Glutathione S-Transferase T1 and M1 Gene Polymorphisms among Breast Cancer Susceptible Ghanaians

Abstract

Background: Glutathione S-transferase (GST) gene polymorphism has been associated with breast cancer; however, there are conflicting results. Little or no information exists in the Ghanaian population.

Aim: To determine the association between GST polymorphic genes (GSTM1 and GSTT1) and susceptibility to breast cancer in Ghanaian population.

Method: 112 whole blood samples were retrieved from -80°C freezer. Samples comprised 56 female breast cancer patients. Demographic and clinical data were retrieved from records. Patients were age matched with 56 controls. Genomic DNA was extracted; GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes amplified using multiplex PCR with appropriate primers and the resultant amplicons separated by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Results: The prevalence of GSTT1 null in patients was 42.9% and with greater association with breast cancer (OR=2.84, 95% CI=1.52–5.29, p=0.001). The heterozygous GSTT1 null/GSTM1 genotype was strongly associated with breast cancer (OR=3.02, 95% CI=1.61–5.66, p<0.001). Intriguingly, double deletion of the genes was weakly associated with breast cancer (OR=1.21, 95% CI=0.51–2.84, p>0.05). However, the presence of both genes was not a risk factor of breast cancer in the patients (OR=0.53, 95% CI=0.28–1.09, p=0.030).

Conclusion: The GSTT1 null and GSTT1 null / GSTM1 genotypes may be potential risk factors for breast cancer susceptibility.
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Introduction

Cancer has increasingly become a major public health problem in developing countries, including Africa [1]. As public and professional awareness of the cancer problem has grown, so has interest in the pattern of disease presentation, its epidemiology, treatment outcome and pathogenesis [2,3]. By the year 2012, 1.67 million women had been diagnosed with breast cancer globally [4,5]. Out of this, there were 133,000 new cases and 63,000 deaths in Africa alone, with increasing incidence of breast and other cancers [3]. To date, however, there has been limited research about breast cancer in Africa.
Breast cancer is the most common and leading cause of cancer related-deaths among women worldwide [6]. In the Sub-Saharan Africa, an incidence rate of 26.2 per 100,000 was reported with a steady rise [7]. The pattern is not different in Ghana where reported cases increased by 2.6% between 1996 and 2000 [8,9]. The underlying causes of breast cancer are not immediately known. However, risk factors have been known to include environmental factors such as dietary fat intake and oxidative stress, genetic abnormalities resulting in altered biological functions and family history [10,11]. Several studies have shown a strong association between oxidative stress and breast cancer development and the association has been attributed to either reduced levels of circulatory antioxidants or ineffective antioxidant enzymes. Reactive species damage biomolecules including fatty acids, proteins and DNA leading to mutations, a precursor of carcinogenesis [12,13]. This suggests that detoxification of reactive agents is an inevitable biological event that may reduce the risk of breast cancer development.

Breast carcinogenesis is still poorly understood. A suggested possible contributing factor to the development of the disease has been the synergistic effect of the cooperation between low-penetration susceptibility genes and environmental factors [14] with several common low-penetrant genes identified as potential breast cancer susceptibility genes. Of these genes, glutathione S-transferase (GST), which is a principal player in detoxification pathways has been propelled into the limelight [15,16]. Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are a superfamily of phase II drug-metabolism enzymes that play an important role in detoxifying many carcinogens and reactive metabolite intermediates which potentially damage cells and lead to cancers including that of the breast [17,18]. GSTs, which are induced under conditions of oxidative stress including increased environmental carcinogenic perturbations [19], are highly polymorphic and individuals with different GST genotypes are reported to show varying responses to environmental toxins or their metabolic intermediates [20].

Human cytosolic GSTs are classified into eight distinct classes and some variants have been linked with accumulation of carcinogens [21]. GST isoforms GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 are present in both normal and breast tumor tissues and belong to the best characterized classes. GSTT1 and GSTM1 genotypes are of great interest and important because they possess present/null polymorphism. The null genotypes lack the gene products, GSTT1 and GSTM1 enzymes [16,22] and thus a total absence of the respective enzyme activity. The noted GST polymorphism and its association with risk of developing breast cancer has been a focus for research scientists and has drawn growing attention but the results have been varying and inconclusive [23]. GSTM1 gene polymorphism has been associated with point mutation and shows variable distribution among populations [17,20]. Research evidence has attempted linking null expression of GSTM1 to deletion of the gene and homozygosity implicated in poor prognosis of cancers [17]. Accumulated evidence has revealed a strong association between complete deletion of GSTT1 gene or amino acids substitution and malfunction of the enzyme in humans [24].

The present study was done with the aim to determine the prevalence of GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes polymorphism in Ghanaian women diagnosed with breast cancer and to investigate the prognostic significance of GST polymorphism in the disease. Currently, scanty information exists on the prevalence of the GST gene variants and their association with breast cancer development in Ghanaians. The study is first to report the prevalence of GSTM1 and GSTT1 null and their association with breast cancer development in Ghanaian patients diagnosed with the disease.

Methods

Blood Samples

Archived whole blood samples of clinically diagnosed breast cancer patients and controls were retrieved from -80°C deep freezer. A total of 112 samples from 56 patients and 56 age-matched controls, were included in the study. Breast cancer blood donors had previously been recruited from the Chemotherapy Unit of the Department of Surgery, Korle Bu Teaching Hospital, Accra, Ghana. In recruitment, all patients with other diagnosed malignancies besides breast cancer were excluded. Control group members were apparently healthy non-breast cancer individuals recruited from the immediate environs of the hospital. Blood samples (5 ml) were collected from participants and had been stored at -80°C until use in this study. All study participants gave their consent before enrolment into the study. Study was reviewed and approved by the Protocol and Ethical Review Committee of the School of Biomedical and Allied Health Sciences, University of Ghana.

Blood sample and DNA extraction

The frozen whole blood samples in EDTA-coated eppendorf tubes were thawed at room temperature
and genomic DNA extracted from 200 μl portion of the blood sample using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Inc., USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. A Thermo Fischer Nanodrop Lite was used to check the purity and yield of the DNA obtained.

**Multiplex PCR for GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes**

A multiplex PCR assay was performed using reagents and primers from Qiagen, Inc. USA. A 50 μl multiplex reaction mixture contained PCR master mix, 2.5 μl of each primer and 2.5 μl of extracted DNA as template for gene amplification. The GSTM1 primers (forward - 5’ GAACCTCCCTGAAAAGCTAAAGC 3’ and reverse -5’ GTTGGGCTCAAATATACGGTGG 3’) were used for the amplification of the 215bp fragment. The primers for GSTT1 (forward - 5’ TTCCTTACTGGTCCTCACATCTC 3’ and reverse - 5’ TCACCGGATCATGGCCAGCA 3’) were used for amplification of the 480bp fragment. Also, primers (forward - 5’ GAACCTGCCACTTCAGCTGTCT 3’ and reverse- 5’ CAGCTGGCATTTGGAAGTGCT 3’) were added to amplify the exon 7 of the CYP1A1 gene as an internal control.

The cycling conditions involved an initial pre-treatment temperature of 94°C for 5 minutes followed by 35 cycles of PCR amplification. Amplification conditions employed were: DNA strand denaturation at 94°C for 2 minutes, primer annealing at 59°C for 1 minute and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. A final extension step at 72°C for 10 minutes ended the process.

**Detection of GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes**

The amplicons were separated on 2% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. Bands were visualized under UV light and the presence of the GSTT1, GSTM1 and CYP1A1 bands were detected by the presence of band 480, 315 and 215bp on the gel. The participants were grouped as either positive or null genotypes.

**Results**

**Clinical presentations of patients and controls**

A total of 112 whole blood samples were included in the study of which there were 56 each for breast cancer patients and apparently healthy controls. Clinical data including BMI and blood pressure were also retrieved from patients’ hospital records. Participants were all females with mean ages 49.77 and 48.25 years for breast cancer patients and controls, respectively. The body mass index (BMI) and blood pressure of patients as shown in Table 1 were significantly higher (p<0.05) than those of the control group. The mean BMI of 30.37±6.51 kg/m² for the breast cancer patients suggests that most of them were obese.

**Distribution of glutathione S-transferase genes among the study population**

Figure 1 is a representative gel showing the separation of GSTT1 and GSTM1 gene amplicons on agarose gel. The combined genes and individual genes presentation of glutathione S-transferase is shown in Table 2. Breast cancer patients showed significantly lower percentage of GSTT1+/GSTM1+ than the apparently healthy controls (p<0.05). The GSTT1-/GSTM1+ combined gene was highly presented in the patients than controls (p<0.05) and may be the contribution from the individual gene GSTT1- (27.5%). Though not statistically significant, double deletion of the genes in the patients was higher than the controls. Among the patients, 37.5% was GSTM1 positive as against 33.9% of the controls (Table 2).

**Association between GST gene polymorphism and breast cancer development**

The combined GST genotypes of breast cancer patients compared with controls are shown in Table 3. The GSTT1- and GSTT1-/GSTM1+ were over-

### Table 1. Clinical and clinicopathological parameters of study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Breast Cancer Patients (N=56)</th>
<th>Controls (N=56)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>49.77±10.32</td>
<td>48.25±11.24</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.37±6.51</td>
<td>23.09±1.88</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>131.55±18.50</td>
<td>124.61±9.15</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>79.64±11.42</td>
<td>71.52±5.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N:** Number; **BMI:** Body Mass Index; **SBP:** Systolic Blood Pressure; **DBP:** Diastolic Blood Pressure. Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. *mean difference is statistically significant. p≤0.05 is considered significant.

**Figure 1.** Representative GSTT1 and GSTM1 gene amplicons separated on agarose gel. PCR amplification of GSTM1 (215 bp) and GSTT1 (480 bp) with CYP1A1 (312 bp) gene as an internal control. The amplicons were separated on 2% agarose/ethidium bromide gel electrophoresis. Molecular ladder (M) of 100 bp was used. Lanes 6 and 7 show only the GSTT1 genes, while 2, 3 and 12 show only GSTM1 genes. The lanes 1, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 13 show the presence of both genes. The lanes 4 and 5 show double deletion of the genes showing only the internal control and CYP1A1.
Table 2. Glutathione S-transferase gene polymorphism frequencies in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Breast Cancer Patients (N = 56)</th>
<th>Controls (N = 56)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined Genes [n (%)]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTT1+/GSTM1+</td>
<td>18 (32.1)</td>
<td>27 (48.2)</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTT1+/GSTM1−</td>
<td>7 (12.5)</td>
<td>12 (21.4)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTT1−/GSTM1+</td>
<td>24 (42.9)</td>
<td>11 (19.7)</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTT1−/GSTM1−</td>
<td>7 (12.5)</td>
<td>6 (10.7)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual Genes [n (%)]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTT1+</td>
<td>25 (22.3)</td>
<td>39 (34.8)</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTT1−</td>
<td>31 (27.7)</td>
<td>17 (15.2)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1+</td>
<td>42 (37.5)</td>
<td>38 (33.9)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1−</td>
<td>14 (12.5)</td>
<td>18 (16.1)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GSTT1+/GSTM1+ means both genes were present, GSTT1+/GSTM1− means both genes were deleted. NS means difference between proportion is not significant. *p < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Table 3. Association of GST gene polymorphism with breast cancer development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>GSTT1+</th>
<th>GSTT1+/ GSTM1−</th>
<th>GSTT1−/ GSTM1+</th>
<th>GSTT1−/ GSTM1−</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odds ratio (OR)</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>1.52–5.29</td>
<td>0.28–1.09</td>
<td>1.61–5.66</td>
<td>0.51–2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.030*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combined genes were used for calculation. ‘-’ means genes were not amplified and was considered deleted (null gene). ‘+’ means gene was amplified. OR is statistically significant at p<0.05.

Discussion

The current study is the first to report association between breast cancer and GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes polymorphism in Ghanaian patients. The motivation for this study was to determine the association between GST polymorphic genes (GSTM1 and GSTT1) and susceptibility to breast cancer in Ghanaian population using an available small sample (n=56). Glutathione S-transferase gene polymorphism has widely been studied in several disease conditions but conflicting results have been reported [25]. In this study, prevalence of GSTT1 null was higher in breast cancer patients and was strongly associated with the disease. The result however, showed no association between GSTM1 null and breast cancer development. Intriguingly, patients with GSTT1 null/GSTM1 null genotype were at higher risk of developing breast cancer compared to other genotypes. Presence of both genotypes may play a protective role against breast cancer development but the frequency was lower in the patients compared with control group. However, double deletion of the genes may increase the risk of developing breast cancer even though association was not statistically significant in this study.

A meta-analysis and case-control studies revealed a strong association between GSTT1 null and breast cancer [25,26]. However, no association was reported between breast cancer and GSTM1 null [27,28]. These studies support our current findings. Ying-Hao et al. [29] reported that, individuals with GSTT1 null/GSTM1 genotype and those with double deletions have increased risk of developing hepatocellular cancer (HCC). Homozygous deletion of GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes has also been studied and found to increase the risk of developing breast cancer [30]. Also, another study [31] reported high prevalence of double deletion in breast cancer patients but did not associate the deletion with the risk of developing the disease. Despite the reported association of GST genes polymorphism with breast cancer, few studies have presented divergent results [32-34].

The etiology of breast cancer is not precisely known. However, many risk factors have been identified including increased systemic concentration of reactive oxygen species [35]. Free reactive species cause irreversible damage such as point mutations or chromosomal aberration in DNA resulting in tumor initiation. Thus, biological agents that reduce the levels of reactive species may play a vital role in reducing the risk of developing breast cancer [35,36]. Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are phase II enzymes and important in clearance of xenobiotic and reactive oxygen species thereby protecting DNA from damage. Glutathione S-transferases inactivate cytotoxic and genotoxic compounds within cells and consequently curtailing cytotoxicity and mutagenesis [18]. The double deletion of GST genes and GSTT1 null may be critical for the initiation of DNA damage resulting in carcinogenesis. Double deletion of genes and GSTT1 null were implicated in conditions of increased oxidative stress and may be partly accountable for the resultant cellular damage [37].

In the present study breast cancer patients had increased body mass index (BMI) compared with...
the apparently healthy controls. The association between overweight and obesity and breast cancer is well established [38,39]. Obesity-induced chronic inflammation results from adipocytes dysfunction and has been mentioned to be the underlying cause of breast cancer development in obese women [40]. Chronic inflammation promotes the release of interleukins which are agents of oxidative stress with possible mutagenesis [41,42]. Elevated blood pressure reported in breast cancer patients in this study corroborates previous report by Jung and colleagues [43] who argue in support of evidence that links hypertension with survival of patients diagnosed with metastatic breast cancer which may explain survival disparity between younger and older patients. In as much as the sample size used in the study may be relatively small, the findings are interesting and this is the first ever report on the subject on a sub-Saharan African population where breast cancer has a high mortality rate and sets the tone for a large-scale investigation.

Conclusion

We conclude that GSTT1 null and GSTT1 null/GSTM1 may be potential risk factors for breast cancer susceptibility in Ghanaian patients, underlying the fact that increased antioxidants may mitigate tumorigenic activities by reducing oxidative stress and controlling DNA damage. However, in the light of the small sample size which is an obvious limitation of this study, we recommend further studies to be conducted with a larger population size to establish the effect of the GST polymorphisms on the advancement of breast cancer in Ghanaians. In addition, the role of increased BMI and blood pressure in the etiology of breast cancer needs further investigations especially in populations of African descents.
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Executive summary

Background: Glutathione S-transferase (GST) gene polymorphism has been associated with breast cancer, however, there are conflicting results. Little or no information exists in the Ghanaian population.

Aim: To determine the association between GST polymorphic genes (GSTM1 and GSTT1) and susceptibility to breast cancer in Ghanaian population.

Method: 112 whole blood samples were retrieved from -80°C freezer. Samples comprised 56 female breast cancer patients. Demographic and clinical data were retrieved from records. Patients were age matched with 56 controls. Genomic DNA was extracted GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes amplified using multiplex PCR with appropriate primers and the resultant amplicons separated by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Results: The prevalence of GSTT1 null in patients was 42.9 % and with greater association with breast cancer (OR=2.84, 95% CI=1.52–5.29, p=0.001). The heterozygous GSTT1 null/GSTM1 genotype was strongly associated with breast cancer (OR=3.02, 95% CI=1.61–5.66, p<0.001). Intriguingly, double deletion of the genes was weakly associated with breast cancer (OR=1.21, 95% CI=0.51–2.84, p>0.05). However, the presence of both genes was not a risk factor of breast cancer in the patients (OR=0.53, 95% CI=0.28–1.09, p=0.030).

Conclusion: The GSTT1 null and GSTT1 null /GSTM1 genotypes may be potential risk factors for breast cancer susceptibility.
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