Optimal imaging of focal liver lesions

Modern diagnostic imaging allows the accurate identification of different focal liver lesions. Ultrasound and contrast-enhanced ultrasound are widely available, and contrast injection allows the classification of most lesions as benign or malignant. CT is a robust, widely available and easy-to-use imaging technique that allows studies to be conducted in uncooperative patients. Owing to different sequences and liver-specific contrast agents, MRI is able to not only provide morphological and vascular information of the focal liver lesions, but also functional information on the capacity of the lesion to uptake the liver-specific contrast agent. The main drawback of the technique is the requirement for state-of-the-art equipment and a good knowledge of the different contrast mechanisms. Owing to the different costs and availability of the imaging techniques, their correct utilization permits a precise, accurate and fast way to gain the most significant information, thus allowing correct management of the different patients.
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Focal liver lesions (FLL) are common in the general population and are frequently found during ultrasound examination either incidentally, in healthy subjects, in symptomatic patients and in patients with oncological history, during cancer staging or follow-up. Early detection and accurate characterization of FLLs are important for further management and treatment planning.

**Imaging techniques**

Modern diagnostic imaging offers sophisticated techniques providing excellent visualization of the liver and its alterations, with both morphological and functional information.

Ultrasound is a widely used method for the detection of FLLs; however, there are limitations to the conventional grayscale B-mode ultrasound, in particular, when the lesions are small (<2 cm), in the setting of cirrhosis or in patients undergoing chemotherapy. Color and power-Doppler have increased sensitivity for FLL detection compared with the conventional B-mode, but sensitivity is still inferior to contrast-enhanced CT and MRI [1]. To improve the detection and characterization of FLLs, sonography must also provide information on vascularity, exploiting differences in blood supply between normal and pathologic tissue. The introduction of microbubble contrast agents (CA) and the development of contrast-specific techniques have opened new perspectives in ultrasound of the liver.

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) represents a significant breakthrough in sonography, permitting a noninvasive assessment in real-time of the liver perfusion throughout the different vascular phases has led to a dramatic improvement in diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound both in detection and characterization of FLLs, as well as in the guidance and evaluation of response of therapeutic procedures. In particular, in the late phase of contrast enhancement (3–5 min after injection), it is possible to classify solid FLL as benign (most of them appearing iso- or hyper-echoic) or malignant (appearing as hypoechoic). The technique is based on a new class of intravascular microbubble agents (SonoVue®, Bracco SpA, Milan, Italy), constituted of perfluoro gases instead of air combined with multipulse scanning modes, which are sensitive to nonlinear (harmonic) responses of the microbubbles and enable tissue signal suppression [2].

**CT**

The advent of the multislice technique and isotropic voxel, which permits multiplanar reconstructions, have improved the spatial resolution of CT, allowing the recognition of small FLLs in difficult areas (e.g., dome of the liver, subcapsular) [3]; however, it has not significantly improved technique specificity in characterizing FLLs. According to several authors, multislice CT has a sensitivity and specificity in the
diagnosis of malignant FLLs of 63 and 64% [4], and 92 and 97%, respectively [5].

CT is an extremely important instrument in cirrhotic liver evaluation, especially in patients with high body mass index, ascites or who are unable to breath-hold, owing to its speed and robustness. In order to have a diagnostic examination the correct administration and timing of contrast media is crucial, especially in the case of cirrhotic patients, where the arterial phase is crucial to allow the identification of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Contrast media administration based on a patients weight (approximately 600 mg iodine/kg of bodyweight), high iodine content of the contrast media (350–400 mgI/ml) and high injection rate (4–5 ml/s) are crucial to achieve a good contrast enhancement [6–8]. Correct timing can be obtained within a region of interest in the abdominal aorta and a threshold of 100 Hounsfield units, with a delay of approximately 18 s after the threshold has been reached, giving better tumor-to-liver contrast in hypervascular FLLs such as HCC [9], while the optimal hepatic enhancement in the portal phase is reached approximately 50–60 s after the threshold [10].

Low tube voltage and high tube current with multidetector CT have a better performance in the detection of hypervascular liver lesions, with a significant reduction in the effective dose to the patient [11]. At a lower kVp (80 kVp) the median photon energy is 43.7 KeV. In this situation, the iodine is better able to interact with the photon, owing to a better interaction between the energy of the photon and the energy of the K-edge of iodine (33.2 KeV). Therefore, high iodine concentration (370–400 mgI/ml) and low kVp have a significant interaction for a better identification of hypervascular FLLs. The reduction in signal-to-noise ratio caused by the lower kVp is balanced by a better interaction with the K-edge of iodine, thus these techniques can be used at least in patients with normal BMI. With dual-source CT (Somatom FLASH, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) it is possible to utilize a different voltage in the two sources, 140 kVp and 80 kVp, thus combining the high signal-to-noise ratio with high kVp with the high contrast owing to the interaction of iodine with low kVp ('blended CT'). Furthermore, recent developments, such as dual-source and 128-slice (and over) scanners, enable CT organ perfusion evaluation. New reconstruction algorithms allow significant reduction of the dose to the patient.

**Magnetic resonance**

Owing to various modalities (T1 and T2 signal intensity, diffusion-weighted imaging [DWI], dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging and hepatobiliary phase studies) magnetic resonance (MR) provides information on FLLs enabling us to obtain high sensitivity and specificity.

Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI is a highly accurate noninvasive modality for the detection and characterization of solid FLLs and is invariably the imaging method of choice for improved differential diagnosis in cases of equivocal or indeterminate lesions on ultrasound or CT [12]. However, it is not always possible to accurately diagnose the nature of a given lesion on conventional T1-weighted (T1w) dynamic phase imaging owing to enhancement/behavior patterns overlapping between different lesion types [13]. Moreover, the frequent atypical appearance of certain lesion types may further complicate the diagnosis. The development of CAs with liver-specific properties targeted to hepatocytes (gdobenate dimeglumine [Gd-BOPTA]: MultiHance®, Bracco Spa, Milano, Italy; Gd-EOB-DTPA: Primovist, Bayer-Schering, Berlin, Germany) or Kupffer cells (super-paramagnetic iron oxide [SPIO]: Endorem®, Guerbet, France) has markedly improved the accuracy of MRI for the identification and characterization of FLLs [14].

Delayed hepatobiliary phase acquisition after Gd-BOPTA (1–3 h after the injection) is useful for the improved detection of metastatic or small satellite lesions [15], as well as for better characterization of equivocal or otherwise atypical lesions on routine dynamic phase imaging [16]. Compared with SPIO CA, Gd-BOPTA has a better performance in the detection of hepatic metastases [17].

A more recent CA with liver-specific properties, which permits a dynamic study in a similar way to Gd-BOPTA, is Gd-EOB-DTPA (Primovist, Bayer-Schering, Berlin, Germany); its advantage is the earlier uptake by hepatocytes when compared with Gd-BOPTA (20 min). According to recent studies, Gd-EOB-DTPA improves the sensitivity for detection of colorectal metastases smaller than 1 cm, compared with extracellular fluid (ECF) CA (87 vs 83%) [18,19].

Superparamagnetic iron oxide CA is based on iron, which shows a T1/T2* effect. However, when injected iron is taken up by Kupffer cells and clustered inside lysosomes, the T2* effect is much more evident, while the T1 effect is lacking. Yoo et al. compared triphasic CT scanning,
dynamic MRI and SPIO-enhanced MRI, and demonstrated that SPIO significantly improved the sensitivity (78–96%) in the detection of HCCs of 2 cm or less [20]. However, the use of SPIO is limited owing to the lack of dynamic information, which constitutes an important element in the differential diagnosis of FLLs.

**DWI**
Diffusion is random, microscopic motion of water molecules caused by thermal energy and known as Brownian motion. MR-DWI allows the measurement of the degree of diffusion in biological tissues in vivo. As this phenomenon depends on many factors (e.g., diffusivity, pseudodiffusion, macroscopic motion), an apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) presents a scalar quantification; on the ADC maps the signal analysis is performed by positioning a ROI on the lesion being studied [21]. Moreover, the degree of diffusion weighting required for better performances has not been clearly established. Most institutions use multiple b-value sequences, more often with three b-values: 0, a low b-value (0–100) and an intermediate b-value (300–800). The use of acquisitions with different b-values in different institutions does not make it possible to compare ADC values.

However, DWI is more likely to be useful for lesion detection than characterization, as there is a large overlap between solid benign lesions and malignant lesions, but the role of characterization is open in patients who have limitations in the use of contrast media.

When a dynamic contrast-enhanced MR study is enriched with DWI, it provides an additional value in the detection of HCC, yielding a sensitivity of 95.2% (80.6% for conventional MR) and a specificity of 82.7% (65.4% for MR), and is most beneficial for lesions smaller than 2 cm [22]. A classification of FLL is presented in Box 1.

**Cyst**
Simple hepatic cysts are very common lesions, occurring more often in women. They arise from the bile duct epithelium and may be solitary or multiple. The radiological appearance is very characteristic: using ultrasound, they are visualized as anechoic/hypoechoic, thin wall lesions with posterior enhancement; using CT, they are observed as well-circumscribed, homogenous lesions with an attenuation value near to that of water, which after intravenous administration of CA does not enhance. On MR T₁w cysts are hypointense, while on T₂w they are markedly hyperintense. On DWI, cysts typically show low signal intensity at high b-values (i.e., influence of the gradient on the DWI), with unrestricted diffusion on an ADC map.

In patients with cirrhosis, a very common appearance is a peribiliary cyst. It results from cystic dilation of the obstructed periductal glands occurring adjacent to large intrahepatic and extrahepatic bile ducts and is usually asymptomatic. On CT scans they appear as tiny cystic structures as well as tubular ones paralleling the central portal veins. On MR they are best visualized on Gd-enhanced T₁w or T₂w, demonstrating the same appearance as on CT [23].

**Biliary hamartoma**
Biliary hamartoma is a rare lesion that consists of dilated bile ducts lined by biliary epithelium and a fibrous stroma. They are believed to arise from embryonic bile ducts that fail to involute [24] and are, typically, well-circumscribed but not encapsulated. Biliary hamartomas are usually discovered incidentally and might be mistaken for hepatic metastases. On ultrasound, they can appear as either hypo- or hyper-echoic. With CEUS the lesion does not enhance significantly and appears hypoechoic in late-phase imaging, simulating a malignant lesion (Figure 1A & B). On CT they are typically depicted as multiple, round, small, hypoenhancing and nonenhancing areas without a distinctive distribution pattern. On MR they are hypointense on T₁w and hyperintense on T₂w (Figure 1C);

**Box 1. Characteristics of focal liver lesions.**

- Benign FLL
  - Serous cyst
  - Biliary hamartoma
  - Hemangioma (cavernous and capillary)
  - Focal nodular hyperplasia
  - Nodular regenerative hyperplasia
  - Hepatocellular adenoma
  - Inflammatory lesions (abscess, cholangitis)

- Malignant FLL
  - Fibrolamellar hepatocarcinoma
  - Cholangiocarcinoma
  - Metastases

- FLL of the cirrhotic liver
  - Regenerative nodule
  - Dysplastic nodule
  - Hepatocellular carcinoma

**FLL**: Focal liver lesion.
Hamartomas have quite a uniform size and a stronger signal on T2w than hepatic metastases. Typically, most of them do not enhance after CA administration; however, some of them may demonstrate rim enhancement (Figure 1D & E) [25]. In the hepatobiliary phase, after administration of paramagnetic liver-specific CA they do not show uptake (Figure 1F).

Hemangioma (capillary and cavernous) is the most common benign tumor of the liver, with an incidence ranging from 1 to 20%, occurring more commonly in premenopausal women [26]. Hemangioma is usually well-circumscribed and blood filled and can range in size from a few millimeters to more than 20 cm. It is frequently a solitary tumor, although multiple hemangiomas occur in approximately 10–20% of cases. They may occur conjointly with focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH) (15–20%) [27].

Hemangiomas are usually asymptomatic and liver function tests are normal. Rarely, patients present with abdominal pain and, occasionally, inflammatory syndrome with fever, leukocytosis or thrombocytopenia and fibrinopenia or cholestasis without jaundice, associated with intratumoral hemorrhage or thrombosis; spontaneous rupture is distinctly rare [28]. Asymptomatic hemangiomas should not be treated. Most hemangiomas can be managed conservatively.

At ultrasound, hemangiomas are typically hyperechoic with sharp margins; however, large hemangiomas may present internal hypoechogenic areas caused by thrombosis or fibrous changes (Figure 2A) [29]. When hemangioma demonstrates a typical appearance in a patient with no previous history of liver or oncological disease, diagnosis is certain and no further examinations are required [30]. Unfortunately, liver steatosis gives hemangioma a hypoechoic appearance, thus making it indistinguishable from other FLLs and requiring more advanced examinations [31].

On CEUS, hemangiomas have a characteristic enhancement pattern, similar to that seen on CT or MR: early arterial peripheral nodular enhancement during the arterial phase with delayed centripetal fill-in, which is observed in 52–88% of cases (Figure 2B) [32], while sustained enhancement in the late phase has been reported in 83–100% of cases (Figure 2C) [32]. Sensitivity,
Specificity and accuracy of diagnosis of hemangioma based on CEUS patterns are 88.0, 99.4 and 97.8%, respectively [33].

On unenhanced CT images hemangioma appears as a hypoattenuating well-defined mass, and after intravenous CA administration, shows sequential opacification, beginning as a globular enhanced area on the periphery of the lesion (Figure 2D) and proceeding toward the center. Fibrotic areas within the lesion remain unopacified. The time for complete contrast ‘fill-in’ depends on hemangioma’s size, ranging from 1 min to longer than 20 min [34]. During the portal-venous phase of contrast enhancement capillary hemangiomas show an attenuation equivalent to that of the aorta, remaining hyperattenuated in the distribution phase.

On MRI, hemangiomas are hyperintense (Figure 2E), and the signal intensity increases on more heavily T₁w imaging [35]. It could be helpful in differentiation from other hepatic masses, which demonstrate a relative decrease in signal intensity on more heavily T₂w imaging. On DWI, hemangiomas show low signal intensity at high b-values and ADC higher than that of the liver (Figure 2F) [36].

On precontrast T₁w, hemangioma is most commonly seen as a well-defined, slightly hypointense mass with lobulated borders (Figure 2G). After gadolinium injection the same globular centripetal pattern of enhancement is seen (Figure 2H & I), which is highly specific for hemangioma, compared with rim enhancement noted in metastases [35,37].

In the delayed liver-specific phase, after paramagnetic liver-specific CA administration, hemangioma tends to be hypointense compared with the surrounding liver parenchyma (Figure 2J) [38]. Owing to the lack of Kupffer cells in hemangioma, after SPIO injection the iron remains in the slow flow of the vascular network, being able to maintain both a T₁/T₂* effect: hemangiomas lose signal on T₁w (caused by the T₂* effect), while on postcontrast T₁w an increase of signal intensity is observed (caused by the T₁ effect of SPIO) [39].

Capillary hemangiomas are rapidly filling hemangiomas, which are usually small in size (42% of hemangiomas <1 cm in diameter). On CEUS, CT and MRI, capillary hemangiomas show immediate homogeneous enhancement in the arterial phase, thus making differentiation from other hypervascular tumors difficult. According to Kim et al., up to 83% of the smaller hemangiomas (<3 cm) show isoattenuation compared with the arterial system in all three phases of enhanced scanning [40].

Focal nodular hyperplasia is the second most common benign liver lesion, occurring in 3–5% of the population, more frequently in young women [41], developing asymptomatically and often discovered incidentally. The cellular structure of FNH is similar to that of normal hepatic parenchyma, with an abnormal biliary system. It frequently contains a central fibrous scar from which fibrous bands radiate peripherically. FNH is the result of congenital vascular malformation inducing a hyperplastic process [42].

In approximately 85% of cases only a single nodule of FNH is present, usually with well-defined margins and a size of less than 5 cm, although lesions of 10 cm can be found [43]. Given the low risk of rupture, hemorrhage and

Figure 2. Cavernous hemangioma. An inhomogenous isoechoic lesion on ultrasound (A) shows an early arterial peripheral nodular enhancement on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (B), with a complete fill-in at late phase (C), thus appearing isoechoic. CT image during arterial phases (D) shows peripheral globular enhancement. On magnetic resonance, cavernous hemangioma appears as a well-defined homogeneously hyperintense lesion on T₁-weighted sequence (E) and shows a signal higher than the liver on apparent diffusion coefficient (F). On precontrast T₁-weighted sequence, the lesion is hypointense (G). T₁-weighted sequence after contrast agent liver-specific (MultiHance®, Bracco SpA, Milan, Italy) administration shows a delayed centripetal fill-in (H & I). In the hepatobiliary phase, 2 h after contrast agent administration, cavernous hemangioma appears hypointense (J).
malignancy, FNH is frequently left untreated with regular follow-up examinations. High concordance exists between CEUS, CT and MRI in the diagnosis of FNH. On ultrasound, the lesion is mostly isoechoic (Figure 3A), with a central scar which shows a vascular signal on ultrasound Doppler (Figure 3B & 4A). At CEUS, it shows diffused enhancement during the arterial phase (Figure 3C & 4B), persisting during the latter phases (Figure 3D & 4C) with a central, hypoechoic scar. On unenhanced CT scans, FNH appears as a homogeneous isoattenuating or slightly hypoattenuating mass (Figure 4D) and after CA administration it becomes hyperattenuated during the arterial phase, showing a central hypoattenuating scar (Figure 4E). The lesion persists homogeneously and is slightly hyperintense in the venous (J) and distribution phases (K). In the hepatobiliary phase, 2 h after contrast agent administration, focal nodular hyperplasia appears hyperintense with a ‘doughnut’ appearance (L).

Figure 3. Focal nodular hyperplasia. Ultrasound examination reveals a well-defined, slightly homogeneous lesion in S8 (A) with the typical central scar vascularization on Doppler ultrasound (B) and an intense and homogeneous enhancement in the arterial phase on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (C), persisting in the late phase (D), thus appearing isoechoic. On magnetic resonance examination, focal nodular hyperplasia appears slightly hyperintense on T₂-weighted sequence (E) and diffusion-weighted imaging sequence at b 800 (F). On the apparent diffusion coefficient map the signal intensity is similar to the liver (G). On T₁-weighted image focal nodular hyperplasia appears slightly hypointense to the liver and shows a marked vascularity in the arterial phase with evidence of the hypointense central scar (I). The lesion persists homogeneously and is slightly hyperintense in the venous (J) and distribution phases (K). In the hepatobiliary phase, 2 h after contrast agent administration, focal nodular hyperplasia appears hyperintense with a ‘doughnut’ appearance (L).
was observed for 52 out of 55 (94.5%) lesions after Gd-BOPTA and for 24 out of 39 (61.5%) visible lesions after SPIO [51].

Nodular regenerative hyperplasia (NRH) of the liver does not represent a specific entity, but is a secondary and nonspecific tissue adaptation to heterogeneous distribution of blood flow, characterized by multiple monoacinar regenerative nodules without fibrous septa. These lesions can be imaged when the nodules become confluent. NRH has an increased frequency in patients with autoimmune processes, myeloproliferative disease, massive tumor infiltration and mineral oil deposition. Clinically, NRH presents primarily with noncirrhotic portal hypertension in approximately 50% of the patients, thus management is directed primarily to portal hypertension and variceal bleeding. Liver failure is uncommon owing to satisfactory preservation of liver function [52]. Malignant transformation of the nodules has never been reported. On ultrasound, NRH may appear as a hyper- (53%), iso- (10%) or hypo-echoic (38%) lesion. On native CT, NRH usually appears as a homogenous iso- or hypo-attenuated lesion. After intravenous CA administration, it shows a marked enhancement on both arterial- and portal-venous phases [53].

On unenhanced MRI, NRH is hyperintense on T₁w and hypo- to iso-intense on T₂w. After intravenous administration of ECF CA the lesion appears hyperintense during the arterial phase and iso- or slightly hyper-intense in the portal and equilibrium phases [54], while during the liver-specific phase after Gd-BOPTA or Gd-EOB-DPTA administration it shows hyperintensity and prolonged enhancement [53].

Hepatocellular adenoma (HA) is a benign neoplasm that is typically found in women with a history of oral contraceptive use, men receiving anabolic steroid therapy or patients with storage disease [55,56]. It consists of plates or cords of cells that are larger than normal hepatocytes and contain large amounts of glycogen and lipid. Evidence of lipids on CT or MRI can be suggestive in diagnosing HA. The plates are separated by dilated sinusoids, which are thin-walled capillaries perfused by arterial pressure, while a portal-venous supply is lacking. A tumor capsule is absent or incomplete. Kupffer cells are often found but in reduced numbers and with little or no function. Even though HA have functioning hepatocytes, they lack bile ducts, a key histologic feature that helps distinguish HA from FNH [57].

Most patients are asymptomatic with normal liver function. Large HA may cause a sensation of right upper quadrant fullness or discomfort. The classic clinical manifestation of HA is spontaneous rupture or hemorrhage, especially when there are large and multiple adenomas, leading to acute abdominal pain and possibly progressing to hypotension or even death [58], thus giving clinical indication to surgical resection when HA is larger than 5 cm. It is reported that 5% of HA transform to HCC [59].

Figure 4. Focal nodular hyperplasia. Homogeneous slightly hypoechoic lesion of S6 on ultrasound, with a peripheral and intranodular vascularization on Doppler ultrasound (A). On contrast-enhanced ultrasound, focal nodular hyperplasia appears homogeneously hyperechoic both in the arterial phase (B) and in the late phases (C). On CT images, focal nodular hyperplasia is isodense to the liver (D) but shows a marked enhancement in the arterial (E) and venous phases (F) and becomes isodense in the latter (G). Central scar is hypointense both in the arterial (E) and venous phase (F), but shows a slight hyperintensity in the distribution phase (G).
Under diagnostics imaging, HA have a wide variety of appearances. On ultrasound they appear as well-defined solitary or multiple masses showing variable echogenicity (iso- to hypo-) depending on the size and lipid content. When large, they can be inhomogeneous owing to the presence of hemorrhage and necrosis (Figure 5A) [60]. CEUS characteristics are relatively nonspecific, however, smaller lesions are likely to have homogeneous enhancement whereas the larger ones present an inhomogeneous pattern (Figure 5B) [61]. A third have a peripheral rim corresponding to a fibrous capsule [62]. In the late phase after SonoVue administration approximately two-thirds of adenomas show a slight hypoechogenicity (Figure 5C) [63]. On native CT images, adenomas show hypodensity, variable hyperattenuation in arterial phase and isoattenuation on portal-venous and delayed-phase images. Both CT and MRI correlate well with pathological appearances of HA [55], demonstrating fat component (10% identified by CT vs 35–77% by MRI) and hemorrhage when present [55]. On T2w 47–74% of HA are predominantly hyperintense, while the rest are iso- or hypo-intense. Most lesions are heterogeneous, demonstrating a combination of hyper- and hypo-intensity on T2w relative to hemorrhage and necrosis (Figure 5D). At DWI, HA show a signal intensity that varies from isointensity of the cellular component to high hyperintensity owing to necrosis or hemorrhage (Figure 5E & F). On T1w, small lesions have a slight hypointensity, while larger lesions show an inhomogeneous pattern caused by necrosis or hemorrhage (Figure 5G). Dynamic Gd-enhanced MRI, whether performed with ECF agents or liver-targeted agents, can demonstrate early arterial enhancement (although this is usually less marked than in cases of FNH), becoming

Figure 5. Pathologically proven hepatocellular adenoma. An ill-defined hypoechoic mass in S2/S3 with slight contrast enhancement after administration of a contrast agent on contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the periphery of the lesion during the arterial phases (A), which increases in the portal (B) and late phase (C). A central hypoechoic component can be appreciated owing to previous hemorrhage. The hemorrhage is hyperintense on the T1-weighted sequence, while the periphery of the lesion is isointense (D). On diffusion-weighted imaging at b 0 (E) and the apparent diffusion coefficient map (F), hepatocellular adenoma shows a different signal intensity caused by the different hemorrhagic components. On T2-weighted unenhanced images, hepatocellular adenoma is slightly hypointense in the periphery while the central hemorrhagic component has a different signal intensity (hyper or hypo), caused by the different hemorrhagic components (G). After injection of liver-specific paramagnetic contrast agents (MultiHance®, Bracco SpA, Milan, Italy), the periphery of the lesion is markedly hypervascular in the arterial phase (H), with a washout in the portal phase (I). In the hepatobiliary phase, 2 h after contrast agent administration, the hepatocellular adenoma appears hypointense (J).
iso- or hypo-intense in the portal-venous phase. In the case of previous hemorrhage, the arterial enhancement can be inhomogeneous (Figure 5H & I). Hypointensity of the HA on delayed-phase images provides greater accuracy in the differential diagnosis between FNH and HA (Figure 5J); Grazzioli et al. reported a sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 96.9, 100 and 98.3%, respectively, on the differential diagnosis between FNH and HA assessing the hepatobiliary phase after Gd-BOPTA administration [51]. The reasons for the different behavior of HA after liver-specific paramagnetic contrast agent administration are not clear; however, as HA lack bile ducts [57], it can be supposed that such CA are not allowed to enter the HA cells owing to the incapacity of these cells to metabolize the CA to be eliminated with the bile, thus with a negative feedback to their entry mechanism, similar to that of bilirubin. On the contrary, other liver-specific paramagnetic CA, such as manganese (Teslascan®, GE Healthcare, Princeton, NJ, USA), are able to enter the HA cells [64], as they use other entry mechanisms, such as ion channels, which are not inhibited as they are necessary for the survival of the cell.

Finally, HA do not usually show uptake of SPIO particles, resulting in an increased tumor–liver contrast-to-noise ratio on T₂w. They may show some degree of uptake [50] with a heterogeneous signal drop whose entity is usually lesser than in FNH; however, in several cases, no significant difference of signal loss was observed between FNH and HA [65].

Inflammatory lesions
Numerous inflammatory diseases of the liver are reported, showing a wide variety of radiological appearance; however, the most common inflammatory lesions are abscesses, which can be pyogenic or nonpyogenic.

A solitary pyogenic abscess has no clearcut predisposing cause, but when multiple are caused by hematogenous dissemination of either disseminated gastrointestinal infection, ascending cholangitis or superinfection of necrotic tissue. The most common bacterium is Escherichia coli, but other aerobic and anaerobic organisms can be a causative factor [66–68]. Patients may present with high fever, rigors and severe rightsided abdominal pain, or may have clinically occult (‘cold’) abscesses that manifest only as weight loss and vague abdominal pain. Hepatic biochemical abnormalities are nonspecific, including slightly elevated total bilirubin, aminotransferase levels and hypoalbuminemia. Abscesses can differ in size; those less than 5 cm in diameter can be successfully treated with antibiotics, whereas those larger than 5 cm require image-guided percutaneous drainage. At histopathological analysis, multiple locules filled with purulent material can be observed in the abscess cavity, which is lined by pale fibrous tissue. The edges of the cavity are composed of chronic inflammatory infiltrate [69].

Ultrasound and CT examination can reliably detect more than 90% of pyogenic abscesses. On ultrasound, pyogenic microabscesses may manifest as either discrete hypoechoic nodules or areas of distorted hepatic echogenicity. Large abscesses may present heterogeneous echogenicity ranging from hypo- to hyper-echoic. On CEUS, early (solid-appearing) lesions usually enhance diffusely, but heterogeneously. Mature lesions with fluid show an enhancing rim. The enhancement appears early and usually persists during the portal and late phases, with no contrast enhancement seen in the liquefied portions. The internal septations also show enhancement, giving the lesion a honeycomb appearance. [61]. On contrast-enhanced CT, they appear as multiple small, well-defined hypoattenuating lesions. Abscesses typically show features of rim enhancement, enhanced internal septa, non-enhanced central necrotic areas and transient hyperenhanced liver parenchyma around the lesions (Figure 6A–C) [70]. On MRI they present variable signal intensity on T₁w and T₂w, depending on their protein content. Perilesional edema, characterized by subtly increased signal intensity, can be seen on T₁w (Figure 6D–G) [70].

Fibrolamellar hepatocarcinoma is a sharply defined, lobulated and nonencapsulated tumor, whose characteristic microscopic features include fibrolamellar bands of collagen and fibrocytes arranged in a lamellar pattern and in delicate bands between nets of tumor cells, which often form a central scar [71]. Typically, fibrolamellar hepatocarcinoma occurs in a noncirrhotic liver, primarily in young adults, with no clear sex predominance [72]. The α-fetoprotein (AFP) level is normal.

Using ultrasound, a large inhomogeneous hypoechoic mass with a central scar and calcifications in 40–70% can be observed. After CEUS, an inhomogeneous enhancement in the arterial phase with washout in the portal-venous phase and a hypoechoic aspect in the late phase can be appreciated [60].

On CT and MRI the majority of fibrolamellar hepatocarcinoma appear inhomogeneous, hypervascular and with a central scar.
in approximately 71% of the cases, with absent or minimal contrast enhancement. Calcifications are found in 68% of lesions by CT, almost always within the central scar, while hemorrhage and necrosis are rarely found. The fibrous tissue within the scar and radial septa demonstrate persistent enhancement on contrast-enhanced CT and MRI obtained 10–20 min after CA administration [71]. The hepatobiliary phase after Gd-BOPTA or Gd-EOB-DTPA administration shows an absence of uptake, with a hypointense appearance.

Cholangiocellular carcinoma (CCC) is a malignant tumor of the biliary epithelium, the second most common form of primary hepatic malignancy in adults [43]. Many patients have none of the evident etiological factors; however, CCC is associated with intrahepatic stone disease, choledochal cyst, Caroli disease and primary sclerosing cholangitis [73]. Most of these risk factors have in common a long-standing inflammation and bile duct epithelium injury. Cholangiocarcinoma is usually divided into ‘intrahepatic’ and ‘extrahepatic’, depending on the site of origin.

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) is an adenocarcinoma arising from the intrahepatic bile duct epithelium and represents 10% of all CCCs. The remaining 90% is accounted for by hilar (Klatskin’s) and main bile duct CCCs [43]. It is usually a large, firm lesion and in 10–20% of cases there are several satellite nodules around the main mass. Examination of the cut section reveals large amounts of whitish fibrous tissue and a central necrosis may be observed [74]. Microscopically, ICC has a glandular appearance, sometimes with mucin and calcification. A large desmoplastic reaction is typical for CCC [75]. Clinical signs and symptoms are usually vague before the tumor is far advanced. Patients present with abdominal pain and a palpable mass in the upper abdomen. Jaundice is rarely a symptom in ICC, whereas it is common with hilar or ductal cholangiocarcinoma [75]. ICC remains a relatively uncommon tumor with an insidious onset and late presentation contributing to poor survival. Surgical resection remains the only therapeutic option. Long-term survival after resection is significantly associated with less advanced tumor stages [75].

On ultrasound scans ICC has mixed echogenicity and indefinite margins caused by infiltration. On CEUS it shows inhomogeneous enhancement, with peripheric rim and hypovascular aspects during the late phases (Figure 7A–C) [59]. On CT (Figure 7D–G), ICC shows hypointensity with lobular margins and mild early peripheral enhancement with inhomogeneous centripetal enhancement and pooling of CA within the lesion in delayed phase [76]. This characteristic enhancement pattern is caused by the presence of large amounts of interstitial...
space in the tumor’s extensive fibrous stroma. Slow diffusion of CA from the vascular space results in delayed and prolonged enhancement of the tumor, best seen 10–20 min after CA administration. Satellite nodules and biliary ductal dilation peripheral to the tumor are common features.

Using MRI (Figure 7H–M), ICC has a nonspecific appearance: on T2w the signal intensity ranges from markedly increased to mildly increased relative to liver; tumors with high fibrous content tend to be hypointense on T2w. Precontrast T1w is iso- to hypo-intense. The enhancement pattern is similar to that seen on CT: minimal or moderately incomplete rim enhancement at the tumor periphery on the early images with progressive central contrast enhancement in later phases. Dynamic imaging with Gd-BOPTA is similar to nonspecific ECF Gd-based CA, but in the hepatobiliary phase the lesion shows contrast enhancement in the fibrotic area, with hypointensive appearance in the peripheral (vital) component of the tumor. Occasionally, some small peripheral cholangiocarcinoma with a large number of tumor cells and few interstitial fibrous tissues on dynamic MRI reveal strong enhancement of the whole tumor in the early phase; owing to the presence of a desmoplastic component, even these small tumors do not show washout in the portal and interstitial phase of contrast enhancement, making differentiation from other hypervascular hepatic tumors difficult. No significant uptake is observed after SPIO administration owing to the absence of Kupffer cells.

Hepatic metastases are the most frequent malignancies of the liver. Lesion detection is size related, with an accepted lower threshold for detection of approximately 1 cm. Unfortunately, a post-mortem assessment of their size has shown that the ratio between metastases larger than 1 cm and those smaller than 1 cm is approximately 1:1.6 for metastases of colorectal adenocarcinoma and 1:4 for other...
liver metastases [83]. Thus, there is a clinical need to improve the sensitivity of the different imaging techniques; however, the fact that even in oncological patients small (<1 cm) FLLs are mostly benign lesions [84], means that there is also a need to improve the specificity of the different imaging techniques, in order to avoid inappropriate management of these patients.

On ultrasound, metastases are typically hypoechoic, with an inhomogeneous appearance caused by the presence of necrosis (if large) or calcifications (e.g., colorectal metastases).

According to their pattern of enhancement after CA administration, metastases can be divided into hypervascular (Figure 8A–K) (e.g., melanoma, sarcoma, renal cancer, breast cancer, gastrointestinal stromal tumor, thyroid cancer, carcinoid, pheochromocytoma) and hypovascular (Figure 9A–E) (prostate cancer, colorectal cancer and lung cancer). Hypervascular metastases show early intense enhancement, while in the portal phase all metastasis (hypervascular and hypovascular) show contrast washout appearing as enhancement defects on late-phase scans [61,85], although hypovascular metastases can still have visible enhancement even in the portal and distribution phase. However, recent publications have shown that with the second-generation ultrasound CA, more than 85% of metastases (even lesions considered hypovascular with other imaging techniques) show some early peripheral arterial enhancement, often not recognized on multiphasic CT or MRI [85,86]. On late-phase CEUS scans metastases consistently appear as marked hypoechoic lesions, making this phase the most suitable for lesion detection. In a comparative study on the detection of metastatic disease, Dietrich et al. reported that CEUS was statistically significantly superior to native ultrasound and CT in metastases detection and had a specificity of 94.4%, proving the absence of the lesion, at 3–6 month follow-up in patients with primary extrahepatic tumors [87]. Thus, a negative CEUS examination can be considered reliable to exclude the presence of metastases.

Figure 8. Pathologically proven hypervascular hepatic metastasis from kidney carcinoma. On contrast-enhanced ultrasound an early intense enhancement is evident in the arterial phase (A) with lack of washout in the portal phase (B). In the late phase (C) a complete washout can be observed, with the lesion appearing markedly hypoechoic. The lesion is slightly hyperintense on T1-weighted (D), with increasing signal intensity from a low (b = 0) (E) to high (b = 600) b-value (F). The lesion is isointense on T1-weighted fat saturation (G). During paramagnetic contrast agent injection the lesion shows a significant enhancement in the arterial phase (H) with lack of washout during the portal (I) and distribution phase (J). In the hepatobiliary phase, 2 h after administration of liver-specific paramagnetic contrast agents (MultiHance®, Bracco SpA, Milan, Italy), the lesion is hypointense (K).
On unenhanced CT scans, most metastases appear either hypo- or iso-attenuated relative to the surrounding normal liver. After CA administration, they may display slight peripheral enhancement with a hypoattenuating center. On the portal-venous phase, some highly vascular primary tumors may appear isoattenuated compared with normal liver.

On unenhanced MR images, metastases are usually hypointense on T1w and slightly hyperintense and/or heterogeneous on T2w images. Some hypervascular metastases tend to have higher signal intensity on T2w and may mimic hemangiomas [88]. On dynamic MRI, hypervascular lesions show a significant enhancement during the arterial phase, whereas hypovascular lesions are best imaged during the portal phase. In the portal phase these lesions usually show some rapid washout, which renders them lower in signal intensity than the surrounding normal liver parenchyma. Dynamic MRI with Gd-BOPTA or Gd-EOB-DTPA is superimposable to the patterns seen with ECF Gd-based CA. However, in the late hepatobiliary phase all metastases appear hypointense to the surrounding hyperintense liver parenchyma. There is no significant uptake of SPIO, thus the lesion appears hyperintense on T2w.

**FLLs of the cirrhotic liver**

Cirrhotic liver can be affected by all the lesions previously described; however, some lesions are found typically in these patients owing to the process of regeneration and degeneration of the liver tissue.

Regenerative nodules (RNs) are benign lesions that represent a response to necrosis or altered circulation, and generally have normal hepatocytes function. RNs are usually numerous and diffused throughout the liver, and are round with sharp margins; they may contain lipids or iron, thus have a different signal, especially on MR, compared with liver parenchyma.

Dysplastic nodules (DNs) show cytologic atypia, mainly as large cell changes, suggesting a genetic alteration, but do not meet the criteria for malignancy or invasion; they may be described as...
low-grade or high-grade according to the degree of dysplasia. These lesions may transform into HCCs. On gross section, they may be indistinguishable from RNs. According to the latest guidelines from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, DNs should not be treated or managed as cancers, and patients with known or suspected DNs should not be monitored more aggressively than patients without such nodules [89].

Hepatocellular carcinoma is the most frequent primary liver tumor (80–90%) and represents more than 5% of all cancers. HCC is the end point of a serial transformation beginning from a DN, often triggered by chronic liver inflammation and cirrhosis. Other risk factors include hemochromatosis and primary biliary cirrhosis [90]. The progression from DN to HCC implies not only morphological changes, but also vascular transformations, with the process of neoangiogenesis leading to an increased number of unpaired arteries, progressive reduction of hepatocellular function and reduction of Kupffer cell density [91]. All these changes can be visualized with modern imaging techniques. Unfortunately, such factors tend to vary from patient to patient, often making the behavior of HCC lesions difficult to predict.

On ultrasound RNs appear as discernible, small, hypoechoic FLL and after application of ultrasound CA they do not enhance or present an enhancement on spots in the arterial phase (Figure 10A–C). However, RNs greater than 2 cm in diameter might demonstrate a diffuse enhancement [60]. DNs appear hypoechoic on ultrasound; however, iso- and hyper-choic appearances have been reported. These nodules, on CEUS during the arterial phase, may demonstrate isoenhancement (Figure 11A–D), or slight hyperenhancement (Figure 12A–D) during the arterial phase, making differential diagnosis of DN and HCC difficult [92]. On unenhanced ultrasound scans small HCC appear hypoechoic, while larger ones tend to have mixed echogenicity. On CEUS, during the arterial phase, HCCs demonstrate diffused enhancement or inhomogeneous enhancement in large lesions caused by the presence of necrosis and/or hemorrhage (Figure 13A–D). At late phase a washout can be appreciated, with an hypoechoic appearance of the lesion; however, an isoechoic appearance has been described, making differential diagnosis of HCC and benign lesions difficult (Figure 14A & B).

On enhanced CT RNs appear as hypotenued and after CA administration demonstrated enhancement similar to that of liver parenchyma, with hyperattenuated fibrous septa, when present [93]. DNs show slight hypotenuation on unenhanced scans and after CA administration show an enhancement similar to the surrounding liver parenchyma. The typical appearance of HCC is an early enhancing mass and rapid washout in late phase (Figure 13F–H). However, small well-differentiated HCC may not show a washout in the late phase (Figure 14D–F). A capsule, if present, shows late enhancement (Figure 13H).

On T₁w MRI, RNs present various signal intensities, while on T₂w they are hypointense. After Gd CA injection, they show an enhancement similar to that of liver parenchyma; however, occasionally they may appear hyperintense. After SPIO administration, RNs show signal hypointensity caused by the presence of Kupffer cells. DNs demonstrate variable signal intensity on T₂w (hypo, iso and hyper) and hypointensity on T₁w (low grade) or slightly higher signal intensity (high grade) [91]. On Gd-enhanced MRI low-grade DNs show enhancement similar to that of liver parenchyma (being indistinguishable from RNs), while high-grade nodules behave in a similar manner to HCCs. On T₁w (Figure 14G–M) HCCs are mild to moderately hyperintense. On T₂w images HCCs show a slight hypointensity, whereas increased signal intensity correlates with a more well-differentiated histologic grade [91].

---

Figure 10. Pathologically proven regenerative nodule in a cirrhotic liver. Hypoechoic lesion on B-mode (A), with slight and inhomogeneous contrast enhancement in arterial phase on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (B). During the venous phases (C), the lesion shows a homogeneous enhancement with the liver. The lesion was stable at 2 years follow-up (not shown).
Hyperintensity on T1w is related to several factors, such as fatty metamorphosis, glycogen, clear cells and copper [94]. On DWI, the lesion usually shows an increase of signal intensity at high b-values owing to the cellularity of the lesion with restricted diffusion. Dynamic T1w during the arterial phase is of utmost importance for the detection of small HCCs [92]. Typically, HCCs demonstrate arterial enhancement and venous washout, showing later hypointensity. Dynamic imaging of HCCs with Gd-BOPTA or Gd-EOB-DTPA is similar to that observed with conventional CA, while delayed hepatobiliary phase imaging reveals a number of different enhancement patterns, with iso-, hypo- and hyper-intense patterns possible. With SPIO, well-differentiated HCCs may be iso- or hypo-intense compared with the surrounding liver, while poorly differentiated HCCs appear to have relatively high signal intensity, caused by the low accumulation of SPIO by HCC compared with liver parenchyma [91].

**Practical guidelines**

According to the most recent recommendations [95], if nodules found on ultrasound surveillance are smaller than 1 cm they should be followed with ultrasound at 3–6 month intervals, reverting to routine surveillance (6 months) if there has not been growth over a period of up to 2 years. If nodules are larger than 1 cm, further investigation with contrast-enhanced CT or MRI should be performed. The presence of typical imaging characteristics for HCC (i.e., hypervascular lesion in the arterial phase with a washout in the portal or delayed phase) make an automatic diagnosis of HCC without the need for histological confirmation, and the lesion should be treated accordingly. In the case of atypical imaging findings (i.e., hypovascularity or lack of washout), another contrast-enhanced technique should be used or a biopsy should be performed. In the case of negative findings for HCC at histology, the lesion should be followed using imaging at 3–6 month intervals until it disappears, becomes enlarged or displays imaging characteristics suggestive of HCC. If the lesion enlarges but remains atypical for HCC, a repeated biopsy is recommended.

**Monitoring response to treatment**

A correct approach to the treatment of HCC has to take into account not only the tumor stage (number and size of the lesions), but also liver function and physical status. According to this comprehensive staging system, many possibilities for HCC treatment are available, some of them with curative intent (surgical resection, orthotopic
liver transplantation, percutaneous ablation), and others with a palliative (transarterial chemoembolization and sorafenib) or symptomatic purpose. Imaging techniques are the most useful tool in order to evaluate the response to treatment.

In the case of treatment with curative or even palliative intent, the major landmark imaging feature of HCC is the hypervascularity, and effective treatment is usually indicated by lack of vascular enhancement in the treated lesion [95]. All contrast-enhanced techniques (CEUS, CT and MR) are suitable in the assessment of tumor response; the major advantage of CEUS being that it can be performed at the bedside of the patients, soon after the ablative treatment, in order to assess if some persistence of disease is present, which can be corrected immediately [96]. In the case of multifocal disease, more panoramic techniques such as contrast-enhanced CT or MRI must be performed [95]. New techniques such as DWI have been suggested, although the dynamic enhanced with subtraction technique seems to be more accurate [97].

### Comparison of different imaging techniques

It is reported that CEUS significantly improves the detection of FLLs with a sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 75% compared with unenhanced ultrasound (sensitivity 49% and specificity 25%) [98] and improved diagnostic accuracy from 37.7 and 50.9% to 85 and 79.6% for two readers compared with native ultrasound [99], therefore, decreasing recommendations for further imaging from 88 and 99.4% (two readers, ultrasound) to 3 and 18% (two readers, CEUS) [99]. Furthermore, CEUS demonstrates values similar to that of more sophisticated techniques with a sensitivity ranging from 89 to 95.5% and a specificity from 83.1 to 100% [100–102]. Thus, these data suggest that CEUS should be the initial examination in the characterization of FLLs [102]. CEUS allows us to easily classify FLLs into benign and malignant, according to their behavior in the late phase after CA administration: an iso- or hyper-echoic appearance is most likely to be observed in benign lesions (e.g., hemangioma, FNH and HA) while a hypoechoic appearance is most likely to be found in malignant lesions (CCC, metastases, HCC).

Diagnostic accuracy of CEUS has been reported as 83.1% for benign lesions and 95.8% for malignant ones; with regard to single lesion characterization, values of 82.2% for hemangioma, 87.1% for FNH, 91.4% for liver metastases and 84.9% for HCCs have been reported [103]. In a multicenter approach under routine clinical conditions, CEUS was demonstrated to be of equal rank to CT scans with regard to the assessment of tumor growth.

---

**Figure 13. Pathologically proven hepatocellular carcinoma in a cirrhotic liver.** Hypoechoic lesion at B-mode (A) with an intense contrast enhancement in the arterial phases on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (B) and a contrast washout in the late phase (C & D). On native CT images, hepatocellular carcinoma appears as a mass isodense to the liver (E). After administration of contrast agents, there is an early homogenous contrast enhancement (F), with a central necrosis, and a washout in the late phase (G & H).
differentiation and specification [104,105]. Other studies have shown a better performance of MRI in FLL characterization compared with multislice CT [4,106], although other authors have reported a better performance of MRI for detection, but not for characterization [107]. The use of liver-specific CA [108,109] improves the management of FLLs with a better performance in the differential diagnosis in comparison to CT both for Gd-BOPTA and Gd-EOB-DTPA.

Optimal imaging of FLL
The best approach to FLLs depends on the clinical history of the patient.

Patients with incidental FLLs
Incidental FLLs are more and more frequently encountered in patients during workup for unrelated pathology, owing to increasing sensitivity of recent imaging techniques. The frequency of incidental FLLs is directly related to the incidence of liver tumors in the general population. After detection of an incidental FLL, the goal is to assess if diagnosis can be made based on imaging features alone or whether biopsy is required. Incidental solid FLLs are typically benign; however, a malignant one, HCC and metastases could also be detected. The most frequent benign incidental solid liver lesions are hemangioma, FNH and HA. In a study of incidental FLLs in patients with fatty liver, undetermined on native ultrasound, CEUS increased sensitivity (91.7%) and specificity (90.9%) [110]. In another study that compared the use of MRI with CEUS in incidental FLLs, sensitivity and specificity for hemangioma with MRI were 100 and 100%, respectively, and 89 and 100% with CEUS, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity for FNH was 88 and 96% with MRI, respectively, and 74 and 88% with CEUS, respectively [111]. Both CEUS and MRI have the advantage of sparing radiation exposure to patients, thus being indicated especially in young patients and women of childbearing age. Moreover, the routine use of CEUS for the characterization of FLLs provides significant cost savings [112] and is indicated in patients with low glomerular filtration rates, where the use of other CA, either iodine based or gadolinium based, must be considered as a potential risk for the patient. However, patients with high BMI can be difficult to evaluate with ultrasound, thus more robust and patient-independent techniques can be required, such as CT (in the case of older patients) or MRI (in younger patients).

Patients with oncological history
In oncologic patients, the liver is the most common target of metastatic disease and accurate detection and characterization of FLLs is prognostically fundamental during the initial staging as well as before and after preoperative chemotherapy, as it can help to identify patients who are most likely to benefit from liver surgery. As many FLLs in these patients are benign, especially if small [113], a precise and preferably noninvasive method of differentiation from malignant metastatic nodules is needed. Moreover, the continuous follow-up of cancer patients requires an easily available, reliable and cost-effective diagnostic tool for the detection and characterization of FLLs. The first step in the follow-up is still B-mode ultrasound, followed by CEUS when

![Figure 14. Hepatocellular carcinoma in a cirrhotic liver.](image)

Small hypoechoic lesion on ultrasound (A) with an intense contrast enhancement in the arterial phases on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (B) without contrast washout in the late phase (C). On native CT images (D), hepatocellular carcinoma appears as an isodense lesion with slight contrast enhancement after contrast agents (E), better appreciated in the portal phase (F). On magnetic resonance images, hepatocellular carcinoma is moderately hyperintense in T1 (G) and hypointense on T2 (H), with an increasing signal intensity on diffusion-weighted imaging from a low (b = 0) (I) to high (b = 600) (J) b-value. During paramagnetic contrast agent injection the lesion shows a significant enhancement in the arterial phase (K) with a washout and pseudocapsule enhancement during the portal (L) and distribution phase (M). In the hepatobiliary phase, 2 h after administration of liver-specific paramagnetic contrast agents (MultiHance®, Bracco SpA, Milan, Italy), the lesion is hypointense (N).
a focal lesion is discovered. Moreover, the high sensitivity of CEUS is able to exclude other focal lesions during the scan of the liver in the late phase, giving the technique a high negative predictive value [87]. Even if contrast-enhanced CT, owing to its wide diffusion and good sensitivity and specificity, is still the method of choice for the evaluation of oncologic patients, MRI should be considered the imaging modality of choice when characterization of FLLs is crucial for therapeutic decisions, especially when a benign liver lesion in suspected in a patient with cancer, owing to its high specificity derived from multimodality MRI (dynamic contrast imaging, liver-specific CA delayed imaging and DWI) (Figure 15A–M) [22,109].

**Patients with cirrhosis**

In patients with cirrhosis imaging protocols should be designed to show the difference in the vascular supply to the lesion to distinguish benign from malignant lesions. The most frequently used examination is conventional ultrasound; however, it is accepted that dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging techniques can establish the diagnosis of HCC in nodular lesions larger than 1 cm, demonstrating arterial hypervascularization with venous washout [114]. Thus, for better visualization and further characterization CEUS should be used as it has high sensitivity and specificity in FLL detection. It has been reported that accuracy of CEUS in the characterization of FLLs in cirrhotic patients is 96.6% (sensitivity of 96.2% and specificity of 96%), which is higher than for ultrasound (72%), Doppler ultrasound (70%), AFP levels (65.7%), combined ultrasound and Doppler ultrasound (70%) and combined ultrasound and AFP levels (90.3%) [115]. CEUS, however, does not provide a panoramic, overall view of the liver and other abdominal organs or information that is fundamental in planning the

---

**Figure 15. Hypovascular hepatic metastasis from colon carcinoma.** On ultrasound a small hypoechoic lesion can be appreciated in the left lobe (A). Both on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (B & C) and CT (D), there is no significant enhancement after administration of contrast agents. The CT image shows another small hypodense lesion in the right lobe, too small to be characterized. On magnetic resonance images the lesion in the left lobe is slightly hyperintense on $T_2$ (E) and on the diffusion-weighted imaging images at a high b-value (b = 600) (F). The lesion is hypointense on $T_1$ (G) and shows absent contrast enhancement after administration of contrast agents (H). The lesion in the right lobe is not clearly appreciated both on $T_2$ (I) and diffusion-weighted images at a high b-value (b = 600) (J). The lesion is hypointense on $T_1$ (K), does not show enhancement after contrast agent injection in the portal phase (L), but shows a homogeneous enhancement during the distribution phase (M). The lesion was interpreted as a small fibrotic hemangioma and was stable at follow-up (not shown).
therapeutic options in a patient with HCC. In such situations, CT should be applied, which gives useful information on proper treatment and management when the lesion appearance is not questionable and definite diagnosis can be made. MRI in combination with liver-specific CA, including hepatocyte-targeted and reticuloendothelial system-targeted agents, is useful to clarify equivocal cases, owing to its ability to show changes in hepatobiliary function or Kupffer cell content associated with malignancy. However, even optimized imaging techniques remain relatively insensitive for the detection of tiny satellite nodules associated with the main tumor [114].

Conclusion
Modern diagnostic imaging allows an accurate definition of the different FLLs. Owing to the different costs and availability of the imaging techniques, their correct utilization permits a precise, accurate and fast way to reach the most significant information, thus allowing correct management of different patients.

Future perspective
Taking a 5-year view the role of CEUS in the management of HCC will be more established and accepted, with regard to CT, more efficient low-dose protocols will enable dose-saving image studies. Furthermore, dual energy with iodine mapping as well as perfusion imaging will offer new information for a more targeted treatment of FLLs. Finally, with regard to MRI, the role of DWI will be more established, with a clearer separation between perfusion and diffusion. Furthermore, new MRI sequences, such as perfusion imaging, will enable us to obtain new information.
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Executive summary
- Contrast-enhanced ultrasound is an important tool in order to increase the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound in the management of focal liver lesions (FLLs).
- An isoechoic appearance of FLL in the late phase after ultrasound contrast agent injection is suggestive of benignity.
- An hypoechoic appearance of an enhancing FLL in the late phase after ultrasound contrast agent injection is suggestive of malignity and further examinations are required.
- CT is the most important technique in the study of FLLs, owing to its speed, robustness and wide availability. It has an established role in the management of oncologic patients and cirrhotic patients, especially in the base of patients with a high body mass index or patients with low capacity of breath-holding.
- New reconstruction algorithms allow us to significantly lower the dose given to the patient.
- Most recent developments, such as dual-source and 128-slice (and over) scanners, can enable CT organ perfusion evaluation.
- MRI is the most sensitive and specific technique in the evaluation of FLLs. Multiparametric sequences, T₁-weighted, T₂-weighted and diffusion-weighted imaging, as well as with the use of liver-specific contrast agent are able to offer valuable morphological information as well as functional information.
- Benign hepatocellular lesions are easily characterized owing to the use of liver-specific magnetic resonance contrast media.
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