Avoiding cardiovascular cul-de-sacs in congenital intervention: keeping our options open

The evolution of the treatment and palliation of congenital heart disease has been celebrated over the last 20 years as, the literature describes improving longevity in all but the most extreme conditions [1, 2]. Patients with disease states once considered to have uniform neonatal or infantile mortality, such as hypoplastic left heart syndrome and pulmonary atresia with intact ventricular septum, now have a reasonable expectation of survival into teenage years and adulthood [3].

Advances in the field have created new challenges for our patients, including psychological, socioeconomic, chronic comorbidity and inheritance issues [4]. It has also brought new challenges for cardiologists, in all aspects of care, not least with respect to interventional management.

The range of applications for interventional techniques in the adult congenital population is expanding: from procedures as common place as device closure of atrial septal defects (ASDs), to growing experience in percutaneous valve replacement in at least three and, potentially, all intracardiac valves [5, 6]. In addition to the procedures themselves, we must consider that the types of patient on whom we are intervening in adult congenital practice are growing more complex, with increasing numbers of patients returning for interventions following previous ‘corrective’ or palliative surgery and intervention.

Of particular relevance to those with a mixed pediatric and adult congenital practice is the necessity to consider longevity and adulthood, even when we are intervening in neonatal hearts. Preservation of vascular access

In a wide range of conditions there is a significant likelihood of requiring repeat interventions in adult life. In the majority of cases, these interventions will require vascular access via groin or neck vessels with large calibre sheaths. Preservation of vascular access is essential. For an adult congenital patient to be denied an intervention owing to poorly planned and managed vascular access in childhood would be regrettable [7]. Planning procedures, such as central venous cannulation and interventional sheath insertion, should be carried out with the assumption that repeat access to those sites in the future will be required. Likewise, access should be managed carefully and not left to the most junior member of the team. Use of ultrasound-guided access as well as a careful vessel puncture technique have been shown to decrease vascular complications in a number of settings [8, 9]. Hemostasis should be performed using the most clinically appropriate technique, again striving to avoid complications associated with inappropriate use of vessel closure devices and hematoma formation [10]. Considering the necessity of arterial monitoring, access is important in cases where the intervention will be performed via the venous route. Where clinically necessary, arterial monitoring should be performed through a small cannula, and not a sheath, to minimize the risk and impact of arterial access complications (Figure 1).

All pediatric interventionists are conscious of the long-term consequences of stent implantation. Implanting a structure with a finite lifespan and size in a growing child’s heart will always impose limitations on the investigation and treatment of that patient as they develop. These can range from minor problems, such as decreasing the accuracy of quantification in MRI, through to potentially life-limiting exclusion of further
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interventional or surgical options. By way of an example that spans across this range, consider an infant who, for comorbid reasons, has been treated for coarctation with implantation of a coronary stent; assessment and imaging of the flow through the stent and the tissues surrounding the implant will be affected and may limit the available useful information. Realistically the largest effective diameter achievable by serial dilation of most coronary stents is 6 mm (without considering intimal in-growth); therefore, the aorta will not reach an effective adult size without difficult surgical revision of the stented area or an attempt to fracture the original stent and implant a larger one. The indication for the index procedure as described is often in the context of a life-limiting syndrome or other contraindications to a primary surgical procedure; however, iatrogenic limitation of further treatment must always be considered [11].

As stent technology develops, there are now more options in such cases. Technology such as biodegradable stents, under development by several different groups, may allow for confident stenting of neonatal coarctation with the hope for either growth of the native tissue after stent degradation or the ability to implant an adult-sized stent after a period of time, without the restriction of the original stent size [12]. This technology may be applicable in clinical practice hopefully in the near future. We already have stents available that can be implanted in children and have the potential ability to reach adult size without fracture. This could make procedures such as coarctation stenting in infancy (where there is a relative surgical contraindication) a less palliative procedure (Figure 2).

The same applies to stent implantation in the pulmonary arteries; we are now in a position where age and weight are no longer major barriers to stent implantation. Combining flexible sheaths and low profile stent-delivery systems with the improved stents mentioned above could allow
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percutaneous, hybrid or intraoperative placement with good longevity. Redilating and preserving the integrity of the stents may now be possible for those of us who treat these patients into adulthood.

The conduct of the procedure may also change when taking reintervention and adult growth into consideration. In pulmonary artery stenting, rather than leaving the difficult or impossible task of recrossing a previously implanted stent, which by necessity hangs into the main pulmonary artery, this can be eased by pre-emptively flaring the proximal end of the stent using a compliant high volume balloon during the initial procedure (Figure 3). This improves the safety and efficacy of subsequent interventions by inviting wires and catheters to cross the true lumen of the stent and not weave through the stent struts. While the debate continues about device selection and erosion following ASD closure; our choices also need to consider longevity and further intervention. We know that despite device or surgical ASD closure; arrhythmia still occurs in patients who have had significant secundum ASDs. Reaccessing the left atrium to interventionally deal with the arrhythmia can be made either difficult or impossible by the size, position and mechanical properties of ASD occluders. Development of devices with minimal septal area coverage and those with biodegradable components is ongoing; however, the loss of CE marked devices with 90% resorbable components from the market is disappointing [13]. As well as arrhythmia management, we note the development of left atrial appendage closure and transseptal mitral valve interventions; all of which will benefit from a device that either resorbs or is made mostly of material that can be perforated and crossed easily.

It also remains under debate when ASDs should be closed. If an ASD of considerable size is closed in adulthood, then the device will need to match the defect size. With decreasing atrial size after successful closure, the risk of erosion may increase. If such a defect is closed in childhood, then the growth of the heart may counteract these effects and the chances for erosion may be less.

Surgery

If interventional cardiologists need to consider the future, then so do our surgical colleagues. Choices related to conduits and prosthetic valves, techniques of reconstruction of branch pulmonary arteries and awareness of potential for present and future hybrid strategies, need to be taken into consideration. Many surgeons are unhappy with stent material in their operative field, so every interventionalist gripes about the orientation of the reconstructed branch pulmonary arteries or the position and nature of a baffle leak or Fontan fenestration when planning or carrying our subsequent intervention.

Conclusion

Medical and scientific history tell us that acknowledging our potential impact and anticipating what technological options will be available 10 years hence should influence and change the decisions we make today. As our patients live longer and experience the rigours of pregnancy and the chronic diseases of aging, they are exposed to a gambit of pathology previously kept from their reach. They require our attention to detail and

Figure 3. Moulding or flaring of the proximal end of a left pulmonary artery stent during the initial implantation procedure. This attention to detail in pediatric intervention aims to ensure that the stent can be safely recrossed during subsequent procedures for serial dilation; otherwise there is a high chance of the guide wire or catheter crossing though the proximal struts and causing entrapment. (A) Shows the stent after initial deployment with (B & C) delineating the stent after flaring with a compliant high-volume Coda balloon (Cook Incorporated, IN, USA).
our thoughtfulness to ensure that the consequences of our well-intentioned procedures do not push them down cardiovascular cul-de-sacs.
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