Transradial approaches in women and the elderly: deciphering the challenges and opportunities

This article aims first to detail the known complications of transradial and transfemoral techniques in the general population, and then focus on the notably susceptible groups of female and elderly patients. By characterizing the previous challenges faced in these groups, the article will postulate future innovations and techniques to decrease the mortality and morbidity for these vulnerable subgroups.
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Background
Since its introduction in 1989, the transradial (TR) approach to cardiac catheterization and coronary angioplasty has been shown to offer several advantages over the transfemoral (TF) approach [1]. For example, the TR approach is associated with a decreased risk of vascular access complications and shorter hospitalizations [2–6]. However, the utilization of the TR approach has many perceived limitations, requiring adequate training to avoid access site crossover, prolonged procedure time, and increased radiation exposure [6,7]. While the economic incentive of shorter hospitalizations and overall decreased vascular complications remain alluring, the demographics of the typical patient with coronary artery disease have changed. Currently, this patient population has a higher percentage of women and elderly individuals, both who have been linked to higher rates of complications [2,8,9].

Women account for approximately 46% of the 635,000 Americans with acute coronary syndrome [9]. The outcomes of this patient population has suggested gender as a strong independent factor of vascular complications [10,11]. Efforts to minimize these complications are paramount in the care of women with coronary artery disease, favoring a transition from TF to TR catheterization.

In addition to gender, age has been identified as a significant risk factor for bleeding complications [2,10–13]. Specifically, the subgroup of patients greater than 70 years old is at an increased risk for access site hematoma, gastrointestinal bleeding, and a higher mortality at 3-year follow-up [14]. The TR approach in patients 75 years and older has been shown to have a high success rate and lower major and minor complication rates [11]. Despite the decreased risk with the TR technique, the associated catheterization-related complications have led to a less invasive management overall in elderly patients. While the TR technique has fallacies of its own, these high-risk subgroups will benefit from increased utilization of this approach for cardiac catheterization.

TR versus TF approach: complications
The transition from the classic TF approach toward a TR approach for cardiac catheterization was heralded by studies noting a dramatic reduction of access-associated complications [15].
Complications in females

Although many trials have documented the impact of gender on outcomes, the physiologic basis of this disparity is not clear [3,13,15]. A review of over 13,000 cases in the ACC-NCDR registry explored specific vascular and bleeding complications, as well as potential contributing biologic factors (i.e., smaller femoral artery vasculature that may benefit from smaller sheath sizes) [16]. A statistically significant increased risk for vascular complications was observed in women more so than men, specifically with entry site bleeding, hematoma, and pseudoaneurysm while retroperitoneal bleed and dissection were not statistically significant [13,16]. The authors adjusted the vascular complications for sheath size as increasing sheath size is directly proportional to increased AAC [13,16]. Interestingly, the relative risk for access complications in women versus men is also augmented with a larger sheath, suggesting that either femoral artery vasculature is smaller or more susceptible to injury among women [16].

Despite the decrease in overall number of access complications with smaller sheath sizes for TF approach, the discrepancy in complications between female and male patients remains [15]. In a single-center study, the relative risk of vascular and bleeding complications between women and men in the TF group was eliminated in the TR group. This data would suggest that TR would be the preferred method for female patients, confirmed again by another single-center study that noted similar findings specifically in women over the age of 75 years [17].

A recently presented multicenter, randomized, prospective clinical trial studying urgent or elective percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in women, hypothesizes that TR PCI will result in a significant reduction in bleeding and vascular complications compared with TF PCI [18]. The initial data indicates that bleeding and complication rates (for diagnostic and interventional procedures combined) were significantly lower in the TR than TF approach. However, there was no difference in the primary, composite end point [18]. The reduction in vascular complications is mirrored by the multicenter randomized study of RIFLE-STEACS [8]. The study once again noted female gender as an independent risk factor for net composite of cardiac death, stroke, myocardial infarction, and bleeding [8]. Despite this risk, TR approach led to improved 30-day cardiac morbidity and mortality across both gender and age (Table 1) [3].

Age-related impact on complications

With the growing proportion of elderly population and the increased risks and complications of cardiovascular disease as one ages, access site complications for the aging population has also been evaluated [5,11]. The overall increase in-hospital mortality and morbidity in the aging population post cardiac intervention is matched with a statistically significant increase in vascular complications and bleeding risks in this population [20]. The etiology of increased vascular complications has been attributed to alteration in vascular anatomy with arterial loops and calcification of vasculature [5, 11, 20]. Although both of these contributing factors should affect both TF and TR approaches, the OCTOPLUS study evaluating 377 patients over the age of 80 years noted a significant decrease in vascular complications when utilizing TR approach versus TF approach [5]. Both hematoma and large hematoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rao et al.</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tavris et al.</td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applegate et al.</td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al.</td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TF: Transfemoral; TR: Transradial.
(defined as a hematoma that resulted in a delay in discharge from hospital) noted a marked decline in the TR group [5]. Regardless of the origin of access, the elderly population has proven to be a consistently vulnerable group not only in the more immediate vascular complications but also in major adverse cardiac events weeks to months postprocedure. Three separate retrospective analyses confirm that the elderly populations are more vulnerable to AAC risks and long-term mortality risks along with the procedural complexity their anatomy creates. Despite advancement in vascular closure techniques, trends in PCI techniques, or access strategies, age remains an independent, negative risk factor for periprocedural complications, as well as long-term mortality (Tables 2 & 3) [21–23].

Reconciling the challenges in TR approach in women & the elderly

Although multiple previous studies have proven a decrease in vascular complications, TR catheterization has yet to become an integral part of many hospital’s cardiac catheterization programs. Experts cite multiple reasons to explain the lack of more widespread penetration of TR approach in the field. Many operators are often unwilling to adopt new techniques, have concerns for excess procedural and fluoroscopy times, as well as for upper extremity complications that are specific to TR approach [13,24].

Access site bleeding complications associated with the TR approach include perforations, forearm hematomas and rarely compartment syndromes [13]. These are not conditions commonly faced by the interventional cardiology community; thus, resulting in a disproportionate level of anxiety. Interestingly, female gender is an independent risk factor for forearm hematoma [13,25]. Forearm hematomas originating from a radial artery perforation is also more prominent when accessing more tortuous radial arteries as observed in elderly patients and shorter female patients [26]. In the EASY trial, the statistically significant increase in forearm hematoma in women must be balanced by evaluating the clinical significance of these forearm hematomas. More than half of the hematomas in female patients documented were ≤5 cm explaining no significant increase in major bleeding requiring any transfusion between gender subgroups [25]. Even with the use of larger 6 Fr catheters in a single-center study, the increase of access site bleeding risks in women is only minor [27]. Clinically significant bleeding is rare with TR approach due to mechanical closure methods, prompt intervention with pressure dressings and close monitoring of access sites.

In addition to bleeding, other important complications related to TR approach include asymptomatic occlusion, dissection, and spasm. Radial arterial occlusion is thought to be a thrombotic process triggered by endothelial injury during sheath insertion. Key risk factors for arterial occlusion are larger sheath size, prolonged postprocedure compression, and lack of anticoagulation. While neither asymptomatic occlusion nor radial arterial occlusion with ischemia have a predilection toward either female or elderly subgroups [28], the higher rate of past PCI attempts seen in the elderly population correlates with increased nonocclusive radial artery injury [5,22]. Ensuring the sheath to artery ratio <1 may result in decreased arterial occlusion in these subgroups with smaller arterial diameters. Unlike occlusion, radial arterial spasm can be seen with more tortuous and smaller diameter vessels, both of which are seen more commonly in women and the elderly. Fortunately, the increased constriction from the injured vessels may normalize at 12 months after access [24].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Vascular complications in patients &gt;80 years of age based upon cardiac catheterization.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOPLUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achenbach et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TF: Transfemoral; TR: Transradial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Vascular complications in female patients based upon catheterization access.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayafor et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFE PCI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TF: Transfemoral; TR: Transradial.
These issues partially account for procedural failure, access site crossover to TF, and increased radiation exposure with prolonged procedure times [14]. Radial arterial spasm can be seen with more tortuous and smaller diameter vessels, both of which are seen more commonly in women and the elderly. Fortunately, the increased constriction from the injured vessels may normalize at 12 months after access. These issues partially account for procedural failure, access site crossover to TF, and increased radiation exposure with prolonged procedure times.

The overall discrepancy in length of procedure time and of fluoroscopy exposure time between radial and femoral access in the elderly remains unclear. While Caputo et al. did not observe a notable discrepancy between total procedure time or fluoroscopy time, one must understand that individual physicians were able to choose access site for patients >70 years old. The decision could be for a variety of reasons including physician competency in TR approach or poor experience with known tortuous or calcified radial vessels in elderly patients [11]. A contributing factor to prolonged procedure time is radial access failure, requiring access site crossover [29]. Through a large analysis from a single-center study, prime reasons for access site failure include failure to advance catheter to ascending aorta, failure of radial arterial access, and lack of guide support [29]. Female sex and advanced age yet again remain an independent risk factor for access site failure [29,30]. Specifically in elderly patients, the tortuosity of subclavian tortuosity can be counteracted by the use of left radial approach, decreasing procedure time [30]. The difficulty in traversing a tortuous pathway through subclavian innominate and aorta can be counteracted by the more direct curvilinear route through the left subclavian (similar to a TF approach) [30]. However, the study that touted this benefit could not eliminate the confounding factor of using a preshaped TF catheter from the success of the left radial approach by itself [30]. Both puncture time and duration of angiography were significantly increased in TR approach in elderly patients. However, the total fluoroscopy time and measurement of exposure via dose-area product remain not significant [11].

**Conclusion**

Despite a decline in overall vascular complications with the transition from TF to TR cardiac catheterizations, both female and elderly patients still pose increased

**Executive summary**

**Transradial versus transfemoral approach: complications**

- The transition from the classic transfemoral (TF) approach to a transradial (TR) approach is notable for a dramatic reduction of access-associated complications (AAC) including decreased medical costs, hospitalization fees and length of stay.
- Despite the improvement in vascular access closure devices and the use of safer anticoagulants, the relative risk for AAC remains higher in both female patients and elderly patients regardless of method of entry.

**Complications in females**

- There is a significantly increased risk for vascular complications in females using the TF approach.
- Specific complications include entry site bleeding, hematoma, and pseudoaneurysm formation.
- The relative risk for access complications in females is augmented with larger sheath sizes.
- Bleeding and complication rates in females for diagnostic and interventional procedures combined are significantly lower using the TR versus the TF approach.

**Age-related impact on complications**

- Elderly populations who are post cardiac intervention have increased in-hospital mortality and morbidity related to vascular complications and bleeding.
- The etiology of increased vascular complications is related to alteration in vascular anatomy and calcification.
- There is a significant decrease in vascular complications when utilizing the TR approach versus the TF approach.

**Reconciling the challenges in TR approach in women & the elderly**

- Despite a proven decrease in vascular complications in women and elderly undergoing TR versus TF catheterization, there is still unwillingness for many operators to adopt TR catheterization into their practices, likely due to concerns regarding excess procedural and fluoroscopy times.
- Upper extremity complications associated with the TR approach including perforations, forearm hematomas, and compartment syndromes are not conditions commonly faced by the interventional cardiology community and this likely augments the disproportionate anxiety level in operators.

**Conclusion**

- The benefits of TR over TF catheterization in female and elderly patient populations continue to outweigh the AAC in either group.
- With increased emphasis on improved patient-centered outcomes, a TR option should be integrated into every institution’s cardiac catheterization program.
rate of adverse outcomes. The combination of an aging patient population and the heightened awareness of atypical cardiac symptoms in females results in a group of elderly women who are assumed to be more susceptible to vascular damage than either subgroup alone. However, the benefits of TR over TF catheterization continue to outweigh the AAC in either group. By focusing efforts on the vulnerable yet expanding population of elderly and female patients, the encompassing field of cardiology will again adapt to the evolving face of coronary artery disease. In this era of increased emphasis on improved patient-centered outcomes, a TR option should become an integral part of every institution’s cardiac catheterization program.

Future perspective
Given the known benefits of TR over TF catheterization, which continue to outweigh the AAC in elderly and female patients, it can be speculated that more institutions will integrate a TR option into their catheterization programs. The transition from the classic TF toward TR catheterization will decrease the observed morbidity and mortality related to vascular complications in vulnerable subgroups.
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•• The RIVAL trial was a landmark large multicentered, randomized trial that clearly explain multiple benefits and even the limitations of the transradial (TR) over the transfemoral (TF) approach.
• The OCTOPLUS study was a large multicentered prospective evaluation of the benefits of TR over TF specifically in the aging population >80 years of age.
• Large retrospective multicentered analysis of the National Cardiovascular Data Registry detailing the independent risk factors of female gender and age >75 years of increased bleeding and vascular complications despite TR approach.
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** The SAFE-PCI trial was one of the first randomized trials determining the benefits and limitations of TR versus TF approach in women.


• Kanei’s evaluation of specific difficulties with TR technique help to delineate possible solutions to further enhance the benefits of TR approach.


• TALENT study noted potential benefits from left-sided radial approach, thus assisting in decreasing complications in a key subgroup of elderly patients.