Insidious Dropped Foot in a Young Adult

Balaji Krishnaiah1, Vitaliy Zhivotenko1, Mayur Chalia1, Charles Specht2 and Aiesha Ahmed1

1Department of Neurology, Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, USA
2Department of Pathology, Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, USA

Corresponding author: Aiesha Ahmed, Department of Neurology, Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, 30 Hope Drive, EC 037, Hershey, PA 17033, USA, Tel: +717-531-1377; Fax: 717-531-0384; E-mail: aahmed1@hmc.psu.edu

Rec date: Apr 02, 2016; Acc date: May 10, 2016; Pub date: May 20, 2016

Keywords: Weakness; Atrophy; Denervation; Tumor

Abstract

Introduction: Intraneural perineurioma is a rare benign tumor composed of perineural cells. It typically affects teenagers and young adults and tends to present as a gradual onset motor predominant neuropathy. Pain and sensory disturbances are uncommon.

Methods: We discuss a case of sciatic perineurioma which was diagnosed with the help of multiple diagnostic modalities including electro diagnostic testing, imaging and biopsy.

Results: An electro diagnostic study was done which showed chronic severe left sciatic neuropathy with on-going denervation and MRI of the left lower extremity revealed enlargement and increase signal of the left sciatic nerve. A left sciatic nerve biopsy revealed intraneural perineurioma.

Conclusion: Intraneural perineurioma should be included in the differential diagnosis of focal neuropathy in a young adult. Imaging and electro diagnostic studies can be useful to indicate the likely presence of an intraneural neoplasm.

Case Report

A 22 year old woman presented with insidious onset of progressive left foot drop since the age of 17. Initially she was occasionally tripping over objects on the floor; this progressed to the point where she required a brace for support. She has significant weakness of her left foot dorsiflexion and weight bearing on her left leg. She had intermittent stabbing pain in the lateral aspect of the thigh, shin and dorsi of the foot. There was no history of bowel or bladder incontinence. She did not describe any weakness or sensory changes in the right leg or in the bilateral upper extremities.

On examination, the pertinent motor exam findings for the left lower extremity (Medical Research Council [MRC] grading) are as follows: knee flexion 4/5, foot dorsiflexion 2/5, foot eversion and inversion 3/5, big toe extension 0/5. There was diffuse atrophy of the left lower extremity, calf worse than thigh. Sensory exam was variable and did not fit a dermatomal or sensory nerve distribution. Reflexes were absent at the left ankle. She had a high steppage gait and was able to stand on her toes but not her heels. Remainder of the neurological exam was unremarkable.

Clinical laboratory findings included normal or negative complete blood count, glucose, electrolytes, BUN, creatinine, AST, ALT, sedimentation rate, anti-nuclear antibody titer, vitamin B12 level, free T4, thyroid stimulating hormone, serum protein electrophoresis and serum immunofixation study.

An electrodiagnostic study was done. The results showed chronic severe left sciatic neuropathy with ongoing denervation; the nerve conduction results and needle examination is summarized in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.
Table 1: Nerve Conduction Studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Nerve Distal Latency (m/s)</th>
<th>Sensory Distal Latency (μV)</th>
<th>Motor Distal Latency (m/s)</th>
<th>Motor Distal Amplitude (mV)</th>
<th>Motor Conduction Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>F Wave Latency (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sural R.</td>
<td>3.9 (&lt;4.2)</td>
<td>25.4 (&gt;6.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial Peroneal R.</td>
<td>3.6 (&lt;4.4)</td>
<td>14.3 (&gt;6.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sural L.</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial Peroneal L.</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal R. †</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.8 (&lt;6.1)</td>
<td>7.45 (&gt;2.0)</td>
<td>45.1 (&gt;41.0)</td>
<td>45.1 (&lt;56.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial R. ‡</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5 (&lt;6.1)</td>
<td>11.34 (&gt;3.0)</td>
<td>42.2 (&gt;41.0)</td>
<td>48.1 (&lt;56.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal L. †</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5 (&lt;6.1)</td>
<td>2.23 (&gt;2.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (&gt;41.0)</td>
<td>57.0 (&lt;56.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal (TA) L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.23 (&gt;5.0)</td>
<td>31.7 (&gt;44.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial L. ‡</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.0 (&lt;6.1)</td>
<td>2.38 (&gt;3.0)</td>
<td>37.0 (&gt;41.0)</td>
<td>56.9 (&lt;56.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR = no response. Normal values are given in parentheses.
†Stimulating ankle, recording extensor digitorum brevis muscle.
‡Stimulating ankle, recording abductor hallucis muscle.

Table 2: Needle Examination; CRD: Complex Repetitive Discharges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Insert Activity</th>
<th>Spontaneous Activity</th>
<th>Voluntary Activity</th>
<th>Max Activity</th>
<th>Vol. Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tibialis anterior.L</td>
<td>Incr 4+</td>
<td>4+ Waves</td>
<td>Myotonia</td>
<td>Incr 2+</td>
<td>Decr 4+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrocnemius (Medial head).L</td>
<td>Incr 3+</td>
<td>3+ Waves</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Incr 4+</td>
<td>Decr 3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastus medialis.L</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliopsoas.L</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensor fasciae latae.L</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps femoris (long head).L</td>
<td>Incr 4+</td>
<td>4+ Waves</td>
<td>CRDs</td>
<td>Incr 3+</td>
<td>Decr 3+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI of the left lower extremity (Figure 1) revealed enlargement and increase signal of the left sciatic nerve within the thigh and mild-moderate atrophy of the left semimembranosus, semitendinosus and biceps femoris muscles. She underwent a left sciatic nerve neurolysis with fascicular nerve biopsy which revealed intraneural perineurioma, WHO grade I (Figure 2).

Discussion

Intraneural perineurioma is a benign neoplastic proliferation of perineural cells that is associated with abnormalities of the long arm of chromosome 22, mainly monosomy or deletion of the 22q11- q13.1 bands [3]. It typically occurs during adolescence and has no male or female predilection. For most patients, the presenting symptoms include painless mononeuropathy that is characterized by slowly progressive muscle weakness in the affected muscle group. Muscle atrophy can also develop.

Various reports indicate that intraneural perineurioma represents between 1 and 5% of neural tumors, but it is probably under-diagnosed because of unfamiliarity with the condition and the invasiveness of nerve biopsy. There are no identified risk factors or causative factors for Perineurioma. Perineurioma most commonly involves the ulnar nerve (17%), followed by peroneal nerve (9%) and sciatic nerve (8%) [4,5]. Involvement of tibial nerve is much less common (4%).

The differential diagnosis for focal thickening of a peripheral nerve includes neurofibroma, schwannoma, traumatic neuroma, intraneural perineurioma and, less likely, Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease or chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP). Macroscopically, a proliferation of perineural cells causes fusiform expansion of the affected nerve. This occurs around single axons...
within the affected nerve, giving rise to a microscopic appearance of pseudo-onion bulbs.

Figure 1: MRI of left thigh: Axial T2 weighted fat saturated image showing enlargement and increased signal of the left sciatic nerve at the level of the distal thigh. There is fatty atrophy of the left semimembranosus, semitendinosus, and biceps femoris muscle.

Figure 2: Fascicular biopsy left sciatic nerve. A- H&E, 400X Intraneural perineurioma with whorling pseudo-onion bulb formations. B- EMA, 400X the neoplastic perineurial cells are positive for EMA (brown stain) in a membranous distribution. C- Plastic-embedded thin section, toluidine blue, 400X Myelin is dark blue with this stain. Note residual thinly myelinated axons among the pseudo-onion bulb formations (arrow).

By immunohistochemistry, true onion bulb formations include S100-positive Schwann cells. Perineurial cells are positive for Epithelial Membrane Antigen (EMA) and are S100-negative [6-8]. Neurofilament staining highlights the axons within the pseudo-onion bulb formations of the tumor. EMA-positive, S100-negative pseudo-onion bulbs are not a feature of neurofibroma, schwannoma, or traumatic neuroma [5]. CIDP and CMT can have true onion bulbs that are S100-positive and EMA-negative.

CSF protein is almost always normal in perineurioma but is elevated in CIDP. Electrodiagnostic studies in nerves affected by perineurioma can show features of demyelination and axonal degeneration. MRI demonstrates segmental thickening and abnormal hyperintense signal of nerve on the axial T2-weighted fat saturated images.

Without treatment, the prognosis of perineurioma is poor, as the tumor is a progressive condition that will eventually cause total loss of nerve function. The most common treatment is surgical resection with nerve grafting or end-to-end anastomosis. Recurrence is uncommon with complete surgical resection [9,10]. This WHO grade I tumor has not be associated with systemic metastases [11].

Conclusion

Intraneural perineurioma should be included in the differential diagnosis of focal neuropathy in a young adult. Imaging and electrodiagnostic studies can be useful to indicate the likely presence of an intraneural neoplasm. Surgical resection with histopathologic evaluation of biopsy tissue is required for definitive diagnosis.
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