The management of contralateral axillary sentinel lymph nodes detected by lymphoscintigraphy in a patient of breast cancer that had never undergone breast-related medical treatment

Abstract

In breast cancer, lymphoscintigraphy-based sentinel lymph node biopsy examinations usually show lymphatic drainage to the ipsilateral axilla, and sometimes to the extra-axillary regions. Drainage to the contralateral axilla is rarely observed in patients that have never undergone any medical treatment involving their breasts. We experienced a case of breast cancer in which bilateral sentinel lymph nodes were detected by lymphoscintigraphy in a patient that had never undergone any medical treatment involving her breasts.

Here, we report a rare case and review the clinical and pathological features of several reported cases. In addition, we discuss the necessity of subjecting contralateral sentinel lymph nodes to a biopsy examination.
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Introduction

Sentinel lymph node (SN) biopsy examinations are frequently performed in cases of breast cancer that do not involve clinical lymph node metastasis [1-3]. Lymphoscintigraphy is generally performed to identify SN before surgery. SN are usually located in the ipsilateral axilla, but some are found outside of the ipsilateral axilla. According to previous reports, extra-axillary SN is detected in as many as 19-25% of breast cancer patients. They are often located in the region around internal mammary chain, but are rarely found in the contralateral axilla [4-6]. There have been a few reports about contralateral axillary SN in patients that had never undergone surgery or radiotherapy for breast cancer or cosmetic mammoplasty [7-12]. We report the case of a breast cancer patient with bilateral axillary SN who had never undergone breast surgery or radiotherapy. This is the first such case we have experienced at our institution.

Case Report

This case was a 51-year-old female. Neither the patient nor her family had any relevant medical history. She was referred to our hospital because of the detection of an abnormality during screening mammography, and a further examination at our institution revealed cancer in her left breast. The main lesion was located in the...
the upper inner part of the patient's left breast, and extensive ductal spread was seen in the upper region. Any tumor was not detected during palpation of the patient's breasts. Mammography showed focal asymmetrical density and areas of distortion together with segmentally distributed amorphous calcifications in her left breast (Figure 1). Ultrasonography showed a hypoechoic tumor (diameter: 10 mm) with irregular margins in the upper inner quadrant of her left breast. We suspected that it was an invasive ductal carcinoma. The ultrasonography also showed hypoechoic lesions, which were indicative of ductal spread in the upper outer region (Figure 2). Dynamic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated that small nodes had spread segmentally and directly towards the left nipple from the upper inner region. The lesion measured 57 × 47 × 40 mm on MRI (Figure 3). The pathological diagnosis of ductal carcinoma in situ was confirmed by a stereo-guided MammoCure biopsy targeting the calcifications. There were no clinical signs of metastasis to the axillary lymph nodes. Considering wide spread of breast cancer, we planned left mastectomy for curative resection of the disease. In addition, SN biopsy guided by blue dye and radioisotope (RI) injections were planned because the possibility of invasiveness of the cancer was not ruled out at that time.

On the day before the surgery, intradermal (just above the main tumor)-plus-deep (peritumoral) injections of 1.5 mCi (total: 1.0 ml) 99mTc-labeled phytate colloid were performed. About 60 minutes after the injection procedure, lymphoscintigraphy of the anterior, oblique, and lateral views were performed. Unexpectedly, it revealed the presence of SN in the bilateral axillae, even though the patient had never undergone any medical treatment involving her breasts. The anterior view showed an ipsilateral axillary lymph node as well as two contralateral axillary lymph nodes with lymph ducts that connected to the contralateral axilla (Figure 4). The contralateral axillary lymph nodes seemed to exhibit stronger RI accumulation than the ipsilateral lymph node. Based on the results of the lymphoscintigraphy, we decided to perform left mastectomy and bilateral axillary SN biopsies.

During the operation, we injected indigo carmine into an intradermal site associated with the main tumor and a subareolar site in the left breast. Although none

---

**Figure 1. Mammography**

(A) Mammography (MLO)
Focal asymmetrical density and areas of distortion were observed in the upper and middle regions of the left breast together with segmentally distributed amorphous calcifications.

(B) Mammography (left MLO spot)
The spot view showed more clearly.
The management of contralateral axillary sentinel lymph nodes detected by lymphoscintigraphy in a patient of breast cancer that had never undergone breast-related medical treatment

Case Report

of the SN were dyed blue, they were all labeled by the radioactive tracer. As shown on lymphoscintigraphy, we found three radioactive SN during the operation. One was located in the ipsilateral axilla, and the other two were located in the contralateral axilla. The radioactive counts of the lymph nodes were 17 for the lymph node in the ipsilateral axilla, and 71 and 247, respectively, for those in the contralateral axilla. The contralateral SN exhibited the highest radioactive counts. All of the frozen sections of the SN were free from metastases, so we did not perform complete axillary lymph node dissection. The pathological diagnosis of the surgical specimen was invasive ductal carcinoma with predominant intraductal components. The invasive component extended 1.5 mm in diameter, and extensive ductal spread and slight fat infiltration were also observed. The precise pathological examination of paraffin-embedded lymph node sections did not reveal metastases in any of the lymph nodes. The immunohistochemical examination showed that the tumor was found to be positive for the estrogen and progesterone receptors and negative for Her2/neu. The patient did not suffer any postoperative complications and was treated with tamoxifen for five years and injections of a luteinizing hormone-releasing

Figure 2. Ultrasonography
(A) A hypoechoic mass (10 x 9 x 10 mm) was seen in the upper inner quadrant.
(B) Small nodules were detected between the mass and the nipple.
(C) A low echoic lesion was observed in the upper outer region.
hormone agonist for two years as an adjuvant treatment. She is currently free from recurrence at 7 years after the operation.

**Discussion**

Drainage to extra-axillary (sites other than the ipsilateral axilla) sites was discovered in as many as 25% of cases of breast cancer in which SN mapping was performed. The majority of extra-axillary sites were internal mammary lymph nodes, and a few were intramammary or supraclavicular lymph nodes [4-6]. Previous studies have reported that the frequency of SN detection depended on the radiocolloid particle size and injection site [13-19]. The analysis in our institution showed that SN biopsy procedures performed with the intradermal-plus-deep injection method were found to be significantly superior to those involving intradermal injection alone in terms of their ability to detect axillary SN (No. of axillary SN detected [mean ± SD]: 1.82 ± 0.94 vs. 1.63 ± 0.80, respectively) and to facilitate the visualization of internal mammary lymph nodes on lymphoscintigraphy (51/329 vs. 5/325) [13]. Based on these results, the injection method employed at our institution was changed from intradermal injection alone to the intradermal-plus-deep method in April 2004.

This is the first case in which we have detected contralateral axillary SN in a patient who had never had undergone medical treatment involving her breasts, even though we have conducted several thousand SN mapping procedures involving such patients. During a search for previously published reports, we found 6 cases of breast cancer involving the detection of contralateral axillary SN [7-12]. Here, we summarize the clinicopathological features of our case and the other 6 reported cases in Table 1. Table 1 shows information about the age of the patients, the tumor site, tumor size, the extent of any invasion, the type of 99mTc radiocolloid, the injection method, the number of SN visualized on lymphoscintigraphy, the number of SN identified and removed during the operation, the number of metastatic lymph nodes, and the final procedure. Two cases of breast cancer involving contralateral axillary SN and metastasis have been reported (Table 1) [11,12]. We speculate that performing contralateral axillary SN biopsies based on lymphoscintigraphy would help to prevent the development of local disease; i.e., contralateral axillary lesions.

There are two main discussion points about cases of breast cancer involving contralateral axillary SN. The first point is the reason why lymph drainage rarely flows to the contralateral axilla. The lymphatic drainage route to the contralateral breast passes through the subdermal plexus [20]. In patients that undergo breast surgery or radiotherapy targeting the breast, it is assumed that the main lymphatic drainage route to the ipsilateral axilla will have been dissected or disrupted, so it is understandable if lymph starts flowing towards the
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Table 1. Clinicopathological features of breast cancer patients with bilateral axillary SN who had never undergone any breast-related medical treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report [Reference]</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Location of Lesion</th>
<th>Tumor Size (Invasion (mm))</th>
<th>Colloid Type</th>
<th>Injection Method</th>
<th>Visualized Ax* SN</th>
<th>Removed Ax* SN</th>
<th>Ax* Metastasis</th>
<th>Ax* Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contra</td>
<td>Ipsi</td>
<td>Contra</td>
<td>Ipsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our case</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Upper inner</td>
<td>57 (1.5)</td>
<td>Phytate</td>
<td>Intradermal + Deep</td>
<td>2 nodes</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>2 nodes</td>
<td>1 node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicente J. [7]</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Retroareolar</td>
<td>20 (unknown)</td>
<td>Nanocollid</td>
<td>Peritumoral</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luján B. [8]</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>Unknown (2.7)</td>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>2 nodes</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>2 nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmon M. [9]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>18 (unknown)</td>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>Peritumoral</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
<td>2 nodes</td>
<td>1 node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chakura A. [10]</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Lower medial</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Nanocollid</td>
<td>Peritumoral</td>
<td>2 nodes</td>
<td>2 nodes</td>
<td>Not explored</td>
<td>4 nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allweis T. [11]</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Lower inner</td>
<td>18 (unknown)</td>
<td>Nanocollid</td>
<td>Peritumoral</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>1 node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krause A. [12]</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Nanocollid</td>
<td>Peritumoral</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
<td>1 node</td>
<td>Unknown**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ax: axillary
Contra: contralateral axillary Ipsi: ipsilateral axillary
** No details were provided, but there were one or more SN.
contralateral axilla in such cases, as has been reported previously [21]. However, such changes in the direction of lymphatic flow are rare in patients who have never received any medical treatment involving their breasts, as was seen in this case. Therefore, in the present case the lymph drainage to the contralateral axilla was considered to have occurred via an aberrant route directly from the primary tumor.

The other point that needs discussing is whether it is necessary to carry out biopsy examinations of contralateral axillary SN. Few studies have examined this point; therefore, the diagnostic and therapeutic impact of the detection of contralateral axillary SN remains unclear. In cases of breast cancer in which it is considered that a contralateral axillary SN might be the primary lymph node, it is not possible to precisely determine the clinical stage of the patient’s disease without performing an SN biopsy of the contralateral axilla. In some cases, metastatic SN have been detected in the contralateral axilla [11,12]; therefore, contralateral axillary SN biopsy examinations might be worth performing because the results of such examinations can affect the subsequent treatment.

Regarding the treatment of metastasis to the internal mammary lymph nodes, the use of surgery in such cases remains controversial. It has been suggested that surgery can improve patient prognosis in such cases, but there is insufficient evidence to support this assertion at present [22-26]. At our institution, when an internal mammary node is suspected to be metastatic based on ultrasonography, we subject it to fine needle aspiration. When metastasis is cytologically proven, we administer radiotherapy rather than resecting the metastatic lymph nodes. In cases in which lymphoscintigraphy shows an internal lymph node in a patient with clinical stage N0 disease, we try to safely remove the SN to confirm its metastatic status. In cases in which metastasis is detected in an internal mammary SN, the disease is pathologically defined as pN1 according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines [27], which should be taken into consideration during decisions regarding the use of adjuvant therapy, including chemotherapy. When an incision made during breast-conserving surgery is located far from the internal mammary region, no operation for SN involving the internal mammary region is considered, providing that the patient and the surgeon in charge agree.

If a contralateral axillary node is considered to be anatomically similar to an internal mammary node, an SN biopsy examination of the contralateral axilla should be considered. Metastasis to a contralateral axillary lymph node is considered to be a form of distant metastasis (M1) according to conventional guidelines [27,28], but when the metastasis does not produce clinical symptoms and is found during an SN biopsy, the contralateral axillary node can be treated as the primary node. We can also consider that such disease should be pathologically staged as pN1, as would be the case for metastases to the internal mammary lymph nodes. Cases of stage pN1 disease can be treated with chemotherapy with the aim of achieving a complete cure. However, the significance of biopsy examinations of the contralateral axillary SN and internal mammary lymph nodes remains unclear. Clinical studies evaluating the significance of SN biopsy examinations of the contralateral axilla in breast cancer are difficult to conduct because such cases are very rare. After considering both clinical case reports and the merits of the procedure for our patient, we decided to conduct SN biopsy examinations of the contralateral and ipsilateral axillae in the present case. We consider that our report of this rare case will aid the treatment of breast cancer patients in whom SN are incidentally detected in the contralateral axilla.

**Conclusion**

We experienced a rare case of contralateral axillary SN in a breast cancer patient who had never received any medical treatment involving her breasts. Although this report only describes one case, we consider that this case deserves attention because few similar cases have been reported. It is important to accumulate more cases to be able to draw definitive conclusions about the management of contralateral axillary SN in breast cancer.
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