Cardiac MRI catheterization: a 10-year single institution experience and review

MRI- or combined x-ray and MRI (XMR)-guided catheterization was introduced as an alternative to x-ray-guided catheterization to reduce radiation exposure and offer more comprehensive anatomical, hemodynamic and physiological data. However, developments have been slow to come into routine clinical practice. We report a 10-year experience of solely MRI-guided and XMR catheterization in patients at our institution, review the developments in clinical MRI-guided and XMR catheterization and discuss future perspectives. This includes further results from our clinical trial on MRI-guided cardiac interventions.
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Improved surgical and interventional techniques have improved outcomes for congenital heart disease [1], adding to the number and complexity of lesions needing serial monitoring or treatment. The role of MRI in the assessment of cardiac anatomy and function in congenital heart disease is well established and has the potential to replace diagnostic cardiac catheterization in selected cases [2–7]. However, x-ray-guided cardiac catheterization is still necessary for invasive hemodynamic data and cardiac interventions.

Role of MRI- & combined x-ray & MRI-guided catheterization

There has been interest in developing MRI as an alternative to x-ray for guiding cardiac catheterization because of three key drawbacks of x-rays. The risk of tumor formation from repeated x-ray catheterization is well established [8–12]. The difficulty of visualizing the key cardiovascular structures during the manipulation of catheters and devices without multiple injections of iodine x-ray contrast agents is also particularly an issue in patients with congenital/structural abnormalities. Finally, being able to measure key physiological parameters such as pulmonary blood flow and ventricular volumes during cardiac catheterization is often important, but difficult under x-ray guidance.

MRI-guided and combined x-ray and MRI (XMR) catheterizations were first introduced into the clinical forum a decade ago [6] out of the need to address these drawbacks. MRI can be considered as an adjunct or an alternative to x-ray during catheterization procedures. Where invasive pressure measurements are required, MRI-guided and XMR cardiac catheterization has been proven to reduce the screening time and radiation dose [6,13]. Fluoroscopic screening is limited to guiding catheter positioning with the use of guide wires that are not MRI safe due to concerns around heating. Catheter manipulation under MRI has the advantage of soft tissue visualization not seen on conventional x-ray-guided catheterization. Additionally, MRI allows for easy manipulation of imaging planes, thus reducing the need for multiple fluoroscopic projections to identify the area of interest. MRI also allows accurate quantification of ventricular volumes, cardiac output and flow within vessels, such as accurate quantification of pulmonary blood flow. This can be
used in combination with an invasive catheter trans-
pulmonary pressure gradient to measure pulmonary
vascular resistance accurately.

MRI catheterization in clinical practice
We reported the first clinical experience of MRI- and
XMR-guided cardiac catheterizations at our institu-
tion [6,14]. MRI-guided and XMR catheterizations
were subsequently validated against standard cardiac
catheterization for the clinical assessment of pulmo-

nary vascular resistance (PVR) [6,13,15]. In the past
few years, the indications have widened to include
assessment of anatomy and function, cardiac output
and hemodynamic measurements during pharma-
cological stress [2,7,16]. This has included successful
MRI-guided catheterization without the need for
x-ray [6,13–15,17]. We have also described an initial
clinical experience of MRI-guided structural cardiac in-
terventions using an MRI-compatible guide wire [18].
MRI catheterization has been described in the clinical
setting, but this continues to be in a limited number
of centers and in small numbers [2,6,13–15,17–23]. In fact,
the total number of patients described in the literature
combining all of these clinical studies and reports to
date only equates to 142.

Single institution experience of clinical
MRI-guided & XMR catheterization
We report a large 10-year experience of clinical MRI-
guided and XMR catheterization in patients at our
institution, review the developments in clinical MRI-
guided and XMR catheterization and discuss future
perspectives. This includes further results from our
clinical trial on MRI-guided cardiac interventions.

MRI techniques
The techniques of MRI-guided and XMR cather-
ization have been previously described [6,9,13,17,18,24,25].
The imaging requirements from MRI for the purpose
of catheterization have also been extensively described
[6,24,25]. MRI-guided and XMR catheterizations take
place in a specifically designed catheterization labora-
tory with combined x-ray and MRI facilities (Figure 1).
In our laboratory, we use a 1.5 T magnetic resonance
(MR) scanner (Achieva, Philips, Best, The Nether-
lands) and a Philips BV Pulsera cardiac x-ray unit.
In-room monitor and controls display MRI images
and hemodynamic pressure traces. The table-top
design allows patients to be moved from one modal-
ity to the other in a very short time. MRI-compatible
patient monitoring and anesthetic equipment is used.
A comprehensive standard operating procedure is in
place, which has been extensively described by Tzifa
et al. [24], with a particular focus on safety within
the MRI environment. All procedures are performed
under general anesthesia. A heparin bolus of 50 IU/kg
is given with activated clotting time monitoring once
vascular access is obtained.

The ECG system employed during cardiac cathe-
terization is a commercial hemodynamic tracer system
EP Tracer 102 (CardioTek BV, Maastricht, The Neth-
erlands). The invasive pressure component of the sys-
tem is used throughout the procedure. However, the
ECG component is not MRI compatible and removed
to transfer into the MRI bore. While in the MRI
bore, the patient monitoring system used by the anes-
thetic team (Datex Ohmeda, GE, CT, USA), which is
MRI compatible, is used for monitoring.

MRI protocols
In all patients, a similar MRI protocol is followed as
described below to assess anatomy, ventricular func-
tion and vascular flow. A free-breathing, dual-phase
respiratory-gated and ECG-triggered 3D steady-state
free precision (SSFP) scan of the heart and great ves-
sels and 3D contrast-enhanced MR angiography
is performed to elucidate intracardiac and vascular
anatomy. The 3D SSFP image is acquired in a sagittal
orientation (repetition time (TR): 3.4 ms; echo time
(TE): 1.7 ms; flip angle: 90°; isotropic resolution:
1–1.5 mm³; acquisition window: 60–75 ms; respira-
tory gating window: 3–5 mm). Contrast-enhanced
MRI angiography was acquired with first-pass 3D
angiography using 0.2 ml/kg bodyweight gadoterate
meglumine (Dotarem, Guerbet, Villepinte, France).

To calculate ventricular volumes and function,
short axis cuts of the ventricle(s) are obtained using
retrospective ECG-gated SSFP 2D cine sequences
(TR: 3.1–3.6 ms; TE: 1.6–1.8 ms; acceleration fac-
tor [SENSE acquisition]: 2; flip angle: 60°; field of
view: 200–320 mm; slice thickness: 4–8 mm; in
plane resolution: 1.3–2.0 mm; acquired temporal
resolution: 30–40 phases; phase percentage: 80–100;
breath-hold duration: 11–15 s; 10–14 slices).

To obtain blood flow measurements in major ves-
sels, through plane velocities are measured by means of
through plane phase-contrast gradient-echo sequences
perpendicular to the long axis planes of the vessel with
either breath-hold or free-breathing flow-sensitive seg-
mented k-space fast-field echo sequence (approximate
echo time: 3 ms; approximate repetition time: 5 ms;
matrix: 128 × 256; field of view: 250–350 mm; flip
angle: 15°; three signal averages for free-breathing
sequences; retrospective gating; 40 acquired phases).

XMR-guided catheterization
For XMR catheterization procedures, catheters are
positioned in the appropriate vessels and chambers
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using guide wires where necessary under x-ray guidance. Once right and/or left heart catheterization is complete, MRI-compatible catheters (Wedge catheter, Arrow, PA, USA) are left in place for continuous hemodynamic pressure monitoring and the patient is transferred to the MRI scanner on the sliding table. Cardiac MRI is performed to measure ventricular volumes, function and phase-contrast flows, as above, with simultaneous pressure measurements.

MRI-guided catheterization

Following an initial reference scan, an interactive sequence is used for determining and saving reference planes for catheter guidance. For example, for right heart catheterization, the following views are stored: sagittal and coronal views of the superior vena cava/inferior vena cava, four-chamber, right ventricular outflow tract, right heart two-chamber view, pulmonary artery (PA) bifurcation, left PA sagittal and right PA coronal views.

During passive catheter tracking, a 2D SSFP sequence; balanced fast field echo; TE: 1.45 ms; TR: 2.9 ms; matrix: 128 × 128), with a temporal resolution of 10–14 frames per second is used, in which the catheter tip is seen filling the angiographic balloon with 1 ml of carbon dioxide (Figure 2) ([6,14]). The interactive mode also allows manipulation of the slice plane and other variables during scanning to follow the catheter manipulation (Figure 3). The operators can start and stop the MRI scan independently, switch between the four imaging planes displayed on an in-room console and move the imaging plane in either through plane direction using foot pedals that control the scanner console. Once catheters are in place, MRI imaging is performed to measure ventricular volumes, function and phase-contrast flows as above with simultaneous pressure measurements.

Physiological measurements

The following physiological measurements with values indexed to body surface area are calculated during the MRI-guided and XMR catheterization:

- The quantity and ratio of pulmonary and systemic blood flow is measured by phase contrast through plane imaging of the major vessels supplying the systemic and pulmonary circulation. In patients with multiple sources of pulmonary blood flow, each source is calculated separately. Where it was not possible to measure the blood flow from a particular source (e.g., Blalock–Taussig shunt),

Figure 1. Hybrid x-ray and MRI facility at Evelina London Children’s Hospital (London, UK). The 5 Gauss line is demarcated by a change in the color of the flooring.

Figure 2. Balloon tip visualization with CO₂ inflation of the balloon leading to artefact.
this is inferred by measuring flow in the branch pulmonary arteries, or by measuring flow in major vessels on either side of the source or by measuring the difference between the superior vena cava and descending aortic flow.

- **PVR:**

\[
\text{PVR} = \frac{\text{Mean PA pressure (mmHg)} - \text{mean LA pressure (mmHg)}}{\text{PA flow (l/min/m}^2)}
\]

Left atrial pressure is either measured directly or obtained from a pulmonary capillary wedge pressure accepting that pulmonary capillary wedge pressure only remains a reliable measure of left atrial pressure at values <15 mmHg. Units are expressed as woods units (wu) indexed to body surface area (wu.m\(^2\)). Mean PA pressure is either measured in the main PA or branch PAs. Where branch PA flows and pressures are used, we measured individual lung PVR and calculated a total PVR.

\[
\frac{1}{R_{\text{low}}} = \frac{1}{R_{\text{arc}}} + \frac{1}{R_{\text{arc}}}
\]

**Clinical protocols**

Invasive pressure and phase-contrast flow are measured simultaneously and repeated under different physiological conditions. Throughout, we aimed for hemodynamic stability in the patient and normocarbia (PaCO\(_2\):4–5 kPa). Structured protocols used are described below and employed for both MRI-guided and XMR catheterization:
• PVR studies: baseline measurements are made in 30% inspired oxygen. Patients undergoing reversibility studies have repeat measurements 20 min after administration of inhaled nitric oxide at 20 ppm and 100% inspired oxygen;

• Dobutamine stress studies: these studies involved measurements of cardiac output and invasive pressures at baseline and repeated with dobutamine infused at a rate of 10 μg/kg/min for 10 min or once a stable heart rate or blood pressure rise had been observed and repeated at 20 μg/kg/min;

• Isoprenaline stress studies involved measurement of aortic blood flow and pressure gradients across the site of aortic coarctation at baseline and with isoprenaline (isoprenaline sulfate) at a dose of 0.02 μg/kg/min increasing to a maximum of 0.7 μg/kg/min. The dose is titrated upwards until the heart rate increased by ≥50% from baseline and maintained once a stress steady state was achieved.

Single institution results
A total of 214 studies were performed in 187 patients from a retrospective analysis of pediatric and adult patients who underwent MRI-guided and XMR cardiac catheterizations in our institution between February 2002 and February 2012. In total, 134 of the 187 patients had previous surgical or catheter interventions; 21 patients had a single repeat MRI-guided and XMR study and three patients had two repeat MRI-guided and XMR studies. Median age and weight was 4.5 years (4 days–64.7 years) and 15.4 kg (2.3–106 kg), respectively. Furthermore, 189 were XMR catheterizations; 25 were solely MRI-guided cardiac catheterizations, of which seven were part of the first-in-man clinical trial on MRI-guided cardiac interventions. A total of 110 studies led to a cardiac intervention and ten liver transplants at a median interval of 47 days (0–763 days) based wholly or in part on the XMR data. Median radiation dose for MRI-guided and XMR was 3.78 Gy cm² (0.75 mSv; range: 0–57.5 Gy cm²), with a median screening time of 11.0 min (range: 0–66.5 min). Details of the procedures are listed in order of: PVR; pharmacological stress studies; hybrid x-ray and MRI-guided interventions; and solely MRI-guided interventions.

PVR
PVR was assessed in 175 of 214 studies where there was a suspicion of raised PA pressures based on echocardiographic or clinical findings. Median age was 3.6 years (6 days–67 years) and weight 13.8 kg (2.3–122 kg). We and others [6,13,15] have previously shown that PVR calculated during MRI-guided and XMR catheterization is more accurate than the standard Fick technique, particularly following pulmonary vasodilation. It is institutional practice to base surgical decision-making for these patients on clinical findings, echocardiography and MRI data without routine diagnostic catheterization [7]. Patients progressing along the path of surgical single ventricle palliation undergo an MRI with simultaneous measurement of central venous pressure. Therefore, patients referred for MRI-guided and XMR evaluation were of a cohort with a high suspicion of abnormal anatomy or hemodynamics requiring more thorough evaluation.

Pharmacological stress studies
In total, 46 patients underwent 54 MRI-guided and XMR catheterization studies to assess the hemodynamic response to pharmacological stress. Three categories were defined:

• Pre-liver transplant assessments in patients with liver disease. Dobutamine stress studies were performed to assess right ventricular pressure and cardiac output response to stress and line with the criteria for assessment previously described [24,26];

• Patients with a functionally univentricular circulation and coexisting resultant morbidity (protein-losing enteropathy, plastic bronchitis, exercise intolerance (New York Heart Association class ≥2), in whom complete hemodynamic assessment combined with cardiac output studies were performed at rest and with dobutamine stress;

• Patients with borderline coarctation of the aorta undergoing pharmacological stress with isoprenaline to assess the need for intervention.

Dobutamine stress studies in children and adults have been utilized safely [26–30]. It is our experience that given the correct monitoring, dobutamine at up to a dose of 20 μg/kg/min can be administered safely in children and adults during MRI-guided and XMR catheterization. Pharmacological stress studies using MRI-guided and XMR catheterization was applied in the assessment of patients with liver disease or functionally univentricular hearts, where accurate assessment of changes in the cardiac output and simultaneous recording of hemodynamic data is very helpful.

It is known that patients with fixed right heart obstruction are unable to raise their cardiac output at stress and this is relevant in the immediate post-liver transplantation period where a substantial rise in cardiac output is observed and, if not possible, can lead to poor outcomes [31–34]. It is therefore important to identify the presence and significance of any hemodynamic obstructions in order to deal with them prior to the
transplantation. MRI-guided and XMR provides both anatomical and hemodynamic information in one sitting, and we were able to identify patients who require interventions to relieve right ventricular outflow tract obstruction and were able to restudy them post-intervention to confirm a reduction in right ventricular pressure to values of less than half of systemic systolic pressures and increase in cardiac output of at least 40% with dobutamine stress who can then be put before forward for successful liver transplantation.

Isoprenaline stress studies in aortic coarctation provided an assessment of arch anatomy and invasive gradients in patients deemed to have a borderline substrate for intervention at initial assessment. It unmasks important gradients across the coarctation site not seen under resting conditions, thus providing further diagnostic information to plan management.

**Combined x-ray & MRI-guided interventions**

Combined x-ray-guided interventions and MRI imaging were performed in the early series of our clinical experience. This included one atrial septal defect closure, one device occlusion of a hemi-Fontan baffle leak and two coarctation stents. We were able to use the 3D anatomy acquired during the MRI as an anatomical model to guide the x-ray fluoroscopic intervention, which would have not been possible under MRI guidance alone because of the risk of heating of the guided wire or delivery devices used. The registration technique between the 3D MRI anatomy and real-time fluoroscopy images has been previously described by our group and that of Lederman et al. All of these interventions were performed under complete fluoroscopic guidance in the XMR suite and the patients were then transferred immediately back to the MRI to assess the results of the intervention. This type of technology, where acquired 3D anatomy from MRI or computed tomography (CT) is used to guide fluoroscopic interventions is now more widely available as a product from the imaging vendors in the standard x-ray fluoroscopic catheter laboratory setting (Figure 4).

**Solely MRI-guided interventions**

Seven patients aged 3–64 years were recruited in the clinical trial of MRI-guided interventions using a novel MR-compatible fiberglass guide wire. Details of the first two patients in this group have been previously published. Details of the patients and procedures are listed in Table 1. Median procedure and catheterization times were 180 and 110 min, respectively. Five patients underwent successful interventions for pulmonary valve stenosis (n = 4) and native aortic coarctation (n = 1). One patient with a left PA stent underwent right heart catheterization using the MRI-compatible wire, but the gradient across the stent was only 5 mmHg, hence no intervention was required. The last patient with severe aortic stenosis had an unsuccessful attempt at ballooning the aortic valve. This was due to the inability to direct the guide wire or catheter into the ascending aorta against the high velocity jet of aortic stenosis.

All but the last patient were discharged home the day after the procedure with >50% reduction of the stenosis gradient in all five patients who had an intervention with no procedural complications. The last patient with an unsuccessful attempt at crossing the aortic valve returned to the catheterization laboratory for fluoroscopic-guided valvuloplasty a few weeks later. During initial screening, it became clear that a segment of the MRI-compatible guide wire had fractured during the MRI-guided procedure and was now left in the body, but could not be retrieved by snaring and a conservative approach was taken as it appeared well embedded in the vascular wall. The patient had a successful fluoroscopy-guided aortic valve balloon valvuloplasty. The patient subsequently had the wire surgically removed uneventfully with no further incidents. The clinical trial was discontinued at that point and the adverse event was reported to the Regulatory Authority.

**Complications**

There are no reported complications related to MRI-guided and XMR catheterizations, which may in part be due to the nature of the few small series published to date. However, we know from larger series of combined conventional diagnostic and interventional pediatric cardiac catheterizations, the actual total complication rate is approximately 8.8%, with the majority of these being minor complications. Mortality remains low at 0.14 to 0.28%. In our large cohort, there were three immediate complications, one late complication and no procedural deaths. One patient had a pulmonary hemorrhage during cardiac catheterization requiring an additional day of ventilation on intensive care. The second immediate complication related to the fractured MRI-compatible wire as described above. The third patient had end-stage liver disease and experienced transient ventricular tachycardia without loss of cardiac output during administration of dobutamine at 20 μg/kg/min. This was noted immediately and resolved on discontinuation of the dobutamine infusion with no active intervention required. The late complication was in an adult who was readmitted from the community having suffered from a deep vein thrombosis 4 days after the MRI-guided catheterization. A CT angiogram confirmed multiple small pulmonary emboli. The patient was treated with standard anticoagulation therapy and made a full recovery.
Radiation
Despite the need for x-ray fluoroscopy, the radiation doses in MRI-guided and XMR catheterization remain low. The median radiation exposure of 0.75 mSv over 10 years compares favorably against conventional fluoroscopic diagnostic catheterization in contemporary literature of 10.8 mSv [43]. Our findings therefore support the argument that MRI-guided and XMR catheterization reduces radiation exposure for congenital patients as reported previously [6].

Challenges in MRI catheterization
The developments in MRI catheterization have been subject to several reviews [25,27–29,44–47] and editorials [31–34,48], with an enthusiasm for the technique stressing the excellent potential of this technique within routine clinical practice. However, MRI catheterization has been slow to develop over the past decade and its current use remains limited to a few centers.

The challenges to this development have remained fairly similar in that time, with recent advances outlined below. These relate to the MRI environment, scanning capabilities and the availability of MRI-compatible guide wires, catheters and devices.

MRI environment
Most of the centers practicing XMR/MRI catheterization have purpose-built XMR/MRI laboratories, which are expensive and require a skilled multidisciplinary team, which includes clinicians with expertise in MRI and cardiac catheterization supported by MRI physicists and other technical specialists to establish a successful clinical program. Where this is not available, centers can employ a combination of x-ray-guided catheterization in a separate laboratory, with subsequent transfer of the patient to the MRI suite with MRI-compatible catheters and hardware [49] for diagnostic studies provided patient safety is not compromised. Access to the patient during catheterization has also been limited by the bore size of the scanner, but this is less of an issue with the newer generation of MRI scanners with a wider bore. Additionally, improved MRI-compatible hardware is being developed for hemodynamic monitoring of patients [49].

Catheter tracking
Visualization of the catheters under MRI guidance has also been challenging. We employed passive catheter tracking in our unit, using the susceptibility artefact and resultant signal void from the carbon dioxide-filled balloon catheter balloon tips to track the catheter tip for manipulation [14]. Other groups have advocated using gadolinium-filled balloon tips in place of carbon dioxide for improved catheter tip visualization and steering [17]. Passive catheter tracking, however, lacks the ability to visualize the entire shaft of the catheter, which makes it susceptible to unrecognized coiling and twisting of the catheter. The alternative is active catheter tracking where the device is electrically connected to the MRI scanner and has a coil or antenna that is able to transmit or receive. Ratnayaka et al. [25] have summarized the key aspects of comparison between active and passive catheter tracking. Active catheter tracking offers the best prospect for improved dynamic visualization and with new technology, that addresses safety issues, should become much more widely used in the future [17,50,51].

MRI-guided interventions
In the past MR-guided interventions have only been performed in animals due to lack of MR compatible and safe interventional equipment. These have included atrial septal defect device closure [52,53], transseptal puncture [54], stenting of aortic coarctation [55] and percutaneous implantation of aortic valve [56]. However, the lack of appropriate hardware has limited its application in humans where MRI remained an adjunct to x-ray guidance [19]. The availability of a new MR-compatible and safe fiberglass passive guide wire [57] led to a successful preclinical trial of solely MR-guided interventions leading to a first-in-man...
Table 1. Interventional MRI-guided catheterizations in humans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Total procedure time (min)</th>
<th>Catheterization component of total procedure time (min)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1†</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pulmonary valve stenosis</td>
<td>Balloon pulmonary valvuloplasty</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Reduced peak gradient from 63 to 22 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2†</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Valvular and subvalvular pulmonary stenosis</td>
<td>Balloon pulmonary valvuloplasty</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Reduced peak gradient from 110 to 70 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Aortic coarctation</td>
<td>Balloon aortic coarctation</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Reduced peak gradient from 60 to 30 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Valvular pulmonary stenosis</td>
<td>Balloon pulmonary valvuloplasty</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Reduced peak gradient from 38 to 24 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot postsurgical repair; valvular pulmonary stenosis</td>
<td>Balloon pulmonary valvuloplasty</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Reduced peak gradient from 66 to 18 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Partial AVSD, LPA stenosis (post-LPA stent)</td>
<td>Diagnostic catheter (LPA stent crossed with MR-compatible guide wire)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5 mmHg gradient across LPA stent; no intervention required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>VSD and arch hypoplasia (postrepair); bicuspid aortic valve with valvular aortic stenosis</td>
<td>Attempted balloon aortic valve</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Not possible to manipulate wire into ascending aorta due to high velocity jet of aortic stenosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patients 1 and 2 were previously reported by Tzifa et al. [18].

AVSD: Atrioventricular septal defect; LPA: Left pulmonary artery; MR: Magnetic resonance; VSD: Ventricular septal defect.

Further developments in this field are ongoing and new devices are being developed with improved mechanical properties. Once these devices have obtained regulatory approval then solely MRI-guided cardiac catheterization both for diagnostic and interventional purposes will become much more feasible.

**Catheter & guidewire development**

There needs to be further developments in MR-guided catheter steering, which can be achieved either by using guide wires as mentioned previously or catheter development. Recent catheter developments [58] to aid steering and visualization in the MRI environment include catheter tip ferromagnetic beads [59,60], catheter tip microcoils [61] and microfluidic hydraulic catheters. The imaging of the latter is dependent on the nature of the hydraulic fluid. Smart material actuators [62] in catheter development provide better steering without the benefit of improved visualization.

**Image registration & overlay**

The increasingly complex nature of procedures will ultimately benefit from integrating a wide range of imaging modalities to impart a comprehensive view of the cardiac structures for the interventionists. It is crucial to consistently maintain correct alignment of the images from the different modalities being used. Image registration techniques are constantly improving to allow overlay of echocardiographic, MRI or CT images and fluoroscopy into the same image with improved alignment and minimal motion artefact from respiration [36,63–66].
Progress has been made since the first clinical application of MRI electrophysiology [20], with advances in its use limited to animals [67,68]. Newer catheters with full diagnostic electrophysiology functionality with active tracking [51] have been developed. Sommer et al. [23] recently published the first series of patients with realtime MRI-guided placement of multiple catheters with subsequent performance of stimulation maneuvers in five adult patients. These developments are highly relevant to patients with congenital heart following complex surgery where there is a recognized burden of arrhythmia [69]. Additionally, intramyocardial stem cell [70,71] or gene treatments [72] with MRI-guided and XMR catheterization has been shown to be technically possible in previous animal models and offers promising potential for due to the advantages in soft tissue visualization.

Conclusion
MRI-guided and XMR catheterization is a safe and useful clinical tool, but requires specialist hardware and a skilled multidisciplinary team. There are clear developments to be made in the field, which rely heavily on hardware development before we see MRI-guided and XMR catheterization being widely employed in routine clinical practice. Continued developments require the support of industry both for scanner and catheter or device development.

Future perspective
MRI-guided and XMR catheterization has a significant potential for wide clinical application but remains limited by cost, hardware and software development. There is renewed enthusiasm to develop these technologies. It has particular relevance in congenital and structural heart disease where continued developments in surgical and interventional techniques add to the complexity of patients needing assessment and treatment. Our experience as a unit has demonstrated that these techniques, which were initially part of a research program, have now come into routine clinical practice for diagnostic studies.

The potential for MRI-guided cardiac interventions is significant and relevant. The lesions where these techniques were initially applied were for relatively straightforward lesions, such as ballooning of the pulmonary valve, which are not technically complicated using existing x-ray methods. The reduction in radiation dose exposure in these cases is small. The real benefit for MRI-guided interventions in congenital heart disease will be for complex procedures where visualization of important soft tissue structures are required, such as device closure of ventricular septal defects and stenting of aortic coarctation. This will not only allow the operator better periprocedural imaging to guide device or stent positioning, but offer the ability to immediately measure any residual shunts and assess vessel walls for any damage, such as dissection. MRI catheterization also offers exciting potential in electrophysiology, with the ability to directly visualize the ablation substrate in patients with or without congenital heart disease.

The two main obstacles for much wider use of this technology have been MRI-compatible catheters and guide wires and an interventional software platform specifically for MRI cardiac catheterization. In addition, safe active tracking of catheters and guide wires that is displayed within the interventional platform would be the game-changing innovation that would make MRI-guided catheterization a mainstream clinical tool. Over the last 3 years, a number of device companies (including smaller start-ups) and two major MRI vendors have instigated major research and development programs to overcome these obstacles. There are now, for example, CE-marked MRI-compatible guide wires (EPFlex, Dettingen/Erms, Germany developed with Melzer IMSAT, Dundee, UK) with passive markings and prototype interventional software platforms, such as the Philips iSuite, which is about to be used as part of a clinical trial in patients. As these innovations progress into products, then the field will expand and MRI-guided catheterization will become more widely used.
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Executive summary

Advantages of MRI catheterization over x-ray-guided catheterization
- Reduced radiation exposure.
- Improved visualization of anatomy and soft tissue structures.
- Provides detailed and accurate assessment of physiological and hemodynamic measurements such as ventricular volumes, flow within vessels and vascular resistance when combined with invasive data.

Current applications of MRI-guided & combined x-ray and MRI catheterization in the literature
- Pulmonary vascular resistance studies.
- Pharmacological stress studies (e.g., dobutamine stress studies) of cardiac reserve.
- MRI-guided interventions in congenital heart disease.

Developments to lead the advancement of the technique
- MRI environment
  - Increasing number of purpose-built combined x-ray and MRI/MRI laboratories to facilitate the technique, but separate laboratories within close proximity could be utilized for diagnostic studies.
  - Improved MRI-compatible hardware and software for patient monitoring and hemodynamic assessment.
  - Larger bore size allowing for better access to the patient.
  - Improved MRI scanning technology for fast scans and improved imaging quality.
- Catheter tracking
  - Ongoing developments of active catheter tracking have distinct advantages over current passive catheter tracking techniques, which will allow for better visualization of the catheter.

Catheter steering
- Guide wire development
  - Advancement of MRI-guided interventions has been limited by the availability of a suitable guidewire.
  - A suitable MRI-compatible guide wire is crucial for the advancement of MRI-guided catheterization, particularly in congenital heart disease were manipulation of needed to negotiate the complex anatomy.
- Catheter development
  - Newer catheter developments with in-built adaptations for visualization and steering mechanisms are being developed.

Future applications
- MRI-guided cardiac interventions. This includes balloon valvuoplasty, device occlusion and stenting procedures.
- Cardiac electrophysiology studies and ablation.
- Delivery of targeted stem cell or gene treatments.


