# Treatment outcomes of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapy among chronic kidney disease (CKD) and post kidney transplant patients with hepatitis c virus (HCV) infection: Single center experience

**Capucion, Shena Jo A, Jamias,** and **Jade D**

National Kidney and Transplant Institute, Philippines

## Background:

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is common among chronic kidney disease (CKD) and kidney transplant recipients. Direct acting antiviral (DAA) regimens has been demonstrated to be effective with high sustained virological response (SVR) rates and tolerated in the general population. Data is limited and remains to be confirmed among CKD and kidney transplant recipients.

Kidney transplantation or renal transplantation is the organ relocate of a kidney into a patient with end-stage kidney illness. Kidney transplantation is ordinarily delegated expired benefactor (some time ago known as cadaveric) or living-giver transplantation relying upon the wellspring of the contributor organ.

## Introduction:

Living-contributor kidney transfers are additionally described as hereditarily related (living-related) or non-related (living-disconnected) transfers, contingent upon whether an organic relationship exists between the benefactor and beneficiary.

Trades and chains are a novel way to deal with extend the living benefactor pool. In February 2012, this novel way to deal with grow the living contributor pool brought about the biggest chain on the planet, including 60 members composed by the National Kidney Registry.[1] In 2014 the record for the biggest chain was broken again by a trade including 70 members.

Probably the most punctual notice about the chance of a kidney relocate was by American clinical specialist Simon Flexner, who pronounced in a perusing of his paper on "Inclinations in Pathology" in the University of Chicago in 1907 that it would be conceivable in the then-future for unhealthy human organs replacement for sound ones by medical procedure, including courses, stomach, kidneys and heart.[3]

In 1933 specialist Yuriy Vorony from Kherson in the Soviet Union endeavored the main human kidney relocate, utilizing a kidney expelled six hours sooner from an expired benefactor to be reimplanted into the thigh. He estimated kidney work utilizing an association between the kidney and the skin. His first patient kicked the bucket two days after the fact, as the join was inconsistent with the beneficiary's blood gathering and was rejected.[4]

It was not until June 17, 1950, when an effective transfer was performed on Ruth Tucker, a 44-year-elderly person with polycystic kidney infection, by Dr. Richard Lawler[5] at Little Company of Mary Hospital in Evergreen Park, Illinois. Despite the fact that the gave kidney was dismissed ten months after the fact in light of the fact that no immunosuppressive treatment was accessible at that point—the advancement of successful antirejection drugs was years away—the mediating time gave Tucker's outstanding kidney time to recuperate and she experienced another five years.[6]

A kidney relocate between living patients was attempted in 1952 at the Necker emergency clinic in Paris by Jean Hamburger, despite the fact that the kidney fizzled after 3 weeks.[7] The principal really effective transfer of this sort happened in 1954 in Boston. The Boston transplantation, performed on December 23, 1954 at Brigham Hospital, was performed by Joseph Murray, J. Hartwell Harrison, John P. Merrill and others. The technique was done between indistinguishable twins Ronald and Richard Herrick which decreased issues of an invulnerable response. For this and later work, Dr. Murray got the Nobel Prize for Medicine in 1990. The beneficiary, Richard Herrick, passed on eight years after the transplantation.[8]

In 1955, Charles Rob, William James "Jim" Dempster (St Marys and Hammersmith, London) completed the main expired contributor relocate in United Kingdom, which was unsuccessful.[citation needed] In July 1959, "Fred" Peter Raper (Leeds) played out the principal effective (8 months) perished giver relocate in the UK. After a year, in 1960, the main fruitful living kidney relocate in the UK happened, when Michael Woodruff performed one between indistinguishable twins in Edinburgh.[9]

Until the normal utilization of drug to forestall and treat intense dismissal, presented in 1964, expired giver transplantation was not performed. The kidney was the most straightforward organ to relocate: tissue composing was basic; the organ was generally simple to expel and embed; live benefactors could be utilized without trouble; and in case of disappointment, kidney dialysis was accessible from the 1940s.

The significant obstruction to organ transplantation between hereditarily non-indistinguishable patients lay in the beneficiary's insusceptible framework, which would regard a relocated kidney as a 'non-self' and promptly or incessantly

dismiss it. In this way, having prescription to smother the invulnerable framework was basic. In any case, smothering a person's insusceptible framework puts that person at more serious danger of disease and malignancy (especially skin malignant growth and lymphoma), notwithstanding the reactions of the prescriptions.

The reason for most immunosuppressive regimens is prednisolone, a corticosteroid. Prednisolone stifles the insusceptible framework, yet its drawn out use at high dosages causes a huge number of symptoms, including glucose prejudice and diabetes, weight gain, osteoporosis, muscle shortcoming, hypercholesterolemia, and waterfall arrangement. Prednisolone alone is normally deficient to forestall dismissal of a relocated kidney. Along these lines other, non-steroid immunosuppressive operators are required, which additionally permit lower dosages of prednisolone. These include: azathioprine and mycophenolate, and ciclosporin and tacrolimus.

## Objectives:

The study aims to investigate treatment outcomes of DAA therapy among CKD and kidney transplant patients with hepatitis C infection. Specifically, it seeks to describe demographics, determine SVR rates, changes in laboratory values, and adverse effects with DAA therapy.

## Methods:

The study employed a retrospective observational study design. It included all cases of CKD and kidney transplant recipients with chronic HCV infection who are >18 year old on DAA Therapy at National Kidney and Transplant Institute, Philippines from December 2015 to December 2016. Descriptive analysis of treatment outcomes, changes in laboratory values, and adverse events.

## Results:

Twelve patients were included, 7 (58%) CKD and 5 (42%) kidney transplant recipients. All patients (100%) had SVR 12. Changes in laboratory values during treatment included; (1) mean increase in creatinine of 0.3 mg/dL vs 0.04 mg/dL, (2) mean decline in hemoglobin of 2 mg/dL vs 1.5 mg/dL, in platelet of 18 x 10^3/uL vs. 7 x 10^3/uL, in ALT levels of 31 U/L vs 27 U/L, and in bilirubin 0.5 mg/dL vs 0.12 mg/dL in CKD and post kidney transplant recipients respectively.

## Discussions:

One (8.3%) kidney transplant recipient had disorientation but did not lead to treatment discontinuation.

## Conclusions/Recommendations:

Our study showed an SVR12 rate of 100% in both CKD and kidney transplant recipients. Majority did not experience adverse effects during treatment. Further larger studies are needed to validate our findings.