



Semantic web: key technologies for bridging imaging and translational medicine

“The essence of translational medicine is that it not only leads to more efficient health care delivery (e.g., new drugs and procedures), but also to a more in-depth understanding of underlying biological mechanisms...”

KEYWORDS: biomedical imaging ■ imaging biomarkers ■ ontologies ■ semantic web ■ translational medicine

Imaging in translational medicine

Translational medicine has emerged as a new concept in medicine resulting from closer interactions between the research realm and clinical practice, as suggested by the expression ‘from bench to bedside’. The essence of translational medicine is that it not only leads to more efficient health care delivery (e.g., new drugs and procedures), but also to a more in-depth understanding of underlying biological mechanisms [1,2]. The term ‘research realm’ here means not only preclinical and clinical research (e.g., clinical trials) but something broader, embracing both basic research and translational research aiming to put biological facts obtained in experimental works (in animals) in perspective with other observations made in man concerning different pathologies, and involving common physiological and biological systems. This concept has gained credibility thanks to recent initiatives such as the Cancer Biomedical Informatics Grid (CaBIG), an initiative led by the National Cancer Institute in the USA that aims to transform the way cancer research is performed by better connecting the entire cancer community [101]. In particular, this initiative emphasizes the major contribution that biomedical informatics infrastructures can make towards this goal.

Imaging plays a major role in modern medicine owing to the continuous progress of imaging equipment during the last 30 years, and this role will certainly be even more prominent in future translational medicine. A salient characteristic of modern imaging is that it is becoming more and more quantitative [3]. This means it no longer solely aims to deliver images to be interpreted by a radiologist, but also to deliver ‘measurements’ of well-defined parameters. Such parameters may be derived from acquired images and reflect some

physical characteristic of tissues (e.g., the T_1 relaxation time in MRI, or the concentration of a tracer in molecular imaging). It may also result from a complex analysis of images using texture analysis or segmentation, and denote some structural, physiological or functional index. The latter are often called ‘imaging biomarkers’, an analogy with other kinds of biological biomarkers [4,5]. This notion of measurement is important and refers to a complete methodology involving quality assurance based on calibration, to guarantee reproducibility in time and space, which puts heavy constraints on image acquisition and image processing protocols [6]. It also requires a clear, explicit and consensual definition of the quantities being measured; this is a key feature with respect to relevant reuse of information in the context of translational medicine. Indeed, it is not sufficient that such numeric values be communicated to research data repositories (thanks to picture archiving and communication systems), one must also ensure that the precise semantics of this data be shared as well. This is a necessary condition for successful sharing and relevant correlation of this information with other data available from other sources.

Semantic web technologies

We claim that semantic web technologies can provide new and efficient tools to support translational medicine in general, and to bridge imaging and translational medicine in particular. The vision of the semantic web was first proposed by Tim Berners-Lee and aims at introducing, in the web, a new way of representing information content, which enables computer programs to manipulate it meaningfully [7]. A key feature in this vision is to give information a well-defined meaning, owing to the creation of artefacts called



Bernard Gibaud

INSERM, VisAGEs U746 Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Campus de Villejean, F-35043 Rennes, France
and
INRIA, VisAGEs U746 Unit, IRISA, Campus de Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes, France
and
University of Rennes I – CNRS UMR 6074, IRISA, Campus de Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes, France
bernard.gibaud@irisa.fr

‘ontologies’, which formally define the relations among terms. Specifically, ontologies have two basic functions:

- They provide reference terms to denote classes of objects and relations among those classes
- They provide axioms, which allow the definition of classes in a formal way (using logics), and organization into inheritance hierarchies (taxonomy)

The article by Horrocks gives an excellent and didactic introduction to ontologies and a clear explanation of their role in the semantic web, based on simple and intuitive examples [8].

The reference to formal ontologies will enable information semantics to be made explicit: for example ‘imaging biomarkers’ derived from image data will be described with more precision – via semantic annotations – together with the relevant descriptions of how they were obtained (especially the acquisition and image processing protocols). Ontologies will play a crucial role for effective translation of such concepts between teams with heterogeneous areas of expertise, with two important levels of impact, not exclusive of each other, namely integration of heterogeneous data (and consequently data mining [9]) and inference and decision support.

Impact & challenges

The use of semantic web technologies should demonstrate the added value of novel imaging biomarkers and their relevance in characterizing the state of a patient, in the context of given pathophysiological processes, as well as better relating them to underlying biological phenomena, which are key features of translational medicine.

It should also facilitate the conception and the deployment of decision support systems, to support the prescription of imaging procedures

as well as diagnosis and therapeutic decisions, whose implementation should benefit from the increasing availability in the semantic web syntaxes (i.e., resource description framework and ontology web language) of patient information and supporting knowledge, referencing the same ontologies. This includes improvements in formalizing imaging protocols, and their relevant clinical application contexts, better documenting imaging procedure results through annotations and ontology-based structured reports.

However, creating ontologies is a difficult task, which currently requires the close collaboration of domain experts and ontology development specialists. Significant works have already been achieved in the biomedical informatics field, relying on the long experience of developing large medical terminologies [10,11]. However, ontologies aim to support inference, in contrast to existing medical terminologies, which requires reconsidering most of the modeling choices that were made in their design. Efforts are being devoted in the imaging field, for example in the context of the RadLex initiative [12] and the CaBIG initiative [13,14], as well as in the neuroimaging domain [15,16]. These efforts must of course be pursued and intensified, especially in the standardization arena, in order to address the many challenges of translational medicine [17].

Financial & competing interests disclosure

The author has no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Bibliography

- 1 Sarkar IN: Biomedical informatics and translational medicine. *J. Transl. Med.* 8, 22 (2010).
- 2 Burgun A, Bodenreider O: Accessing and integrating data and knowledge for biomedical research. *Yearb. Med. Inform.* 91–101 (2008).
- 3 Tofts P: Quantitative MRI of the brain: measuring changes caused by disease. John Wiley, Chichester, UK (2003).
- 4 Rudin M: Noninvasive structural, functional, and molecular imaging in drug development. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 13, 1–12 (2009).
- 5 Schuster DP: The opportunities and challenges of developing imaging biomarkers to study lung function and disease. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 176, 224–230 (2007).
- 6 Clarke L, Sriram RD: Imaging as a biomarker: standards for change measurements in therapy workshop summary. *Acad Radiol.* 15(4), 501–530 (2008).
- 7 Berners-Lee T, Hendler J, Lassila O: The semantic web. *Sci. Am.* 284(5), 34–43 (2001).
- 8 Horrocks I: Ontologies and the semantic web. *Communications of the ACM* 51(12), 58–67 (2008).
- 9 Ruttenberg A, Clark T, Bug W *et al.*: Advancing translational research with the Semantic Web. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8(Suppl. 3), S2 (2007).
- 10 Yu AC: Methods in biomedical ontology. *J. Biomed. Inform.* 39, 252–266 (2006).
- 11 Cimino JJ, Zhu X: The practical impact of ontologies on biomedical informatics. *Methods Inf. Med.* 45(Suppl. 1), 124–135 (2006).
- 12 Marwede D, Fielding M, Kahn T: RadiO: a prototype application ontology for radiology reporting tasks. *AMIA Annu. Symp. Proc.* 11, 513–517 (2007).

- 13 Rubin DL, Mongkolwat P, Kleper V, Supekar K, Channin DS: Medical imaging on the semantic web: annotation and image markup. Presented at: *AAAI Spring Symposium Series, Semantic Scientific Knowledge Integration*. Stanford University, CA, USA (2008).
- 14 Channin DS, Mongkolwat P, Kleper V, Supekar K, Rubin DL: The CaBIG annotation and image markup project. *J. Digit. Imaging* 23(2), 217–225 (2010).
- 15 Temal L, Dojat M, Kassel G, Gibaud B: Towards an ontology for sharing medical images and regions of interest in neuroimaging. *J. Biomed. Inform.* 41, 766–778 (2008).
- 16 Turner JA, Mejino JLV, Brinkley JF *et al.*: Application of neuroanatomical ontologies for neuroimaging data annotation. *Front. Neuroinformatics* 4(10), 1–10 (2010).
- 17 Gibaud B: The quest for standards in medical imaging. *Eur. J. Radiol.* (2010) (Epub ahead of print).

■ Website

- 101 Cancer Biomedical Informatics Grid
<https://cabig.nci.nih.gov/>