Your current research is focused on developing vaccine strategies for patients with prostate cancer. What led you to focus your research on this area?

I was trained as a basic immunologist, so when I started to work in tumor immunology I was hoping to begin pre-clinical studies with a truly physiologically relevant animal model. To that end, Adam Adler (when he was with Drew Pardoll) developed a transgenic model that expresses a model antigen in a tumor-restricted manner – this allowed us to study the immune response to a tumor antigen as accurately as possible in vivo. I felt that if we could understand the immune response in the context of a tumor that developed slowly over the life of an animal (rather than the typical implanted models), we’d get a much more accurate picture of what happens in patients with developing solid tumors. So, our initial model happened to involve an autochthonous model of prostate cancer, but as our studies evolved we began to realize that, for many reasons, human prostate cancer might be an ideal target for immunotherapy in the clinic.

What is the main research focus of you and your team at present?

The most exciting studies in our laboratory involve a new cancer vaccine technology based on an attenuated strain of *Listeria monocytogenes* (LM) engineered to express tumor antigens. These vaccines are incredibly immunogenic – in our models a single dose of these vaccines can break tolerance to an evolving tumor. Although these studies are in a fairly early developmental stage, we are eager to eventually test such approaches in the clinic. The laboratory is also focused on understanding the mechanisms that limit an antitumor response in vivo, to that end we’ve discovered that the T-cell surface molecules LAG-3 and PD-1 both seem to attenuate the functional capability of anti-tumor CD8 T cells. By combining antibodies to these ‘checkpoints’ with active vaccines we seem to be able to maximize an antitumor response.

You mention in a recent publication that current treatments for hormone-refractory prostate cancer are limited, and that immunotherapy might fill this gap. Is prostate cancer well-suited for targeting with immunotherapy?

For several reasons, prostate cancer might prove an ideal target for immunotherapy. First, these tumors arise in a specialized population of secretory epithelial cells, which express a spectrum of unique proteins that can serve as tissue/tumor antigens. In many cases, successful immunization to a tumor antigen can initiate a bystander response in which normal tissues that are similar to the target cells undergo immune destruction. The best example of this is the association of vitiligo with vaccination for melanoma. Since the prostate gland is not essential for survival, bystander damage to the normal gland should not prove especially dangerous. In early-stage disease, androgen-ablation is a remarkably effective therapy, and by eliminating a tolerogenic tumor burden one might be able to reverse CD8 T-cell nonresponsiveness. Finally, it should be noted that prostate cancer usually develops in the sixth decade of life – thus, successful immunotherapy would not have to remain effective for decades in order to produce an appreciable benefit in terms of patient survival.
As well as developing immunotherapies, your research also involves determining how prostate cancer evades the existing immune system. Will immune evasion pose a problem for possible vaccine therapies?

To date, clinical experience with vaccine therapies has been somewhat disappointing. An increasing body of evidence indicates that the failure of single-agent immunotherapy is most likely due to immune evasion by a variety of mechanisms, including immune checkpoints, regulatory T cells and suppressive antigen presenting cells (and others). So, for vaccine therapy to be successful in the clinic, vaccination will almost certainly need to be combined with strategies that target these evasion mechanisms. The NIH group has been particularly productive in this area, combining the ProstVac® VF vaccine platform with radiotherapy, androgen-ablation, and most recently with checkpoint blockade using a monoclonal antibody against CTLA-4.

Are there any other foreseeable downsides to immunotherapy?

I think that as our immunotherapy strategies become more effective, we might begin to see a degree of autoimmunity in our patients. This is probably unavoidable on a population basis. The other major challenge to immunotherapy will be widespread acceptance: while medical oncologists are quite comfortable managing chemotherapy-induced toxicity, managing autoimmune toxicities from immunotherapy might involve a bit of a learning curve.

You are currently involved in a Phase III clinical trial of the vaccine GVAX®. What is the aim of this trial?

This Phase III trial was a fairly ambitious and well-designed trial aiming to show that treatment with prostate GVAX improves patient survival as compared with standard-of-care treatment with docetaxel. Patients were randomized 1:1 to a 6-month treatment with either immunotherapy or chemotherapy. At the end of the 6 months, patients who appeared to derive clinical benefit from immunotherapy were allowed to stay on a monthly boost program. This was quite a large trial, involving 600 patients, and was sufficiently powered to show a survival benefit. I’m using the past tense here because the trial completed accrual in July of 2007, and Cell Genesys has announced that data from an interim data analysis might be available in Q3 or Q4 of 2008. As you might expect, we are eagerly awaiting these data.

Finally, where do you think your efforts will be focused over the next 5 years?

Well, I think that the former Soviet Union showed that 5-year plans can be a little risky! On a serious note, on the basic science side, we’re going to really try to understand the relative importance of the immune checkpoints mediated by LAG-3 and PD-1, as well as the molecular mechanisms by which these molecules mediate their negative function. We also have an ongoing research program trying to understand the mechanism(s) by which tumors induce regulatory T cells. From a clinical standpoint, we hope to be able to bring these attenuated Listeria-based vaccines forward in a Phase I trial for patients with prostate cancer. Finally, I have to admit that I’m really no longer very interested in single-agent immunotherapy. Few chemotherapy regimens in widespread use today involve a single agent, so there is absolutely no reason why treating tumors with immunotherapy will not also require rationally designed, multi-agent approaches.
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