Recent developments in cardiac CT

With the introduction of 64-multidetector row CT, coronary CT angiography has become a clinical tool, owing to improved image quality and reduced breath-hold time, and contrast and radiation dose. Nowadays, cardiac CT is widely used in clinical practice for various indications and patient groups. In this article, recent technical developments are presented. Current clinical applications of cardiac CT are reviewed (e.g., calcium score, evaluation of coronary arteries, coronary artery bypass grafts and stents, triple rule-out protocols, cardiac function, valve imaging and imaging congenital heart disease). For these topics, status, future directions and recommendations are specifically addressed. Future perspectives of cardiac CT are also discussed.

KEYWORDS: cardiac computed tomography

Technical developments

Background

Routine clinical imaging of the coronary arteries started in the 1980s after the introduction of electron beam CT (EBCT). The main application of EBCT was imaging and quantification of calcifications in the coronary arteries for risk stratification. Noninvasive evaluation of suspected coronary artery disease with helical multidetector row CT (MDCT) started in 1999 with four-detector row CT scanning [1]. The performance of the four-detector row scanners for visualizing the coronary arteries was limited, owing to the long scan time (the required breath hold often exceeded 30 s), the thick reconstructed slice thickness (~1–2 mm) [1,2], and the long rotation time and its associated limited temporal resolution of 250 ms, which was not sufficient for motion-free coronary imaging [2,3]. The coverage of the x-ray beam for four-detector row scanners was approximately 8 mm (four detector rows, each of 2-mm thickness).

Clinical performance improved considerably with the introduction of 16- and 64-detector row CT. With these scanners, it was realized that a helical acquisition of the coronary arteries could be performed within one breath hold (less than 10 s). The reconstructed slice thickness could be reduced down to 0.5 mm, and temporal resolution improved considerably.

Nowadays, 64-MDCT has become widely available, and the good performance of these scanners made coronary CT angiography appropriate as a diagnostic test in patients with suspected coronary artery disease with a low-to-intermediate pretest probability for coronary artery disease [3].

Data acquisition & electrocardiogram synchronization

Several consecutive cardiac cycles are scanned during helical coronary CT angiography, and the electrocardiogram (ECG) signal is recorded simultaneously by the scanner. What distinguishes a helical cardiac CT scan from regular helical scanning is the very low table speed (or very low pitch), and the recording of the ECG. A very low pitch is needed to scan the heart at each level during at least one complete cardiac cycle. During the reconstruction, the recorded ECG signal is used to select, or ‘gate’, only the scan data that correspond to the cardiac rest phase; that is, the phase interval with the least motion blurring. This technique is termed retrospective ECG-gated reconstruction. Retrospective ECG-gated reconstruction allows not only the cardiac rest phase, but any cardiac phase to be reconstructed; thus, the phase with the least motion can be selected retrospectively and, when necessary, additional phases can be reconstructed to obtain optimized diagnostic image quality for each coronary artery independently. In addition, reconstructions at the end-systolic and end-diastolic phases can be made so that ventricular function can be obtained from these helical scans of the heart, which results in repeated x-ray exposure of the same location. Dose reduction can be achieved for helical coronary CT angiography by modulation of the tube current during the scan, particularly when the acquisition
is performed at low heart rates. Tube current modulation is triggered by the ECG signal, and with this modulation the tube current is unchanged during the cardiac phases that are of interest for coronary CT angiography, but the tube current is reduced during the rest of the cardiac cycle. Thus, good image quality is maintained for the coronary CT angiography reconstruction in the rest phase. Lower, but adequate, image quality may be achieved for the remaining part of the cardiac cycle, which is mainly of interest for assessment of cardiac function.

The coverage of the x-ray beam increased with the increasing number of detector rows and became approximately 30–40 mm for 64-MDCT. The wider beam coverage allowed for the introduction of axial step-and-shoot or ‘sequential’ prospective triggering acquisitions of the heart. In step-and-shoot, ‘shoot’ stands for performing an axial acquisition, and ‘step’ stands for the table movement after an axial acquisition. With 64-MDCT, coverage of the entire heart can be achieved in three to five shots. During step-and-shoot acquisitions, the ECG signal is used to trigger the axial acquisition at exactly the desired cardiac rest phase. The technique is also referred to as a sequential prospective ECG-triggered acquisition and is very efficient to reduce radiation exposure [4]. Decrease in radiation exposure of more than 80% is reported for prospective triggered step-and-shoot acquisitions [5]. With the step-and-shoot technique, data are only acquired during a specific, predefined cardiac rest phase. This rest phase has to be selected with special care. In patients with a low and stable heart rate, the mid-diastolic phase is usually optimal for coronary artery evaluation (at 75% of the cardiac RR interval), whereas in patients with higher heart rates (>65 beats per min), the phase with least coronary motion is often end systolic (40% of the RR interval).

**Recent technical advances**

Further technological advances have led to the introduction of CT scanners that perform even better in cardiac applications. First, dual-source CT was introduced. Dual-source scanners are equipped with two x-ray tubes (and two detectors) at 90° to each other. Therefore, it is possible to combine information from both detectors to reconstruct an image with a temporal resolution corresponding to only a quarter of the rotation of both detectors if necessary. With dual-source CT with a gantry rotation time of 330 ms, temporal resolution thus improves to 330/4 = 83 ms, and with the second-generation dual-source CT scanners, a temporal resolution of 75 ms has been achieved [6]. However, dual-source CT still relies on a helical acquisition. Another innovation has been the development of volume CT with 320 detector rows [7]. The volumetric CT scanner covers 160 mm and, thus, allows the entire heart to be scanned in one single, axial acquisition that takes only a fraction of a second [7]. Volumetric axial CT allows dose reduction to be achieved, short scan time and use of smaller volumes of contrast material [7]. Furthermore, it is more robust with regard to avoiding artifacts, especially artifacts related to arrhythmias [8].

---

**Quantification of coronary artery calcium**

**Background**

Coronary artery calcification is a direct sign of atherosclerotic coronary artery disease [9,10] and has been demonstrated to be a strong predictor for the risk of myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death [11–13]. A method to quantify the amount of coronary artery calcium was introduced in 1990 by Agatston et al. [14]. The Agatston score was initially developed by using EBCT with ECG synchronization, and has the advantage of a high temporal resolution of 100 ms [14]. EBCT uses an axial prospective ECG-triggered step-and-shoot acquisition protocol with 3.0-mm thick, contiguous slices and a tube current of 130 kV. At present, MDCT is generally used for calcium scoring and has shown to correlate well with EBCT [15–19]. With MDCT, an acquisition protocol with a prospective ECG-triggering technique at 120 kV is generally used. Half-scan reconstruction enables a temporal resolution that varies between 100 and 200 ms, dependent on the scanner [20].

**Agatston score**

The Agatston score is based on the area of a calcified lesion in mm² (defined as an area of at least 1 mm² with an attenuation threshold value of more than 130 Hounsfield units [HU]) multiplied by a scaling factor based on the peak attenuation of that lesion (1 = 130–199 HU; 2 = 200–299 HU; 3 = 300–399 HU; 4 ≥ 400 HU). The total score is the sum of the weighted scores for all coronary artery calcifications per slice level [14]. Figure 1 shows an example of coronary artery calcium quantification and Agatston score calculation. One disadvantage of the weighing factor for calculating the Agatston score is a relatively moderate interscan reproducibility. Therefore, alternative quantification methods have been developed, such as the volume score [21] or
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**REVIEW**

Although these methods have shown improved reproducibility, large clinical outcome studies are still based on the Agatston score [11,12,23]. Therefore, in clinical practice, the Agatston score is generally advised, and is used for the identification and risk stratification of patients with suspected coronary artery disease [24–26].

**Clinical applications**

In asymptomatic patients, a negative coronary artery calcium score predicts a low risk of cardiovascular events in short to intermediate follow-up (1–5 years) [23,27–29]. In asymptomatic patients with calcium score greater than zero, the presence and extent of coronary calcium has been associated with increased risk for cardiovascular events, including cardiac death [23,25,30–32]. Therefore, patients have been categorized into different risk groups based on the Agatston score, where a score of zero represents no identifiable disease, 1–99 represents mild disease, 101–400 moderate disease, and more than 400 represents severe disease [24,33]. In symptomatic patients, a negative calcium score may be unreliable in excluding the presence of significant coronary artery disease, especially in young and female patients [34–36]. Moreover, symptomatic patients with low calcium scores (1–10) should also be considered as a distinct risk group; with such a score, increased risk for cardiovascular events has been reported compared with patients with a score of zero [23,27]. There is large interindividual variation in calcium scores. Generally, coronary calcium increases with age and is higher in men than in women [10,37]. For men, median calcium scores (with interquartile range in parenthesis) were 1

![Figure 1. Calcium score for a 70-year-old male patient with diabetes and known diffuse atherosclerotic disease.](image)

**Figure 1.** Calcium score for a 70-year-old male patient with diabetes and known diffuse atherosclerotic disease. Images showing calcifications in LAD and CX. (A) Calcifications exceeding the attenuation threshold of more than 130 HU are automatically colored pink with calcium score analysis software. (B) Calcified lesions larger than 1 mm² are marked and colored red. Lesions had Agatston scores of 161 (LAD) and 34 (CX) at this slice level. (C) Agatston and volume scores calculated for each coronary artery region. Total Agatston score accumulating all slices was 1465. (D) According to Hoff et al. [10], this Agatston score was in the 90th percentile. CX: Circumflex artery; HU: Hounsfield unit; LAD: Left anterior descending artery; LM: Left main artery; PDA: Posterior descending artery; RCA: Right coronary artery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Agatston</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
future directions

To improve reproducibility for calculating Agatston score, thin-slice or overlapping image reconstructions have been suggested that facilitate detection of small and low attenuation calcifications [44–49]. This may be helpful in improving accuracy in ruling out coronary artery disease. Interestingly, coronary calcium can be identified, and calcium scores may be derived from contrast-enhanced coronary CT angiography examinations, since CT angiography allows visualization of coronary artery lumen as well as the vessel wall, including calcified atherosclerotic plaque [50–52]. However, clinical applicability is still limited, since technical limitations lead to under- or overestimation of CT angiography-derived calcium scores [50,52,53]. Several studies have shown the prognostic value of coronary CT angiography that may also be used for risk stratification [54,55]. With the development of low-dose coronary CT angiography techniques, it is conceivable that contrast-enhanced coronary CT angiography may finally replace CT calcium scoring. Coronary CT angiography may provide total plaque scores, including calcified, noncalcified and mixed plaques together with information regarding luminal obstruction that cannot be obtained by noncontrast-enhanced CT calcium score alone.

In addition, it has been suggested that calcium score can be used to assess progression of coronary calcium. However, the high interscan variability and lack of data evaluating the effect of repeated measures of coronary calcium on clinical outcome suggest that there is no current role for the repeated measurement of coronary artery calcium [56,57]. Moreover, there is no evidence that risk stratification with calcium scoring reduces mortality and morbidity. Large prospective studies are required in order to demonstrate that risk assessment with calcium scoring actually affects outcome [56].

■ Recommendations

It has been stated that coronary artery calcium quantification is a reasonable approach for risk assessment in patients with low risk and a positive family history of premature cardiovascular disease and in patients with intermediate pretest probability for coronary artery disease (without prior calcium score <5 years) [Box 1] [3].

Coronary CT angiography

■ Background

Coronary CT angiography has developed into a clinical tool for the noninvasive evaluation of coronary artery lumen and vessel walls, including atherosclerotic plaque. At present, the major challenges in coronary CT angiography are the presence of coronary calcium and limited temporal resolution, both with associated artifacts. The coronary arteries move substantially during the cardiac cycle, and acquisition during the rest phase is needed to allow reconstruction of the coronary arteries without motion artifacts. Preparing patients with β-blockers is often required to slow down the heart rate below 65 beats per min to achieve an adequate cardiac rest phase at mid-diastole. β-blockers reduce the heart rate effectively in most patients, and improve image quality [58,59]. Preparing patients with β-blockers is also required with the most recent single heartbeat and dual-source imaging techniques [6,60]. Sublingual nitroglycerin administration prior to scanning is recommended, if no contraindications are present, to improve coronary artery visualization by increasing luminal diameter [61,62]. Further technical details regarding coronary CT angiography are discussed in the “Technical developments” section.

■ Clinical applications & recommendations

Meta-analysis of single-center studies have shown that, with 64-MDCT, significant coronary artery disease can be detected or excluded with high patient-based sensitivity and specificity (sensitivity: 97–99%; specificity: 88–91%) [63–65]. Owing to the excellent sensitivity and good specificity, the major strength of coronary CT angiography is ruling out coronary artery disease (Figure 2). According
to appropriateness criteria, coronary CT angiography is regarded appropriate in symptomatic patients with a low-to-intermediate pretest probability for coronary artery disease after initial risk evaluation [3]. In patients with a low pretest probability, positive predictive values decrease owing to a tendency to overestimate luminal obstruction. In patients with a high pretest probability of coronary artery disease, the negative predictive value is likely to be lower, in the case of the presence of calcified plaque that limits diagnostic accuracy [66]. Moreover, disease prevalence influences positive and negative predictive values. In patients with a high pretest probability of coronary artery disease, the number of true-positive and false-negative examinations increases, whereas the number of true-negative examinations is generally lower, leading to a decrease in negative-predictive value. For patients with a very low risk for obstructive coronary artery disease, the opposite is true; the number of true-positive and false-negative examinations decreases and the number of true-negative examinations increases, leading to a decrease in positive predictive value. Therefore, CT angiography cannot be recommended in asymptomatic patients or in patients with a very low or high likelihood of obstructive coronary artery disease (Box 1) [5,67,68].

### Future directions

Although coronary CT angiography is often advised and used in clinical practice, several limitations still exist. Diagnostic performance in detecting significant stenosis decreases in patients with high calcium scores (i.e., Agatston scores >400) as coronary vessel lumen may be obscured owing to blooming artifacts that can hamper the estimation of stenosis grade [66,69]. Furthermore, although shorter rotation times and better reconstruction algorithms have improved temporal resolution, image quality with high or irregular heart rates is still limited with helical CT and remains a relative contraindication. With new developments, such as dual-source CT, diagnostic image quality can be obtained with high heart rates, and with wide-detector volumetric CT, even in patients with arrhythmia [70,71]. However, it should be noted that imaging in patients with arrhythmia should be reserved for specific indications and with experienced operators only.

### Coronary artery bypass grafts

#### Background

Patients with coronary artery bypass grafts (CABGs) may present with recurrent chest pain, where graft stenosis or occlusion, or progression of native coronary artery disease, may be suspected. Within 10 years after bypass surgery, graft occlusion occurs in 43% of venous grafts and 10% of arterial grafts [72]. It has been shown that CT angiography allows detection of CABG occlusion or stenosis with high accuracy [64,73]. Coronary bypasses, especially saphenous venous grafts, are relatively easy to assess with CT, due to the larger size, the relative immobility and few calcifications, compared with native coronary arteries. Imaging arterial grafts is more challenging due to the smaller size and presence of metallic clips. 

#### Box 1. Recommendations and guidelines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coronary artery calcium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate for risk assessment in patients with low pretest probability and a positive family history of premature cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate for risk assessment in patients with intermediate pretest probability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coronary artery imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate in symptomatic patients with low-to-intermediate pretest probability after initial risk evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Major strength is ruling out coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bypasses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate in symptomatic coronary artery bypass graft patients to assess bypass graft patency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limited accuracy in evaluating native coronary arteries and distal anastomoses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate in evaluation of large (≥3 mm) diameter stents in left main coronary artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• May be appropriate in evaluation of symptomatic, low-to-intermediate risk patients with large diameter (≥3 mm) stents and when good image quality can be presumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inappropriate in evaluation of stents with diameter less than 3 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triple rule-out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Routine use not advised as substitute to dedicated tests evaluating specific causes of chest pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiac function analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate for evaluation of right and left ventricular function when other imaging modalities are inadequate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart valves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate for evaluation of native and prosthetic heart valves when other imaging modalities are inadequate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Congenital heart disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate for evaluation of complex congenital heart disease and to assess anatomy of coronary arteries or arterial and venous thoracic vessels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data adapted from [3,78].

#### Clinical applications

Meta-analyses have reported high diagnostic accuracy of CT angiography in evaluating CABG stenosis or occlusion (sensitivity: 98%; specificity: 97%; positive and negative predictive values: 93 and 99%, respectively) [64,73]. However, 7–8% of the bypass grafts (including distal anastomosis) that were not assessable have been excluded in analyses, as well as native...
coronary arteries and bypass runoff beyond the distal anastomoses [64,73]. Follow-up in symptomatic CABG patients should include evaluation of CABG runoff and native coronary arteries as possible causes of recurrent chest pain. The distal anastomoses with runoff to the native coronary arteries in CABG patients are generally more difficult to evaluate than coronary arteries in patients without bypasses, owing to the small caliber native vessels and the presence of motion and/or metallic clip artifacts in CABG patients. Furthermore, native coronary arteries in CABG patients are usually of poor quality with severe wall irregularities, and are often heavily calcified. These factors limit diagnostic accuracy in CABG patients [70,74]. Studies that have investigated accuracy for evaluating native vessels in CABG patients found sensitivities and specificities in the range of 86–97% and 76–88%, respectively (i.e., somewhat lower than for evaluating the bypass grafts and their distal anastomoses itself) [70,74,75].

**Current status**

Some technical aspects and limitations need attention. First, a larger scan range is required to include bypasses, especially when internal mammary artery grafts are present. This leads to increased breath hold, higher radiation exposure and a greater amount of contrast is required. Moreover, bypass segments or anastomoses may be obscured owing to metallic clip artifacts. Internal mammary artery bypass grafts especially may have metallic clips alongside the graft that can obscure the lumen and hamper diagnosis. Furthermore, due to the smaller size, arterial grafts (1–3 mm) may be more difficult to evaluate than the larger venous grafts (3–4 mm) [76,77].

Low-dose techniques, such as prospective triggering, may reduce patient exposure to radiation (Figure 3). Faster CT angiography scan techniques that require shorter breath holds and decrease the amount of contrast agent are advantageous in evaluating CABG patients.

**Recommendations**

According to the Appropriateness criteria, CT angiography is regarded appropriate in the evaluation of bypass graft patency in symptomatic CABG patients [3]. The American Heart Association (AHA) consensus document reports that accuracy of evaluating the bypass grafts themselves is very high, while overall diagnostic accuracy of CT angiography in CABG patients is limited due to difficulties in evaluating the native coronary arteries (Box 1) [78].

**Coronary artery stents**

**Background**

Stents may be present in patients with known coronary artery disease referred for coronary CT angiography. The technical success rate of coronary artery stenting exceeds 95%. In-stent restenosis, mainly caused by intima hyperplasia, occurs in 8–12% of cases and may cause recurrent chest pain [79]. Coronary CT angiography stent imaging aims at confirming or excluding in-stent restenosis.

**Current status**

Imaging coronary artery stents with coronary CT angiography is challenging. Phantom studies and patient studies have shown that, generally, 27–39% of the stent lumen cannot be assessed reliably due to artificial lumen narrowing [80–82]. This is caused by a high density of metallic stent components, causing blooming artifacts that obscure part of the stent lumen. Other stent characteristics, such as stent diameter, strut thickness and high metallic component, also influence visualization of stent lumen [81,83,84].
Gold or gold-coated stents and tantalum stents generate more artifacts than stainless steel and cobalt stents [85]. Moreover, general artifacts, such as motion and partial volume averaging, may also influence stent lumen visualization with CT [78].

**Clinical applications**

Several studies have evaluated the diagnostic performance of CT in identifying in-stent restenosis. Meta-analyses reported high sensitivities (84–91%) and specificities (91% for all) [86–88]. However, unassessable stents were excluded in most studies, which comprised 9–13% of stents [86–88]. A small stent diameter (<3 mm) was found to be the most important factor limiting diagnostic performance related to limited spatial and temporal CT resolution [86–88]. Limited spatial resolution leads to partial-volume averaging, where the average HU value of two adjacent structures present in one voxel is averaged for that specific voxel [8]. Limited temporal resolution related to coronary size and motion...
results in blurring artifacts, which also degrade contrast and spatial resolution [8]. A sharp reconstruction filter may be beneficial for the imaging of coronary stents (Figure 4) [80].

Future directions
With newer scanner types, the ability of CT angiography to detect in-stent restenosis may improve with further increase in spatial and temporal resolution. Furthermore, the development of new stent types, such as biodegradable stents, are promising [89], and may help in CT evaluation of patients regarding restenosis. Nevertheless, CT angiography currently has a limited role in the evaluation of patients with coronary stents.

Recommendations
According to the Appropriateness criteria, CT angiography is regarded appropriate in the evaluation of a large diameter stent (≥3 mm) in the left main coronary artery. Furthermore, CT angiography may be a reasonable approach in the evaluation of symptomatic patients with coronary stents of at least 3 mm. Moreover, for coronary stents smaller than 3 mm, CT angiography is regarded inappropriate [3]. In addition, the AHA Expert Consensus suggests that 64-slice CT angiography may be used to rule out in-stent restenosis in a highly selected patient group. Major selection criteria are patients with low-to-intermediate pre-test probability, large diameter stents (>3 mm), and presumed good image quality (Box 1) [78].

Triple rule-out
Background
Acute chest pain evaluation mainly focuses on confirming or excluding the three major life-threatening causes of chest pain: acute coronary syndrome, acute aortic syndrome and pulmonary embolism. In patients at high risk for one of these diagnoses, dedicated tests aiming at evaluating the suspected diagnosis are appropriate. However, in patients without specific symptoms or signs, it may be difficult to distinguish between suspected causes based on clinical presentation alone. CT angiography has been shown to be accurate in diagnosing coronary artery disease [65] and is the diagnostic study of choice for patients with suspected pulmonary embolism [90] or acute aortic syndrome [91]. A noninvasive CT angiography ‘triple rule-out’ approach for evaluating these three life-threatening conditions in a single investigation may be advantageous in patients presenting with acute chest pain of indeterminate cause as to prevent inappropriate discharges, as well as unnecessary admissions [92].

Scan protocol
Several differences exist between triple rule-out protocols and the specific disease-targeted CT protocols used for diagnosing coronary heart disease, acute aortic syndrome or pulmonary embolism. For coronary artery imaging, a small field-of-view is reconstructed for increased spatial resolution [8]. Large field-of-view is required for triple rule-out protocols to include the entire chest width. In addition, a longer scan range (~20 cm) is needed to visualize the pulmonary arteries and the thoracic aorta [93-96]. This causes longer scan time and breath-hold duration (~15 s with 64-slice scanners) [93,95,97]. With triple rule-out protocols, larger volumes of contrast agent are required (95–160 ml) for homogeneous contrast enhancement and for simultaneous enhancement of pulmonary arteries, aorta and coronary arteries [93-99]. To provide optimal contrast enhancement of the right and left circulation, with minimized right heart streak artifacts, biphasic [93,97,98] or triphasic contrast protocols [94] have been advised, although single-phase contrast injection has also been shown to be sufficient [95,96].

Radiation exposure for triple rule-out CT protocols is of concern. With retrospective ECG-gating techniques, doses varying between 18 and 32 mSv have been reported [93-95]. With dose-saving prospective ECG-triggering techniques, the dose for triple rule-out CT is
still in the range of 6 to 9 mSv \([93–95]\), which is generally more than is expected with current prospective ECG-triggered coronary CT angiography \((e.g., 2–4 \text{ mSv}\) \([78]\)). Pulmonary CT angiography \((e.g., 2–5 \text{ mSv}\) \([100–102]\)) or prospectively ECG-triggered aortic CT angiography \((e.g., 2–4 \text{ mSv}\) \([103,104]\)) protocols.

**Current status**

Only a few studies \((with\ different\ end\ points)\) have evaluated triple rule-out CT angiography protocols. One study reported a significant reduction of costs and length of in-hospital stay \([96]\). Another study, including 197 patients, found clinically important diagnosis with triple rule-out in 22% of the patients \((coronary\ artery\ disease\ in\ 11%,\ pulmonary\ embolism\ in\ 1.5%\ and\ aortic\ dissection\ in\ 0.5%;\ other\ clinically\ important\ diagnosis:\ 9%)\). The remaining patients could be discharged safely and no adverse outcome within 30 days was reported \([95]\). Two studies reported on overall diagnostic accuracy of triple rule-out CT with sensitivities of 87–93% and specificities of 78–96%, respectively \([95,105]\). The moderate sensitivity could be explained by failure of CT in identifying significant coronary artery stenosis \([105]\).

**Future directions**

ECG-triggered aorta protocols facilitate diagnosis of dissection, and these protocols can be used in clinical practice with acceptable patient dose \([103,104]\). However, do we need triple rule-out CT protocols? In clinical practice, there is often little doubt between suspicions of the three major vascular life-threatening conditions, but more often between two of those \((e.g.,\ suspicion\ for\ pulmonary\ embolism\ or\ acute\ aortic\ syndrome)\). In these cases, the protocol may be focused on dual rule-out, including technical simplifications as compared with triple rule-out. In addition, some triple rule-out studies did not include noncontrast-enhanced CT \([93–95]\). Noncontrast-enhanced CT is additionally required for diagnosing aortic intramural hematoma, a condition that occurs in approximately 15% of patients among acute aortic syndromes, and the condition is clinically comparable to acute aortic dissection \([106]\). Adding noncontrast-enhanced CT is therefore recommended in patients with suspected acute aortic syndrome \([107]\). Another important limitation specifically related to coronary artery evaluation is heart rate control. Due to CT-limited temporal resolution, patients are usually given β-blockers to slow down the heart rate \((<65\ \text{beats\ per\ min})\) to obtain good quality coronary CT angiography images. However, in (hemodynamically stable) patients with pulmonary embolism, heart rate is typically high \((±87\ \text{beats\ per\ min})\) \([108]\). Little is known regarding safety of using β-blockers in stable or unstable acute pulmonary embolism patients should this be required for triple rule-out reasons.

**Recommendations**

 Appropriateness criteria have classified atypical chest pain as an ‘uncertain’ indication for CT angiography \([3]\). Furthermore, the European Society of Cardiac Radiology and the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging have concluded that large, blinded randomized trials are needed to evaluate accuracy of triple rule-out CT angiography \([109]\). Moreover, the AHA consensus states that routine use is not advised as a substitute for dedicated clinical tests evaluating specific causes of acute chest pain \([78]\).

The paucity of actual clinical study publications regarding triple rule-out protocols and the relative abundance of opinion papers suggest that the triple rule-out idea is interesting, but that CT-related technical restrictions and patient-related clinical restrictions limit wide introduction in general practice. Therefore, triple rule-out CT angiography currently has a limited role and may only be appropriate in the evaluation of a highly selected patient group with acute chest pain of uncertain cause (Box 1).

**Left & right ventricular function**

**Background**

Left ventricular (LV) and right ventricular (RV) dysfunction have been shown to be predictive for mortality in patients after myocardial infarction \([110,111]\), in patients with heart failure \([112–114]\), valvular heart disease and pulmonary embolism \([115–117]\). Furthermore, ventricular function analysis has been shown to be useful in depicting toxic cardiomyopathy in patients with a history of malignancy who received treatment with chemotherapeutic agents \([118,119]\). Echocardiography \([120]\), SPECT \([121]\) and MRI \([122,123]\) are well-established, noninvasive imaging techniques for evaluation of ventricular function. Compared with echocardiography, CT does not suffer from acoustic window limitations, observer dependency and the limited ability to visualize the RV. As compared with MRI, CT acquisition time is short, and CT may be an alternative for claustrophobic patients or patients with intracardiac devices. Compared with SPECT, CT has superior spatial resolution, leading to better estimation of ejection fraction \([124]\). With CT, LV and RV function can be assessed with high diagnostic accuracy and
reproducibility compared with the standard of reference MRI [124–128]. Although agreement with MRI has shown to be excellent, several studies reported overestimation of LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes with CT, as well as lower ejection fractions [129–132]. This may be caused by the frequent administration of β-blockers prior to the CT examination that decrease heart rate, and thereby influences LV function [124,131,132].

- **Global LV & RV function**
  Accuracy of CT-derived global LV functional parameters has been shown to be superior to measurements obtained with echocardiography or SPECT [124,133]. With CT, LV volumes and ejection fraction are assessed with excellent agreement compared with MRI [127,128], although a slight overestimation has been reported for CT-measured end-diastolic and/or end-diastolic volumes. This resulted in a non-significant but systematic overestimation [128] or underestimation [127,129–132] of LV ejection fraction. The overestimation of volumetric measurements is related to moderate temporal resolution of CT [128,132]. Global RV function can be assessed with high reproducibility with CT, compared with MRI [125,126]. Also for RV function, an overestimation of volumetric measurements has been reported, leading to underestimation [126] or overestimation of RV ejection fraction [125]. Global RV and LV function assessment is illustrated in Figure 5.

- **Regional LV function**
  Regional LV function can be evaluated with CT by assessing regional wall motion and/or by measuring end-diastolic and end-systolic wall thickness and mass [128,134]. Good agreement has been found between CT and MR in the evaluation of regional LV function [124,128,131,134]. Owing to CT temporal resolution limitations, assessment of regional function is still inferior compared with MRI [124,128].

- **Determination of ventricular function**
  To obtain ventricular function, imaging is performed throughout the cardiac cycle. Images are reconstructed at every 5% [131–133] or 10% [129,135,136] of the RR interval. For ventricular volumetric analysis with manual contour depiction, reconstruction thickness of 5 mm has been shown to be sufficient [132,137]. Short-axis images are typically prepared with 5–8 mm thickness. The use of a segmental reconstruction algorithm is preferable to that of half reconstruction, since this improves temporal resolution and limits motion artifacts [133]. However, this may be less important with improved temporal resolution of dual-source CT.

  For function analysis, images are preferably viewed in cine loop. To obtain volumetric measurements, endocardial contours are drawn manually or (semi-) automatically, usually in short-axis view or axial orientation. In the case of manual contour drawing, the phases with the largest and smallest ventricular volumes are selected that represent the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases, respectively. From these end-diastolic and end-systolic volumetric measurements, RV and LV stroke volume and ejection fraction are calculated for global function (Figure 5). For regional LV function, cine loop movies can also be used to visually identify areas of impaired motion. Moreover, by endocardial and epicardial contour drawing, end-systolic and end-diastolic wall thickening and ventricular mass can be calculated [128,131,134].

- **Current status**
  Coronary CT angiography performed with helical imaging with retrospective ECG-gating can be used to obtain cardiac function ‘for free’ without the need for additional scanning or contrast administration. However, radiation dose associated with this technique is high (in the range of 12 to 21 mSv) [138–142]. Tube-current modulation with prospective ECG-triggering techniques can be used to save radiation dose. A full dose during a short period in the cardiac RR cycle is required for coronary artery reconstruction, whereas the rest of the cardiac RR cycle is scanned with low dose (e.g., 25%) that is still sufficient for analyzing ventricular function. A dose with prospective ECG-modulated triggering techniques is in the range of 4 to 9 mSv [140,143,144]. Alternatively, ventricular function can also be obtained by retrospective ECG-gating techniques and low dose throughout the full cardiac RR cycle (e.g., in cases where the coronary arteries are not the topic of interest). The radiation dose for such protocol is approximately 3–4 mSv [108].

  Another advantage of that protocol is the small amount (30–40 ml) of contrast agent needed for obtaining ventricular function [108].

  With second-generation dual-source CT or volumetric CT, functional analysis can be obtained with prospective ECG-triggering [129,143].

- **Recommendations**
  Ventricular functional analysis can be adequately assessed with CT and has been shown
to have incremental prognostic value over coronary CT angiography alone \( [145,146] \). According to the Appropriateness criteria, CT angiography for obtaining LV function is considered appropriate in patients after myocardial infarction or with heart failure, when other imaging modalities are inadequate \([3]\). Moreover, CT angiography is considered a reasonable approach for the assessment of RV morphology, or when arrhythmogenic RV dysplasia is suspected \([3]\).

**Figure 5. Ventricular function analysis for a 56-year-old female patient (patient 1, A–E) and 76-year-old male patient (patient 2, F–I).** CT images were reconstructed for every 5% of the RR interval and analyzed with dedicated software (MASS V2009-EXP, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands). (A) End-diastolic and end-systolic phases were selected and (B–I) contours were drawn for each 4 mm to obtain end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes. Manually traced endocardial contours of RV and LV in patient 1 (B & C) and patient 2 (F & G). RV and LV end-diastolic volumes (D & H) and end-systolic volumes (E & I) were derived from the contours. (F & G) Patient 2 showed severe RV artifacts due to the presence of an intracardiac device. (H & I) However, RV and LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes could be obtained. Patient 1 had severe RV dysfunction; ejection fraction was 18.3% and LV dysfunction with ejection fraction of 32.7%. Patient 2 had severe LV dysfunction, ejection fraction was 24.3%, RV ejection fraction was 44.9%. LV: Left ventricle; RV: Right ventricle.
The AHA consensus does not include advice regarding functional analysis [78]. With the availability of accurate techniques that can obtain cardiac function without radiation exposure and contrast administration, such as echocardiography and MRI, CT currently has a limited role in the evaluation of ventricular function. However, CT function may be used as an alternative in patients where echocardiography or MRI are not diagnostic or contraindicated (e.g., for evaluating ventricular function in patients with congenital heart disease who have a pacemaker) (Box 1).

Heart valves

Background

Although echocardiography and MRI are currently the preferred imaging techniques for evaluating patients with valvular heart disease, CT has potential advantages for imaging heart valves. CT has excellent image quality with short acquisition times and has the ability to provide detailed information of other cardiac structures including the coronary arteries. CT has been used for various valvular disease indications and for all heart valves.

Aortic valve

CT can provide detailed information of the aortic valve, such as the morphology and number of valve leaflets, shape and size of the aortic annulus, leaflet thickness, presence of calcifications, opening and closing of native or prosthetic valve leaflets and distance of aortic valve to coronary artery ostia [147–152]. Furthermore, with CT, aortic stenosis severity can be assessed with high diagnostic accuracy by measuring the maximum aortic valve area in native aortic valves [152–156] or in aortic bioprostheses [149]. In the evaluation of mechanical aortic valves, size and function can be accurately evaluated with CT [150]. Furthermore, aortic regurgitation severity can also be evaluated with high diagnostic accuracy. First, the phase with smallest regurgitant orifice area diameter is selected. By reconstructing images in the plane perpendicular to the aortic valve, parallel to the regurgitant orifice, the inner contour of the orifice area can be outlined, enabling calculation of the regurgitant orifice area in square millimeters [157]. Although the evaluation of aortic stenosis and regurgitation is feasible, it is still an experimental method and validation would be required for the acceptance of CT as a standard tool. Moreover, with CT, the presence and extent of aortic valve or annulus calcifications can be visualized and quantified [152,154,155].

The presence and extent of aortic calcification is of importance in patients with aortic stenosis since it is a strong predictor for outcome and may therefore be used to select patients who might benefit from early aortic valve replacement [158,159]. Furthermore, the severity of calcifications is assessed in patients prior to aortic valve replacement since calcifications may indicate the necessity of reimplantation of the coronary arteries or aortic root replacement [160]. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement is a growing application. Calcifications may complicate valve-containing stentgraft placement during transcatheter aortic valve replacement since this may lead to occlusion of the coronary arteries [147,161]. Therefore, detailed information of anatomy and the presence of calcification is required. Moreover, the amount of thoracic aortic calcium has been associated with increased mortality rates [162]. Figure 6 shows an example of CT evaluation of aortic valve, aortic arch and coronary arteries as part of the preoperative work-up prior to aortic root replacement.

Mitrval valve

For mitral valve evaluation, detailed morphology as well as functional information can be obtained with CT (e.g., leaflet thickness or presence of mitral annular calcifications) [163,164]. CT is highly diagnostic for the evaluation of mitral valve prolapse (i.e., billowing or displacement of both leaflets at least 2 mm beyond mitral annulus plane during systole) [165,166]. Furthermore, compared with echocardiography and MRI, CT allows estimation of mitral valve regurgitation severity in the absence of substantial tricuspid regurgitation with high accuracy by generating the difference between the total stroke volumes of the RV and LV [167]. In addition, regurgitant fraction measurements can be made (i.e., proportion of regurgitant volume relative to the total stroke volume of the left ventricle) [167,168]. Mitral valve regurgitation severity or severity of mitral valve stenosis may also be estimated by measuring regurgitant orifice area [163,169].

Pulmonary valve

Pulmonary or tricuspid valve disease is less common than aortic or mitral valve disease and is more difficult to evaluate with CT, owing to thinner valve leaflets [164,170]. Direct signs of pulmonary or tricuspid valve pathology that can be visualized with CT are decreased leaflet excursion, inadequate end-diastolic apposition of leaflets or leaflet thickening [164,170]. Indirect signs for pulmonary stenosis are enlargement...
of main pulmonary artery, RV hypertrophy and interventricular septum deviation towards the left. For pulmonary insufficiency, dilatation of pulmonary annulus and pulmonary artery can be seen, as well as RV dilatation and hypertrophy [164,170].

Tricuspid valve
Tricuspid valve stenosis can be characterized by shortened or fused chordae tendinae as a direct sign, and right atrial dilatation as an indirect sign [164,170]. For tricuspid valve insufficiency, direct signs at CT angiography can be inadequate end-diastolic apposition of leaflets. Indirect signs seen on CT can be right atrial and RV dilatation, and displacement of the RV with interventricular septum deviation towards the left. Moreover, indirect signs that can be present in both tricuspid valve stenosis or regurgitation are distension of superior and inferior vena cava and/or hepatic vein congestion [164,170].

An adjusted CT scan protocol may be used that allows improved opacification of the right heart.

Current status
Although CT has potential advantages in the evaluation of valvular heart disease, a major disadvantage is the inability to directly measure flow velocity and volumes across valves as can be assessed noninvasively with MRI and echocardiography. Another disadvantage of CT is exposure to radiation. For the evaluation of cardiac valve function, data are acquired during the entire RR interval to visualize the thin leaflet structures. CT dose for evaluating valvular function is similar to that of CT dose for ventricular functional analysis and is in the range of 12–21 mSv for coronary CT angiography with retrospective ECG gating [138–142,171]. Further disadvantage of CT, compared with echocardiography and MRI, is administration of iodinated contrast agent. Therefore, the role of CT in the evaluation of valvular heart disease is currently limited.

One of the limitations is the relatively large volume of contrast and saline that are rapidly injected, possibly affecting valvular lesions and hemodynamics.

Recommendations
Characterization of native and prosthetic heart valves with CT angiography has been valued as an appropriate indication by the Appropriateness criteria working group when other noninvasive imaging modalities are inadequate and valvular dysfunction is suspected [3]. Moreover, in selected patient groups, CT assessment of valvular function may be useful, such as the combined evaluation of coronary arteries and heart valves for preoperative evaluation and follow-up of patients with valvular heart disease (Box 1) [147,161].

Congenital heart disease
Background
Transthoracic echocardiography, invasive angiography and MRI are established imaging techniques in the evaluation of patients with congenital heart disease. More recently, CT has been shown to be useful in these patients as well. With CT, 3D volumes of the heart and surrounding structures are acquired with short acquisition times. Images can be reconstructed in any plane with high spatial resolution. There are many indications where cardiac CT can be useful in patients with congenital heart disease (Box 2). Several technical differences exist between cardiac CT compared with ECG, invasive angiography and MRI, which are rewarding for CT. Compared with ECG, cardiac CT is not...
Box 2. Indications for cardiac CT in patients with congenital heart disease.

Echocardiography limitations
- Limited acoustic window: after surgical intervention and/or chest deformation
- Suspected extracardiac anomalies

MRI limitations
- Contraindications such as pacemakers or other electronic devices
- Limited diagnostic image quality: evaluation of stents or prosthetic valvular成元性 artifact
- For visualizing the location of the origins and the course of the coronary arteries

Other
- For replacing diagnostic invasive angiography in evaluating the heart and great vessels
- For imaging critically ill patients where fast data acquisition with short acquisition times are required
- For visualizing trachea, airways and lungs

Data from [172,173,180–182,185–187,190–192,240–242].

Invasive angiography in most cases [180–182]. Abnormalities of aortic arch [136], pulmonary arteries [183,184], coronary arteries [185–187] or pulmonary veins [188] can be adequately assessed with CT. With the improved survival rate of patients with congenital heart disease, evaluation as part of long-term follow-up is a growing application [189]. CT can be used in postoperative evaluation of altered anatomic structures and when complications are suspected. In addition, the patency of shunt, conduits or stents can be accurately assessed with CT and diameter measurements can be made [190–192]. However, the need for repetitive scanning may result in significant radiation exposure.

Ventricular function can be assessed with CT by using retrospective gating techniques [193] or with prospective triggering techniques with ECG dose modulation that saves radiation dose [129,134]. Global LV and RV function (i.e., assessing ventricular volumes and ejection fraction) can be visualized and evaluated with high accuracy by CT as compared with MRI [124,125].

Scan protocol
In contrast to coronary CT angiography, where contrast timing focuses on enhancing the coronary arteries, contrast timing in congenital heart disease requires enhancement of both ventricles, including the large vessels, and may further depend on the specific clinical question that has to be answered. As a result, some larger amounts of contrast agent are needed with longer injection times that simultaneously enhance the systemic and pulmonary circulation (e.g., in adults: ~100–120 ml for simultaneous enhancement of the aorta and pulmonary arteries compared with 50–80 ml for coronary CT angiography alone). Care should be taken for adequate contrast timing in cases where shunts are present, such as after Fontan repair or presence of other caval vein-to-pulmonary shunts. For pediatric CT, the volume of contrast agent needed depends on patient weight, and contrast doses of 1.0–3.0 ml/kg have been advised [174,194]. Although power injection is generally advised, manual injection of contrast agent can also be applied, especially in neonates where contrast administration is difficult due to small vessel size and small-gauge catheter use. Apart from contrast bolus administration, a 1:1 [195] or 2:1 ratio [196] of contrast agent and saline solution can be used for homogenous contrast enhancement and to minimize streak artifacts in pediatric CT [194].

Hampered by acoustic window limitations and is less operator dependent. CT provides superior visualization of cardiac structures in relation to the surrounding (vascular) structures in the mediastinum and in relation to the lung parenchyma, airways and trachea with excellent visualization of (peripheral) pulmonary vessels as compared with echocardiography or MRI [172,173]. A great advantage of CT compared with MRI is the short image-acquisition time. With CT, imaging is performed in only a few seconds with the current 64-slice scanners and anesthesia is usually not needed [174,175]. With the latest type wide-detector CT scanner, imaging is even faster with covering of a 16-cm volume in only a fraction of a second (0.35 s) [60,176]. Such fast volume imaging can be especially valuable in imaging neonates and small children [177]. To compare, anatomic imaging by MRI has an approximate 50-min acquisition time [178] and general anesthesia is usually required in children below the age of 6 years [179]. Moreover, CT is a 3D imaging technique not hampered by overprojection of adjacent cardiovascular structures, which can be the case in 2D invasive angiography. Furthermore, compared with invasive angiography, CT can visualize systemic and pulmonary vascular systems simultaneously with a single contrast injection. Moreover, CT is a noninvasive technique and has a low complication rate, requires smaller volumes of iodinated contrast agent, and there is less need for general anesthesia [175]. An example of CT images in a patient with congenital heart disease is shown in Figure 7.

Clinical applications
CT has been shown to be accurate in diagnosing cardiovascular abnormalities in neonates or small children and can replace diagnostic...
In addition, diluting the contrast agent lowers viscosity that facilitates injection speed through the small-gauge catheters.

**Current status**

Although CT has several advantages for imaging congenital heart disease, there are some limitations of note. CT compared with MRI cannot directly measure flow velocity and volumes across valves and vessels (e.g., for quantifying pulmonary valve stenosis and/or regurgitation). However, in the absence of other valvular insufficiencies or cardiac shunt, CT may be used to quantify the percentage pulmonary valve regurgitation similar as with MRI by subtracting LV from RV stroke volume, divided by the RV end-diastolic volume [197]. However, in patients with multiple valvular lesions, this technique cannot be applied.

Another disadvantage of CT is radiation exposure. Radiation dose and the associated risk of developing radiation-induced cancer is of special concern in pediatric patients, since children have higher susceptibility to radiation and a longer life expectancy than adults [198,199]. Effective dose assessment in pediatric applications of CT requires special attention. Dedicated conversion factors are available to calculate effective dose from dose length product in children [200].

Various scan protocols are available to determine optimal tube-current and tube-voltage settings in pediatric CT with or without ECG synchronization [174,196]. Although effective doses up to 26 mSv have been reported with retrospective ECG-gating techniques [201], good-quality low-dose examinations of approximately 1 mSv can be obtained with current scan techniques by using prospective ECG triggering [181,202,203]. Although radiation dose increases when functional analysis is requested (in adult patients this is up to approximately 5–9 mSv [140,144,204]), with new prospective ECG-gating techniques this can be as low as 3.8 mSv for CT angiography, including cardiac functional analysis [143] or 3–4 mSv with low-dose retrospective ECG-gating techniques when only functional analysis is requested [108]. This CT dose may still prove favorable compared with invasive angiography that uses radiation doses ranging between 0.6 and 23 mSv for diagnostic procedures [205–207]. Moreover, non-ECG-gated acquisitions may be sufficient when (noncoronary) larger structures...
are imaged to limit radiation exposure. However, we prefer short acquisition prospective triggering techniques, which improves image quality with the same radiation dose.

**Recommendations**

With the low complication rate, the low radiation exposure and the smaller volumes of contrast agent with current prospective ECG-synchronized techniques, CT angiography has become a useful tool in the diagnosis and follow-up of patients with congenital heart diseases. According to the Appropriateness criteria, CT is regarded as appropriate in the assessment of complex adult congenital heart disease and for the assessment of anomalous coronary arterial and/or other thoracic arterial and venous vessels (Box 1) [3].

**Future perspective**

Several new clinical applications of cardiac CT are now the subject of scientific research. For example, CT has become available on flat panel cardiac fluoroscopy systems, where CT acquisition is performed by rotation of the C-arm [208]. This development could improve the image guidance of cardiac interventions. However, due to the limitations in image quality and the long acquisition time of rotational C-arm CT angiography it is not considered in the near future as an alternative for diagnostic coronary CT angiography with a dedicated cardiac CT scanner. Dual-energy CT has become available and it has been suggested that contrast-enhanced dual-energy CT angiography has potential for the comprehensive analysis of coronary artery morphology, as well as changes in myocardial perfusion [209]. Further research is required to assess the clinical value of dual-energy in cardiac applications of CT.

In this section, two promising developments in cardiac CT are presented: late-enhancement imaging and CT myocardial perfusion imaging. It is desirable that technological opportunities for further reduction of patient dose will be realized in the future.

**CT late-enhancement imaging**

In ischemic heart disease, myocardial late-enhancement imaging can be used to distinguish viable from nonviable myocardium [210,211]. Clinical relevance is that dysfunctional, but viable, myocardium may improve after revascularization therapy, whereas nonviable myocardium will not [212]. Late-enhancement imaging has been developed with MRI, but CT late-enhancement imaging is also feasible [213,214]. The concept of CT late-enhancement imaging is comparable to that of late-enhancement imaging with MRI owing to comparable pharmacokinetic behavior of CT and MRI contrast agents in the myocardial tissue [213]. However, there are limitations for CT late-enhancement imaging compared with MRI. The contrast resolution of CT is not as good as with MRI [213,214]. Thepercentage signal increase with CT has been found to be approximately ten-times lower than with MRI. Furthermore, the contrast-to-noise ratio is approximately four-times lower than that of MRI [213]. Therefore, large quantities of contrast agent of approximately 120–150 ml are needed [214–216], which exceeds the amount of 50–80 ml that is currently needed for coronary CT angiography. Nevertheless, sensitivity for late-enhancement imaging remains somewhat lower with CT as compared with MRI and late-enhancement may be missed. Additionally, CT blooming artifacts may lead to overestimation of infarct size [214]. Moreover, late-enhancement imaging is associated with a radiation dose of approximately 4 mSv. Usually two scans are performed, one for coronary artery imaging and a second scan for late enhancement that further increases dose [214,215]. CT late-enhancement imaging is feasible, and may be an acceptable alternative when MRI is contraindicated. However, the associated additional contrast administration and radiation dose, and the lower accuracy for assessing viability than MRI, limit routine clinical application of CT for late-enhancement imaging.

**CT myocardial perfusion imaging**

Coronary CT angiography has been accepted as an imaging tool for the noninvasive evaluation of patients with low-to-intermediate pretest probability for coronary artery disease. The main strength of coronary CT angiography is ruling out coronary artery disease [66,138]. In addition, coronary CT angiography has a prognostic value, where the risk of death is almost zero with a normal coronary CT angiography, and the risk of events, including nonfatal myocardial infarction, unstable angina or revascularization, is less than 1% (follow-up duration: 15–18 months) [217,218]. In the case of significant coronary artery stenosis, the risk of event is in the range of 5 to 50%, which varies depending on the severity of stenosis [204,217–220]. However, these prognostic value numbers for patients with coronary artery disease found in populations are only of limited value for individual patients who may or may not develop complications. Moreover, it has been
demonstrated that the functional relevance of a stenosis found is difficult to predict [221–225]. The limited correlation between severity of stenosis and its functional relevance is not only limited to coronary CT angiography but is also the case for invasive coronary angiography [221–223,226]. Therefore, dependent on patient presentation and coronary anatomy, anatomic evaluation of stenosis alone is not sufficient for diagnosing ischemic heart disease and functional testing, such as measuring the fractional flow reserve or stress perfusion imaging, remains essential to determine appropriate management as well as before revascularization treatment [219,221,222].

CT myocardial stress imaging
Myocardial stress imaging is needed to assess stenoses that reduce maximum blood flow but not resting flow. By using vasodilators, such as adenosine or dipyridamole, severe coronary artery stenoses can be detected by assessment of compromised hyperemic flow. Rest perfusion is used to determine whether perfusion defects are fixed, or partially or completely reversible. Myocardial perfusion imaging is most often performed by SPECT, but can also be carried out with MRI or PET [227–229]. Myocardial perfusion imaging using SPECT has been shown to be of incremental value to coronary CT angiography in the detection of hemodynamic significant coronary artery disease [230]. CT can potentially be used for myocardial perfusion imaging as well. The concept of a single cardiac CT examination for coronary stenosis imaging and functional imaging by perfusion is interesting, and has shown feasibility with acceptable radiation dose. CT stress and rest perfusion imaging, including imaging of the coronary arteries, has been achieved with a total effective exposure of 11.8 ± 4.5 mSv [231]. Preliminary patient studies have shown that adenosine CT stress perfusion imaging has diagnostic accuracy comparable with SPECT or stress perfusion by MRI for detecting significant coronary artery stenosis [227–229]. In another study, the combination of coronary CT angiography and adenosine CT stress perfusion imaging was found to be comparable to the combination of invasive coronary angiography and SPECT for predicting coronary artery stenosis [232]. Comparable performance of CT perfusion imaging and SPECT has also been found by using dipyridamole CT stress perfusion imaging [233].

Coronary CT angiography combined with CT perfusion imaging may be particularly useful in high-risk patients with a large calcified plaque burden or stents, and could help in guiding clinicians in assessing the need for invasive coronary angiography and revascularization [231]. Next to the simultaneous imaging of the morphologic coronary artery stenosis and functional hemodynamic perfusion effect, CT may have other advantages as compared with other perfusion modalities, such as SPECT or MRI (e.g., short scan times and superior spatial resolution). As with MRI, CT has the capability to detect subendocardial perfusion defects that are missed on SPECT. CT potentially allows quantification of myocardial blood flow [234]. Since both aspects of coronary stenosis and ischemia can be imaged with a single scanner, CT may also save costs compared with a combination of tests using different imaging modalities to complete the diagnosis ischemic heart disease.

Radiation dose reduction
Finally, an important future challenge will be to achieve further radiation dose reduction in cardiac applications of CT. In this field, a lot has been achieved already. Initially, coronary CT angiography with retrospective gated reconstructions was associated with a relatively high effective dose of 12–21 mSv [138–142,171]. The latest helical dual-source and axial volumetric CT scanners allow for performing ‘single-beat’ coronary CT angiography at an effective dose of 5 mSv or even lower [7,235]. A new technology that may help to reduce patient exposure further is the iterative reconstruction algorithm [236,237]. However, the potential improved performance of an iterative reconstruction compared with a filtered backprojection still has to be assessed in clinical practice for cardiac CT applications. The detectors that are currently used in CT are already very efficient, but the introduction of, for example, photon counting detectors in CT may help to improve CT detector performance and to achieve dose reduction [238]. However, the introduction of photon-counting detectors in clinical CT is not expected in the near future.
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Executive summary

**Technical developments in cardiac CT**
- With 64-multidetector CT (MDCT), coronary CT angiography can be achieved with good diagnostic image quality and acceptable breath-hold time, radiation dose and contrast dose.
- Echocardiography (ECG) synchronization techniques:
  - Retrospective ECG-gating: helical acquisition throughout cardiac cycle. Each cardiac phase can be selected for analysis (high radiation dose, functional analysis included).
  - Prospective ECG-gating with or without dose modulation: step-and-shoot, helical or volumetric acquisition, coronary angiography reconstruction in full dose interval. Functional analysis with ECG-triggered dose modulation.
- Recent developments:
  - Dual-source CT: high temporal resolution (75 ms).
  - Volumetric CT: fast data acquisition no greater than 16 cm (within single rotation).

**Clinical applications of cardiac CT**
- Coronary artery calcium score (Agatston score): risk stratification tool with high negative predictive value, especially in asymptomatic patients.
- Coronary CT angiography: major strength is ruling out coronary artery disease.
- Appropriate in symptomatic patients with low-to-intermediate pretest probability.
- Not recommended in asymptomatic patients or patients with very low or high pretest probability.
- Coronary artery bypass grafts: bypasses relatively easy to assess with good accuracy, limited accuracy for native coronary arteries.
- Coronary artery stents: limited ability of in-stent lumen evaluation due to stent characteristics and CT-limiting spatial and temporal resolution, especially in stents less than 3 mm.
- Triple rule-out: limited role in clinical practice owing to CT-related technical and patient-related clinical restrictions.
- Ventricular function analysis: global left and right ventricle function can be obtained with high diagnostic accuracy; left ventricle regional function can be assessed, but remains inferior to MRI.
- Heart valves: aortic and mitral valve pathology assessed with high accuracy; pulmonary and tricuspid valve pathology are more difficult to assess due to thinner valve leaflets. Major CT limitation: inability to directly measure flow velocity and volume across valves.
- Congenital heart disease: CT is useful in diagnosis and follow-up, especially when ECG is limited diagnostically and MRI is contraindicated.

**Future directions**
- Flat-panel CT and dual-energy CT: further research required to assess clinical value.
- Delayed-enhancement CT: Feasible but inferior to MRI, acceptable when MRI is contraindicated.
- CT myocardial perfusion has shown feasibility with acceptable radiation dose and accuracy comparable to single-photon emission CT and MRI. Further research is required to assess clinical value.
- New radiation dose reduction technologies to further reduce radiation dose as iterative reconstruction algorithm and photon-counting detectors are not expected on short notice.
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