Extended Abstract   **Open Access**

Theoretical Confirmation in Science

Gudrun Kalmbach H E

University of Ulm, Germany

Abstract

The creator replied through researchgate.net within the web to a mathematician's supposition that mathematicians acknowledge as it were hypothetical affirmed comes about. Your supposition is off-base; the orthomodular rationale in my article from early 1980 is hypothetically affirmed. If you apply it you'll see how off-base the material science coherent quantum catch 22s are. And mathematicians connect in not utilizing their brain for my quantum rationale: administrators are not commuting and typically hypothetically affirmed and gives for occurrence the acknowledged Heisenberg instabilities. Moreover the inquiries almost not understanding the gyromagnetic connection are of that kind. It is helicity for electrical charged leptons and helicity of unbiased leptons is acknowledged long time back, but as of late the nonorientable adaptation for turns up down changes is connected to this issue by the creator. I apply it to the superposition of two sorts of Gleason outlines GF for leptons: turn GF and the moment helicity GF. The reason is consistent and GF well established hypothetically but mathematicians and material science people will not tune in to me. For helicity the introduction clockwise cw or counterclockwise mpo is changing additionally the cleared out- or right hand screw introduction in space. In switching mpo for positron + charges to electron cw - charges the gyromagnetic connection inverts its superposition GF heading towards the spin GF. That's a noncommutative administrator rationale just like Heisenberg. But you fairly need to recognize my tall quality of investigating orthomodular non commutative rationale since the 1980th. For Hopf and his geometry material science does it much longer. Why? In this case mathematicians too appear with an apathetic brain. The Hopf outline is due to anticipating 4-dimensional spacetime down to the Hopf Riemannian circle in space, erasing time as a Hopf fiber within the Hopf fiber bundle. And applying after the Hopf outline the stereographic outline for the Riemannian circle projection onto a plane E isn't examined by them, but all usually as it were in my papers not cited by them and Wikipedia. A modern control framework for citing inquiries about results is required from me. This cite isn't fair but an insider legislative issue. The plane E for electromagnetic field revolution as a circle crossed by an attractive field vector like attractive energy gives visibly as a cross item the actually utilized precise force for your bicycle's dynamo. The circle GF bound for occurrence to a magnet begins turning and produces light as current for your bulb. Consider the material science catch 22s Russel, the Schroedinger cat etc.. Beneath Wikipedia are recorded diverse sorts, for instance Causality catch 22s or Quantum mechanical catch 22s. Citation: Three of the foremost popular of these are: the double-slit test; the EPR catch 22 and the Schroedinger's cat conundrum, all of them proposed as thought tests pertinent to the discourses of the right elucidation of quantum mechanics. These thought tests attempt to utilize standards determined from the Copenhagen translation of quantum mechanics to determine conclusions that are apparently conflicting. The resolutions to these catch 22s are considered by numerous to be logically unacceptable since they pivot on what is particularly implied by the estimation of an perception or what serves as an spectator within the thought tests. In a genuine physical sense,

no matter what way either of those terms are characterized, the comes about are the same. Theoretical hypotheses of quantum gravity that combine common relativity with quantum mechanics have their claim related catch 22s that are by and large acknowledged to be artifacts of the need of a steady physical demonstration that joins together the two details. One such conundrum is the dark gap data conundrum.

A supposition of the creator is that the material science rationalists have not acknowledged the hypothetical affirmed result of her orthomodular rationale. Additionally not acknowledged her Gleason estimations outlines GF for estimations concurring to the Copenhagen translation. Gleason estimations permit as it were one vector of the space like outline $f(x,y,z) = ax^2+by^2+cz^2$, a,b,c genuine, complex or quaternionic numbers a,b,c to be watched as a genuine esteem, for occasion as the number b. In reals, there's a most extreme, a least and a esteem in between. For turn mesures a=b=c is for length, for mass it can have three values, measuring for occasion the three masses of electrical or unbiased charged leptons. In a given state as it were one mass esteem is perceptible. As known from quantum chromodynamics QCD, mass can be rescaled by including to quarks masses the tall internal speeds exchanged to mass by the $mc^2 = hf$ connection, and this gives the tall mass of a nucleon whereas the quarks mass is as it were 10 percent of it. Perceptible mass contrasts, not as it were through GF estimations. Physic scholars got to think around unused speculations just like the GF estimations which too donate likelihood dispersions on subspaces for measured energies. They have a back where its esteem is zero. The relativistic mass zero for the electromagnetic EMI waves for occurrence is compensated by the over equation for photons. They are seen as particles of vitality E = h, but have mass zero. Mass and recurrence require two more arrangements as an vitality plane in which the over Einstein line is found. This plane is projectively closed to a projective plane having the circle U(1) of EMI as a third facilitator included to spacetime coordinates.

This makes 7 not 4 vector facilitates for physical hypotheses. This number should be amplified to the well-known eightfold way from the frail WI and solid SI intuitive measurements of SU(3) (eight gluon framework generators) and the eight marked quaternionic SU(2) generators. Within the final case WI employments as it were the three Pauli networks for the three powerless bosons. The author proposes the utilization of the eight octonian facilitates with the primary e0 octonian arrange setting vectorial units for vitality estimations. And these measures are frequently, as mentioned before, Gleason measures with one discernible vector and two other ones not perceptible. This is often a noncommutative rationale. It has no conclusion hypothesis. The IQSA affiliation knows this for a long time and their physic rationalists are not applying until nowadays the orthomodular rationale of the creator. She is a fair female and typically sufficient for her inquiry about not to be acknowledged in science until nowadays in 2020. Another impact of orthomodular rationale is that modus ponens of classical Boolean thinking holds as it were for sets of commuting administrators. As of now the Heisenberg administrators have no Boolean thinking. When scientific separation is connected, and

Advanced Materials Science Research

material science employs this, the administrators arrange as frameworks are not commuting with vitality administrators. Subsequently in octonions the vitality administrators require another translation than spacelike vectors for Euclidean xyz- arranged additionally for time as facilitated. Vitality areas have their own hypothesis in material science.

Is their rationale distinctive from Boolean? Yes! It is projective which permits the altar of measurements. It appears that moreover the comes about of Schmutzer are not acknowledged by physic insiders until nowadays. Schmutzer employments a genuine projective 5-dimensional field for his unification of the electrical with the gravitational potential POT. His POT is anticipated down by a projector to three 4-dimensional areas, the electrical, gravity and a impartial field. The creator puts the third impartial field on the octonian 1456 subspace where records supplant arranges ej. 1 is for the EMI wave length or a z-coordinate, t for time on a round and hollow space z-line collinear joined for the EMI wave development in time with speed of light c. 6 is for the EMI recurrence, growing on a barrel with z-axis and a U(1) circle transversal to it. It is at that point a helix line. One winding is for the photon vitality E = h and is quantized. It is additionally quantized as turn length by integrability. Turn appears up as a pivoting spin where its one vector endpoint carries the turning E as charge for following out the recurrence vitality helix on the barrels surface. This quantization carries over to electrons fundamental quantum numbers for their Bohr radii in iotas shells areas. They appear as an angular frequency turn as within the Hopf geometry. For them the conceivably expanded or diminished precise speed must fit with their waves length to the sweep. The Rydberg steady rescales not fitting energies of this kind and erases changed EMI frequencies as a ghastly arrangement, changing moreover the estimate of the electrons Bohr span. A projective alteration from 4 to a field 5 measurements is accessible through projective relationships which produce quadrical measures comparative to the GF measures. Metrical quadriy as for space are changed by applying an administrator N within the modern degree . For a 4 to 5 relationship a 9-dimensional projective space is needed. Do you have to include the 8fold way a ninth facility? It is conceivably accessible within the three Pauli 2x2- network WI development to the 3x3-Gell Mann lattices of SI. In this case the 9 conceivable SU(3) measurements are diminished for 8 gluons as field quantums. The expanded third Pauli networks are numerically straight subordinate and create as if it were a plane for two gluons. Presently the creator has presented for SI/gravity unification as a common GF the rgb-graviton for producing nucleons.