underlying chronic illness or type I collagenopathy predisposing to the bone fragility. If there is no evidence of any underlying systemic condition (such as Crohn’s disease) or OI, BPs are generally not indicated unless the recurrent, low-trauma fractures are associated with clinically significant reductions in bone mass/density more than 2 SD below the mean).

- **Dose, route of administration & duration of therapy**
  To date, there is no consensus on the optimal agent, dosage or duration of therapy. In the absence of numerous randomized, controlled trials comparing different agents, doses and durations in various bone disorders, it is impossible to state whether one treatment protocol is more efficacious than another. On balance, the response to iv. agents [6,44,53,68,72] appears to be more consistently positive than oral agents [46,54,62–64,66,69] in the context of randomized and controlled trials, although one short-term RCT on a small number of OI patients has shown equal efficacy between oral alendronate and iv. pamidronate [48]. When considering the duration of therapy, evidence from the OI literature suggests that maximal gains from iv. pamidronate occur in the first 2–4 years of therapy; children who have not yet ceased linear growth appear to be at risk for being unable to maintain the gains that have been realized in this initial treatment period. To date, there are no randomized trials to guide the clinician following the initial 2–4 years of BP therapy given to stabilize the osteoporosis. However, children may benefit from longer-term BP therapy, if risk factors persist, in order to preserve the gains realized in the rescue therapy phase, and to prevent long bone fractures from occurring at the interface between cyclical BP-treated and BP-naïve bone. This approach must be weighed on an individual basis against observations of significant reductions in bone turnover and/or bone density results that are increased above clinically acceptable end points (i.e., above average).

- **Monitoring**
  To date, the pediatric bone health field has largely focused on BMD, most frequently obtained by DXA, as the main outcome in the course of clinical trials. However, attention to spinal health and other functional indices such as pain, fractures, quality of life, mobility and muscle strength also merit attention in both clinical care and research studies. Since large numbers of patients are required to demonstrate a reduction in incident fractures during clinical trials, fracture rates may not always be a feasible end point in pediatric studies, where the number of eligible patients is clearly smaller compared with adults. On the other hand, spine morphometry, pain, quality of life, mobility and muscle strength are readily quantifiable in children. Bone histomorphometry remains the gold
standard for skeletal assessment and is advised, wherever possible, as an effective tool to monitor the response to BP therapy at the bone tissue level, such as during the course of clinical trials. Bone biomarkers of resorption (such as urinary N-telopeptides) are useful to document the antiresorptive action of BPs on bone, and they provide an indication of medication compliance and absorption. In addition, peripheral quantitative computed tomography is increasingly

### Executive summary

**Structure of bisphosphonates & mechanisms of action on bone**
- Bisphosphonates (BPs) are chemical analogs of pyrophosphate, in which the oxygen atom is replaced by a carbon atom (P-C-P instead of P-O-P). By adhering to the bone surface, BPs come into close contact with osteoclasts, where they exert their therapeutic actions.
- The R1 side chain of BPs is usually a hydroxyl group, which, along with the phosphate groups, acts to increase the affinity for skeletal calcium.
- The R2 side chain modifications determine the antiresorptive potency of BPs. Introduction of the nitrogen atom at R2 (pamidronate, alendronate, ibandronate, neridronate and olpadronate), particularly within a heteroaromatic ring (zoledronate and risedronate), increases the in vitro antiresorptive potency.

**Effect of bisphosphonates on growing bone**
- Bisphosphonates have the potential to bring about sizeable changes in bone density and the reshaping of vertebral bodies in children.
- A greater response to BPs in bone mineral density (BMD) and content is seen in children compared with adults, since the cortical surfaces of bone thicken as the BP interferes with modeling, while skeletal resorption is blunted along endocortical surfaces.
- The majority of the gains from BP therapy in childhood osteogenesis imperfecta (OI), a pediatric disease for which BPs are commonly prescribed, are realized in the first 2–4 years, raising the question as to the optimal duration of therapy in the pediatric setting.

**Evidence for the efficacy & safety of bisphosphonates in children with specific disorders**
- Bisphosphonates have been widely used in children with OI. Reported therapeutic effects include improvement in bone density, grip strength, vertebral height, cortical thickness, trabecular number, quality of life and mobility, decreased bone pain, bone turnover and fracture rate.
- The first step in treating children with osteoporosis owing to systemic illness is to identify and treat modifiable risk factors by quelling the underlying disease, restoring the normal hormonal milieu (e.g., growth hormone and sex steroid status), treating vitamin D deficiency and rectifying under-/over-weight and physical deconditioning. However, if these measures are insufficient, consideration of treatment with a BP is warranted for those with low BMD or bone mineral content and bone fragility.
- In neuromuscular diseases, most of the clinical studies examining the use of BPs have been carried out in children with bone fragility or low BMD that is secondary to cerebral palsy. Both randomized clinical trials and small, uncontrolled studies have tested the efficacy of intravenous pamidronate in increasing BMD among nonambulatory children with cerebral palsy and have noted skeletal gains at the spine, femoral neck and/or total body and the absence of serious side effects.
- There is a lack of consensus regarding use of BPs in idiopathic juvenile osteoporosis. Complicating the issue is the fact that many of the reports of BP use for idiopathic juvenile osteoporosis include data from heterogeneous case series that include patients with osteoporosis from varying etiologies.

**Short-term safety issues**
- Transient hypocalcemia is a common, short-term side effect following intravenous BP therapy. However, the risk of this problem can be reduced by maintenance of a normal vitamin D status and ongoing provision of adequate calcium and vitamin D supplementation during therapy.
- A brief acute-phase reaction, including influenza-like symptoms such as low-grade fever, headache, nausea, vomiting, rash, tachycardia, myalgia and bone pain may occur upon first exposure to intravenous and oral BPs.
- Esophageal irritation is a common side effect after the use of oral BPs that can be minimized by taking the medication on an empty stomach and staying upright for 30 min following drug administration.
- Uncommon short-term safety issues are nephrotoxicity, anterior uveitis and atrial fibrillation.

**Long-term safety issues**
- Bisphosphonates have an extremely long half-life, evidenced by long-term release of BPs, that has been demonstrated in children who were treated with pamidronate 4–10 years previously.
- Potential long-term side effects include radiographic metaphyseal bands, iatrogenic osteopenosis, fractures after BP discontinuation in growing children, delayed healing at osteotomy sites, esophageal cancer and osteonecrosis of the jaw. It is noteworthy that no cases of some of these adverse effects (e.g., esophageal cancer and osteonecrosis of the jaw) have been seen in children.

**Recommendations for the use of bisphosphonates in children**
- Bisphosphonate administration, particularly intravenous, in children with moderate and severe forms of OI has been adopted as part of routine clinical care.
- For children with mild forms of OI or osteoporosis owing to chronic illness, the evidence to date suggests that the use of BPs should be relegated to well-designed clinical trials or used on compassionate grounds for such children who, in addition, show clinical evidence for bone fragility associated with low bone mass or density.
- At the present time, there are insufficient data on the use of BPs as preventative agents to recommend their administration to children with asymptomatic reductions in bone mass/density alone.
used in the pediatric clinical setting, since this three-dimensional technique overcomes the issue of bone size that clouds DXA areal BMD measures, and assesses not only bone density but also bone geometry, another important parameter that confers bone strength, and does so at frequent sites of fractures (distal radius and tibia) [129–133].

Future perspective
Clinical experience with BPs in pediatric patients is growing, with benefits to quality of life demonstrated in OI. While longer-term safety data in OI are accumulating, there remains a paucity of such information in children with other bone disorders. Well-designed trials assessing different agents, dose and duration, with rigorous collection of both safety and efficacy end points are needed, particularly in children with non-OI osteoporosis. Gaps also remain in knowledge regarding nutritional and activity interventions that may augment the strength of a young growing skeleton, in addition to potential skeletal gains afforded by a BP. To document the long-term effects of BP therapy administered to children, the creation of bone health registries is a mechanism that merits attention in future research efforts. Meanwhile, cautious use of these medications in children with chronic illness osteoporosis is warranted, and only for children or adolescents with both reductions in BMD or BMC and clinical evidence for quality of life-limiting skeletal fragility. Finally, whether in the context of clinical trials or in routine clinical care, BPs should be administered to children by experts in their prescription and in monitoring of the relevant clinical outcomes.
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