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ABSTRACT

Exposure to stressors can produce behavioural and neurochemical adaptations that render individuals more prone to drug-seeking and drug-taking behaviours. Alcohol is the most consumed drug of abuse in the USA and Europe and binge drinking is becoming increasingly frequent. Stress experiences are a risk factor for alcohol abuse in humans and recent studies in animal models reported that repeated social stress increased alcohol consumption. The aim of the present work is to evaluate the acute or long-term effects of stress induced by social defeat (SD) on consumption of ethanol (ETOH 6%) using the two-bottle choice procedure and on place conditioning induced by this substance. In the two-bottle choice procedure four groups of adult male mice were used. In the first group mice were exposed to acute SD (four episodes on alternative days) immediately before the availability of ETOH (ASD+ETOH). The second group of mice were exposed to intermittent SD (four encounters with an aggressive resident mice, one every 72 hours) three weeks before the availability of ETOH (RSD+ETOH). Respective control groups were introduced into a cage without an opponent (NASD+ETOH and NRSD+ETOH). In the place conditioning paradigm eight groups of animals were used (four to be tested with a low dose of ethanol 1,25 g/kg and four to be tested with a higher dose 2,5 g/kg). The four groups of each dose were exposed to the conditions of stress above explained immediately (ASD+ETOH and NASD+ETOH) or 3 weeks before (RSD+ETOH and NRSD+ETOH) place conditioning. In the two-bottle choice procedure, both types of stress (acute and intermittent) enhanced the consumption of ETOH. Mice exposed to acute SD showed this increase with respect to controls only during the stress exposure but not afterwards. Three weeks after exposure to intermittent SD, stressed animals showed a higher ETOH consumption than control animals during four weeks. In the CPP paradigm both types of stress (acute and intermittent) reversed the conditioned place aversion induced by high doses of ethanol. These results suggest that exposure to social stress modifies the motivational effects of alcohol and, besides increasing alcohol intake acutely, can induce long-term effects on the vulnerability to consume alcohol. These results must be considered for the improvement of prevention and treatment programs of alcoholism.
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Introduction

Many people take drugs in the world for different reasons, but drugs of abuse are powerfully reinforcing and may cause intense subjective effects that, once experienced, lead to further experimentation and drug taking experiences [1]. These substances are hypothesized to highjack...
the function of neural circuits that encode motivational and rewarding signals [2]. In fact, drug addiction is considered a multifactorial disorder and drug dependence has become one of the main concerns worldwide with associated personal, social and health problems [3].

Although alcohol consumption with social drinking pattern is acceptable in our societies, this drug is the most commonly used addictive drug worldwide, and its use place a major socioeconomic and public health burden on modern societies. In Spain, alcohol is the main legal drug substance consumed [4] and the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that approximately 2.5 million people die every year from ethanol use. Nowadays, alcoholism is recognized as a true “toxic pandemy” and it is considered the addictive disorder with the highest expansion rate among all population groups [5].

It is well known that different environmental factors such as stressful situations can alter drug consumption in humans and experimental animals [6-8]. An important aspect to take into consideration in the animal models used to study the influence of the social environment in the development of drug abuse is the motivation of animal for get or takes the addictive substance. Environmental stimuli that are associated with consumption, or explicitly signal, the availability of alcohol can powerfully evoke alcohol seeking and consummator behaviours [9]. The place conditioning paradigm allows evaluating the conditioned motivational effects of alcohol and the role of environmental stimuli to evoke drug seeking. However, this paradigm has the inconvenience that the animal does not choose the consumption of the drug, which is a problematic issue when the effects of a drug with aversive properties are evaluated. Conversely, in the self-administration or the two-bottle choice procedure the voluntary consumption of ETOH can be studied (Figures 1 and 2).

Different studies have shown that to exposure to different procedures of social defeat (SD) plays a major role in the initiation and escalation of psychostimulant consumption, as well as in reinstatement of drug seeking after extinction, in the place conditioning and self-administration paradigms [8,10-14]. There are different forms to induce SD in the animals, according if the social stress is acute vs repeated, or using an agonistic encounter in neutral environment vs the resident/intruder procedure. Moreover, the effects of SD can also vary in function of the time elapsed between stress exposure and drug administration. In particular, the effects of SD stress on alcohol consumption change as a function of the temporal parameters of stress exposure in relation with alcohol access. Different protocols of repeated SD increased ethanol self-administration in mice and rats none previously exposed to this drug [15-18]. Conversely, SD reduces alcohol intake in rodents that had previously acquired self-administration or when is administered immediately before access to the drug [18-20].

In the place conditioning paradigm, it has been observed that exposure to SD immediately prior to conditioning sessions with ethanol reduces the conditioned place aversion (CPA) induced by this drug in rats [20], while exposure to repeated SD for 19 days increased the conditioned place preference (CPP) induced by this substance in mice [21], delayed its extinction and exacerbated reinstatement [22]. Moreover, this kind of social stress increased ethanol intake in the two-bottle choice procedure [21].

The aim of the present study is to evaluate if social stress exposure modifies the motivational effects of ETOH in the place conditioning paradigm and the intake of this drug in the two-bottle choice procedure and if there are differences in the effects of the stress depending on the voluntary or involuntary administration of ETOH. In particular, we aim to determine the effects of acute SD exposure before place conditioning or ETOH access and the long-term effects of repeated social stress situation on both measures to evaluate if there are differences between two types of social stress.

Methods

Subjects

Male OF1 mice (n=195) of 42 days of age were acquired to Charles River (Barcelona, Spain). We have used this strain of mice because in previous studies we observed that exposure to SD modify the vulnerability of mice to different drugs of abuse including alcohol [17]. All mice (except those used as aggressive opponents) were housed in groups of four in plastic cages (25×25×14.5 cm) for 8 days before the experiments began. To reduce their stress levels in response to experimental manipulations, mice were handled for 5 min/day on each of the 3 days before initiation of the behavioural procedures. Adult mice used as aggressive opponents (n=15) were
individually housed in plastic cages (23×13.5×13 cm) for a month before experiments to induce heightened aggression [23]. All mice were housed under the following conditions: constant temperature; a reversed light schedule (white lights on 19:30–07:30 h); and food and water freely available, except during behavioural tests. Procedures involving mice and their care were carried out in compliance with national, regional and local laws and regulations, which are in compliance with the Directive 2010/63/EU.

### Apparatus

For place conditioning, we used eight identical Plexiglas boxes with two equally sized compartments (30.7 cm long×31.5 cm wide×34.5 cm high) separated by a grey central area (13.8 cm long×31.5 cm wide×34.5 cm high). The compartments had different-coloured walls (black vs. white) and distinct floor textures (fine grid in the black compartment and wide grid in the white one). Four infrared light beams in each compartment of the box and six in the central area allowed the recording of the position of the animals and their crossings from one compartment to the other. The equipment was controlled by three IBM PC computers using Monpre 2Z software (Cibertec, SA, Madrid, Spain).

### Drugs

Absolute ETOH of 96% purity (Scharlab SL, Barcelona, Spain) in different dose (1.25 g/kg and 2.5 g/kg) was diluted in physiological saline (0.9% NaCl) and administered i.p. with a volume of 0.02 ml/g before place conditioning session. In the two-bottle choice paradigm, animals were presented to intermittent concurrent access (on alternating days) to 6% ETOH (v/v) and water in their home-cage. Oral voluntary consumption was assessed every 24h.

### Procedure of SD

To induce SD stress, the animals underwent two different types of SD exposure.

Acute agonistic encounters in a neutral area: To induce acute SD stress (ASD), the animals were subjected to a 10-min agonistic encounter with an adult aggressive opponent in a neutral transparent plastic cage (23×13.5×13 cm). Mice in the ASD groups showed avoidance/flee and defensive/submissive behaviours after suffering aggression (threat and attack) from an opponent, as observed in previous studies [23-26]. The criterion used to define an animal as
defeated was the adoption of a specific posture signifying defeat, characterized by an upright submissive position, limp forepaws, upwardly angled head and retracted ears [24,27]. All agonistic encounters were videotaped to confirm the presence of SD. Control animals [NASD groups] did not suffer SD, but instead explored the neutral transparent plastic cages for 10 min without contact with an opponent. These encounters were performed immediately before place conditioning or access to ETOH.

Repeated SD using the resident/intruder paradigm: In this paradigm, which is more common, a territorial resident mouse confronts and dominates an intruder, which is the experimental animal [8]. In each episode of repeated SD (RSD), the “intruder” is introduced into the home cage of an experienced aggressive male resident, where it is threatened and attacked by the resident until it shows clear signs of submission, usually after a few minutes of confrontation. Each brief episode of SD consists of three phases. During 10 minutes in the initial phase, the intruder is placed inside the resident’s cage separated by a barrier that protects the intruder from attacks by the resident but allows social contact and species-typical threats from the male aggressive resident. In the second phase during 5 minutes, the protective barrier is removed allowing the confrontation. A defeat is defined when the intruder displays a supine posture for five consecutive seconds, a response that typically occurs after few biting attacks from the resident. In the third and final phase, the protective barrier is placed for another 10 min to allow social threats from the resident. Socially defeat-stressed animal are exposed to four episodes of SD separated by intervals of 2 days (for example on days 1, 4, 7 and 10) [27,28]. All agonistic encounters were videotaped to confirm the presence of SD. Control groups (NRSD) was introduced into the cage without an opponent. The long-term effects of this type of stress on the motivational effects of ETOH and intake of this drug were evaluated three weeks after the last SD.

**Place conditioning procedure**

This procedure was carried out during the dark cycle following a procedure that was unbiased in terms of initial spontaneous preference [29,30]. The acquisition of place conditioning consists in three phases: Pre-conditioning (PRE-C), conditioning and Post-conditioning (POST-C).

During PRE-C, the time spent by the animal in each compartment during a 15-min period was recorded. Eight animals showing a strong unconditioned aversion or a preference for any compartment were excluded from the study. In the second phase (conditioning), experimental animals were conditioned with ETOH immediately before being confined to the drug-paired compartment for 30 min on days 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and after six hours the animals received saline before being confined to the vehicle-paired compartment for 30 min on the same days. During the third, POST-C phase, the time spent by the untreated mice in each compartment was recorded during a 15-min period.

**Voluntary ethanol drinking: Two-bottle choice procedure**

The two-bottle choice drinking procedure was carried out as previously described, with minor modifications [21,31,32]. Mice receive three 24-h sessions of free access to two-bottle choice (water and 6% ETOH) per week (typically Monday, Wednesday, and Friday), with 24-h and 48-h withdrawal periods during weekdays and weekends, respectively. During the withdrawal periods, mice receive two bottles of water. The placement of the ETOH bottle is alternated each drinking session to control for side preferences [33,34]. The volume of ETOH and water consumed were measured every day. Throughout the experiment, evaporation/spillage estimates were calculated daily from 2 pipettes placed in an empty cage, one containing drinking water and the other containing the appropriate ETOH solution.

**Experimental design**

**Experiment 1: Effect of acute social stress on the acquisition of place conditioning induced by ethanol**

To evaluate the effect of SD during an agonistic encounter on the rewarding effects of two different doses of ethanol, four groups of animals were used. Two groups of adult mice were conditioned with 1.25 g/kg of ETOH on postnatal day (PND) 56, 57, 58, 59, 60 and 61. One of these was subjected to a SD stress before each session of conditioning with ETOH (SD+ETOH 1,25) whereas another group did not undergo stress, but remained for 10 min in the neutral area (performing only exploration) immediately before each session of conditioning with ETOH (Control+ETOH 1,25). The same
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Experiment 1: Effect of repeated social stress on the acquisition of place conditioning induced by ethanol.

To evaluate the long-term effects of repeated social stress on the motivational effects of different doses of ethanol four groups of animals were used. Two groups of adult mice were conditioned with 1,25 g/kg of ETOH on PND 88, 89, 90, 91, 92 and 93. One of these groups was exposed to intermittent SD stress following the resident-intruder paradigm three weeks before conditioning with ETOH (SD+ETOH 1,25), whereas another group did not undergo stress, but remained in the cage without opponent (performing exploration) during the same times that stressed animal (Control+ETOH 1,25). The same protocol was applied to the other two groups of animals but conditioned with ETOH 2,5 g/kg (SD+ETOH 2,5; Control+ETOH 2,5).

Experiment 2: Effect of repeated social stress on the acquisition of place conditioning induced by ethanol.

To evaluate the long-term effects of repeated social stress on ethanol four groups of animals were used. Two groups of adult mice were conditioned with 2,5 g/kg of ETOH on PND 88, 89, 90, 91, 92 and 93. One of these groups was exposed to intermittent SD stress following the resident-intruder paradigm three weeks before conditioning with ETOH (SD+ETOH 2,5), whereas another group did not undergo stress, but remained in the cage without opponent (performing exploration) during the same times that stressed animal (Control+ETOH 2,5). The same protocol was applied to the other two groups of animals but conditioned with ETOH 2,5 g/kg (SD+ETOH 2,5; Control+ETOH 2,5).

Experiment 3: Effect of acute social stress on ETOH intake in the two-bottle choice procedure.

To evaluate the effect of SD during an agonistic encounter on the rewarding effects of ETOH, in a voluntary pattern of consumption, two groups of adult mice were used. One group suffered SD stress during 10 min on PND 53, 55, 57, 62 and immediately were housed in its home cage with free access to 2-bottle choice (water and 6% ethanol) during 24 h. Afterwards, the animals received two-bottles of water in a withdrawal period of 24 h (SD+ETOH 6%). Another group did not undergo stress, but remained for 10 min in the neutral area (for exploration) immediately before access to ETOH (6%) in its home cage (Control+ETOH 6%).

Experiment 4: Effect of repeated social stress on ETOH intake in the two-bottle choice procedure.

To evaluate the long-term effects of repeated SD on the ETOH intake in a voluntary pattern of consumption two groups of adult mice were used. One group suffered SD stress in the intruder-resident paradigm on PND 53, 55, 57, 62 and after 3 weeks mice have free access to 2-bottle choice (water and ETOH 6%) during 24 h in its home cage. Afterwards, the animals received two-bottles of water in a withdrawal period of 24h (SD+ETOH 6%). Another group did not undergo stress, but remained in the empty cage without opponent (for exploration) immediately before access to ETOH (6%) in its home cage (Control+ETOH 6%).

Statistical Analysis

To analyse data of the acute SD or repeated social stress in ETOH place conditioning, two-way ANOVAs with a within-subjects variable Days with two levels (PRE-C and POST-C) and
two between-subjects variables Stress with two levels (CONTROL and SD) and Dose with two levels (1.25 and 2.5 g/kg) were used. To analyse data of both types of SD on two-bottle choice procedure, two-way ANOVAs with a within-subjects variable Weeks with four levels (week 1-4) and one between subjects variable Stress with two levels (CONTROL and SD) was used. All post-hoc comparisons were performed with Bonferroni tests.

Results

Experiment 1: Effect of acute social stress on the acquisition of place conditioning induced by ethanol.

The ANOVA showed that the variable Days \[F(1,49)=5.644; p<0.05\] was significant. Post-hoc comparison showed that the control (non-stressed) group treated with the high dose of ETOH (CONTROL+2.5 g/kg) significantly spent less time in ETOH-paired compartment in POST-C than in PRE-C, suggesting that the high dose of ETOH induces place aversion \((p<0.01)\).

Experiment 2: Effect of repeated social stress on the acquisition of place conditioning induced by ethanol.

The ANOVA showed that the variable Days \[F(1,47)=4.540; p<0.05\] was significant. Post-hoc comparison showed that the control (non-stressed) group treated with the high dose of ETOH (CONTROL+2.5 g/kg) significantly spent less time in ETOH-paired compartment in POST-C than in PRE-C, suggesting that the high dose of ETOH induces place aversion \((p<0.01)\).

Experiment 3: Effect of acute social stress on ETOH intake in the two-bottle choice procedure.

The ANOVA showed that the variables Weeks \[F(3,75)=9.604; p<0.001\] and Stress \[F(1,25)=5.506; p<0.05\] were significant. Post-hoc comparisons showed that in the fourth week mice drink more ETOH than in the other weeks \((p<0.05)\) and stressed mice drink more than controls \((p<0.05)\).

Experiment 4: Effect of repeated social stress on ETOH intake in the two-bottle choice procedure.

The ANOVA showed that the variables Weeks \[F(3,81)=3.71; p<0.05\] and Stress \[F(1,27)=7.21; p<0.01\] were significant. Post-hoc comparisons showed that mice drink more ETOH in week 2 than in week 1 \((p<0.05)\). Moreover, stressed animals drink more that controls \((p<0.05)\).

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that exposure to SD modifies the ability of alcohol to induced motivational effects in the place conditioning paradigm and its consumption in the two-bottle choice procedure. In particular, both acute and repeated SD reduced the place aversion induced by the high dose of ethanol (that is only observed in control but not in defeated mice) and increased the voluntary consumption of this drug.

With respect to the results of place conditioning, we observed that mice conditioned with the high dose of ethanol (2.5 g/kg) showed CPA to the compartment associated with the drug. A previous study of our laboratory with mice of the same strain to those used in the present work demonstrated that it is not possible to induce CPP in young adult male mice of this strain with different doses of ethanol (0.625, 1.25 or 2.5 g/kg), while using the same procedure of place conditioning a clear CPP is observed in females of the same age and in male and female adolescent mice [30]. CPP have been classically used to evaluate the motivational effects of drugs because pairs a distinct environment with the pharmacological effect of a drug [35]. Typically, animals prefer the environment that is paired with drugs of abuse [36], but there is an important exception with ethanol, in which CPA is the common outcome in rats [37-39]. This CPA is not related with a lack of reinforcing effects of ethanol since rats effectively self-administered this drug [40,41], although a negative correlation has been observed between conditioned taste aversion and ethanol drinking, suggesting that the aversive actions of this substance may limit its consumption [42]. Moreover, the positive rewarding and negative aversive effects of drugs are independent processes [43].

Using CPP, normally mice show a preference for ethanol-paired environments and cues [44-54] for example, moderate doses of ethanol (1.5-2 g/kg) produced a significant CPP in C57BL/6 mice [55-57]. However, other studies showed CPA [38,58,59] and by modifying procedural details like the schedule of ethanol administration both CPP and CPA can be observed with the same dose of ethanol [58-62]. A recent study performed with the same procedure of place
conditioning that we used in the present work, but with a different strain of mice, reported that systemic administration of 1.5 g/kg of ethanol induced robust CPP [63]. Since, it has been demonstrated that induction of CPP by ethanol depends on the strain of animals in question [64], we believe that the CPA observed in the present study is mainly due to the strain of mice used. Modifying different procedural details (as the number and duration of conditioning sessions), we never had obtained place conditioning with adult male mice of this strain (unpublished findings; [30]). With the procedure used in the present study we observed that OF1 adult mice showed CPA with the high dose of alcohol (2.5 g/kg) while lower doses (1.25 g/kg) did not induce motivational effects. These results confirm the idea that the effect of ethanol in the place conditioning paradigm depends on genetic variables and that OF1 mice are more sensible to the negative effects of ethanol.

With respect to the results of the two-bottle choice procedure we observed that mice drink alcohol, although only a slight increase in the intake of this substance through the time of the experiment is observed. The lack of a clear progressive increase in ethanol drinking could be related with the aversive effects of ethanol in this strain of mice, although a recent study of our laboratory has demonstrated that they effectively acquire operant ethanol self-administration [17].

The most important result found in this study is that social stress has important effects in the motivational properties of alcohol and in its consumption because stress exposure reversed the negative effects of alcohol in the place conditioning paradigm and increased its intake in the two-bottle choice procedure. It is important to note that the reduction of CPA induced by ethanol and the increased intake is consistently observed both after acute and long-term exposure to SD stress. According with our results, a previous study demonstrated that SD exposure immediately prior to conditioning sessions with ethanol reduces the place aversion induced by this drug in rats [20]. Moreover, it has been reported that repeated SD increased ethanol self-administration in mice and rats [15,16,18] and that chronic social stress increased ethanol intake in the two-bottle choice procedure in mice [21]. The novelty of the present study is the demonstration that SD stress induces long-term changes on the motivational properties and intake of alcohol, since the inhibition of ethanol CPA and the increased intake of this drug can be observed three weeks after stress exposure. In accordance with these long-term effects of SD, we have recently demonstrated that repeated intermittent SD during adolescence induces a long-term increase in operant self-administration of ethanol [17].

The reduction in the ethanol CPA induced by the exposure to SD stress can be related with the high intake of this substance. It has been suggested that drug taking may be a function of the relative balance between drug reward and aversion [43], and it has been demonstrated that ethanol’s aversive actions limited its oral consumption [42,43]. We can hypothesise that the stressed animals do not suffer the negative effects of alcohol in the same intensity than non-stressed animals, and consequently they increase its consumption.

In conclusion, the results presented in this study show that SD experiences induced a short- and long-term increase in the ethanol drinking. It could be interesting in future studies to measure the levels of different neurotransmitters such as dopamine, glutamate or corticotrophin-releasing factor in mice exposed to defeat and ethanol and to correlate biochemical and behavioural changes to explore the role of these neurotransmitter systems in the enhancing effects of SD stress on the vulnerability to alcohol consumption.
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