Mechanisms of late stent-related myocardial infarction: insights from optical coherence tomography

This article focuses on optical coherence tomography findings in patients with late major cardiovascular events like myocardial infarction and/or stent thrombosis, following drug-eluting stent and bare-metal stent implantation. Uncovered stent struts and malapposition seem to play a critical role in drug-eluting stent-related late events. Neointimal features similar to native atherosclerosis are usually found in bare-metal stent-related late events, although there is increasing evidence that this may also happen with drug-eluting stents, but with a different chronology. The role of optical coherence tomography in exploring the mechanisms of such late events, and its potential role in percutaneous coronary intervention optimization guided by these presumed mechanisms, is further discussed.
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Following implantation of coronary stents, late (beyond 1 year) major cardiovascular events (MACE), including myocardial infarction (MI), can originate from the culprit stented lesion, from significant lesions left untreated, or from disease progression in other territories of the coronary tree [1–3]. In patients presenting with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS), the PROSPECT study, has shown that the rate of such MACE may be up to 20% at 3 years of follow-up [3]. Similarly, the COURAGE study has reported a 19% cumulative incidence of death and MI at 4.6 years following stenting of stable patients [4]. It seems that disease progression and ‘stent-related’ events share an equal proportion as the underlying mechanism of MI following coronary stenting [2,3]. Stent-related MI includes stent thrombosis (ST), with or without underlying restenosis.

Intracoronary imaging, including intravascular ultrasound, angiography and optical coherence tomography (OCT), has been used in an effort to study the mechanisms of stent-related MI [5–8]. In particular, OCT represents a relatively new imaging technique, employing the analysis of near-infrared light back-reflection to create images [9]. The greatest advantage of OCT is its high axial resolution, which is in the range of 10 µm, compared with that of intravascular ultrasound, which is 100–150 µm. Its safety using a nonocclusive contrast flushing technique has been established [10], whereas the frequency-domain interferometry analysis system constitutes an innovation allowing faster image acquisition (up to 20 mm/s, maximum recording 55 mm) and greater scan depths, making scanning of larger caliber vessels possible [11,12].

**OCT findings in late ‘stent-related MI’ and/or stent thrombosis: the role of uncovered & malposed struts**

Although the use of drug-eluting stents (DES) reduced restenosis, it is associated with up to 0.6% annual incidence of late ST, at least with first-generation DES [13]. Stent thrombosis – especially DES ST – is a multifactorial phenomenon with coronary lesion-, stent- and patient-related characteristics being among its most important predictors [14,15]. Pathological post-mortem and *in vivo* studies have shown that stent characteristics associated with ST include stent underexpansion, incomplete stent strut apposition (malapposition) and incomplete stent strut endothelialization (delayed healing) [5,16,17]. Detailed *in vivo* studying of the above characteristics has become feasible with application of high-fidelity intracoronary imaging techniques like OCT. The efficacy and reproducibility of the latter for the assessment of neointimal stent coverage, strut apposition and thrombus identification has been established in numerous studies to date [18–33].

- **Uncovered struts in DES of patients with late events**
  Both case reports [34] and the only two existing studies systematically examining patients...
presenting with ‘stent-related’ MI or late ST with OCT, have shown an increased frequency and length of uncovered and malapposed struts associated with those late events [7,8]. Previous pathological studies of first-generation DES (sirolimus-eluting stents [SES] and paclitaxel-eluting stents [PES]) have shown delayed healing [16], and especially the presence of >30% uncovered struts per cross-section, to be highly predictive of DES thrombosis [7]. We have recently reported a series of 17 patients with DES (n = 7) and bare-metal stents (BMS; n = 10) ‘related late MI’ cases studied with OCT, in which all DES cases demonstrated uncovered struts with very late ST following DES implantation [17]. We have recently reported a series of 17 patients with DES (n = 7) and bare-metal stents (BMS; n = 10) ‘related late MI’ cases studied with OCT, in which all DES cases demonstrated uncovered struts per cross-section, to be highly predictive of DES thrombosis [7].

More specifically, there were 15% malapposed struts per stent, and as high as 43% total cross-sections, with >30% uncovered struts per stent. Similarly, Guagliumi et al. studied 18 patients with definite late DES thrombosis with OCT and found that 78% exhibited uncovered struts, and 72% had >30% uncovered struts per stent [7]. These authors also studied matched control subjects with DES and found that the frequency of cross-sections with >30% uncovered struts was only 4.1% [7].

The median time from DES implantation to late stent thrombosis in this study was 615 days (range 172–1836 days), and 83% of patients had very late ST (beyond 1 year). In our study, DES related MI happened at a median of 240 days, with a range of 90–1860 days, with three out of seven cases occurring beyond 1 year [8]. Thus, both studies confirm that uncovered DES struts might persist for several years and might be a frequent underlying finding in patients with ‘DES-related MI’ and/or late DES thrombosis.

### Malapposed struts in DES of patients with late events

An intravascular ultrasound study in patients with very late ST following DES implantation reported an increased incidence of stent malapposition compared with those without ST (Figure 1) [35]. We found a 15% incidence of malapposed struts per stent in our seven cases of ‘DES-related MI’ [8]. Guagliumi et al. found malapposed stent struts in 78% of patients with late ST, which was significantly greater than in patients without late ST [7]. Pathologic and in vivo histologic studies of ST have attributed late, presumably acquired, malapposition of SES and PES to localized hypersensitivity, inflammation and necrosis induced by the eluted drug and/or the polymer of first-generation DES [5,36]. Similarly, Guagliumi et al. found, eosinophilic-rich inflammatory infiltrates in tissue from stents demonstrating thrombosis [7].

Another possible explanation for late acquired malapposition could be thrombus resolution following performance of the index procedure due to ACS, as strut malapposition with OCT has been reported more frequently at follow-up in stents implanted for ACS compared with non-ACS cases [28,37]. However, the issue of acquired malapposition has been disputed by a prospective OCT study of a SES, showing that only a small proportion of struts that were well apposed immediately after intervention developed subsequent malapposition at a 10-month follow-up [30]. Therefore, we cannot exclude that malapposed DES struts found in patients with late events post-PCI do not represent persistent initial incomplete apposition. Additionally late, presumably acquired, malapposition and extensive vessel remodeling, as stated by Guagliumi et al., might only be a marker of underlying vascular toxicity and inflammation, which might be the direct cause of ST [7].

### Cross-sectional & prospective OCT studies of surrogates of late DES-related events

Numerous studies have tried to examine, prospectively with OCT, the issue of delayed healing and malapposition of DES [10–18,24–26–33]. In these studies, the frequency of uncovered struts reported at 6–12-month follow-up in asymptomatic patients treated with first-generation DES varied from 0 to 8.4% [29,31,32]. The frequency of cross-sections with >30% uncovered struts was only 2% at 2 years in asymptomatic patients after SES implantation in one of those studies [31]. Similarly, this proportion was found to be 6.25%, at a 6-month routine OCT follow-up of a PES implanted in patients with ACS, by our group [32]. Although the rate of uncovered struts decreases progressively by 12 months as shown in a SES study, complete coverage is still rare [38]. Delayed healing and incomplete endothelialization of first-generation SES and PES have been partly attributed to chronic inflammation [5,16,17]. More specifically, the durable polymer coat of those stents has been proposed to have a proinflammatory action, retarding vessel healing and endothelial strut coverage [16,23]. In this sense, a biodegradable polymer carrier may be an advantage compared with old generation stents, in terms of vessel reaction and strut endothelialization [32,39]. Finally, the active drug eluted by DES may have a role in delayed healing, as uneven healing has been reported with PES with evidence of late ST [17]. Indeed, PES demonstrate more in-stent late loss and
uncovered struts, as well as worse apposition to the vessel wall in comparison with everolimus-eluting stents [40]. On the other hand, stents with similar biodegradable polymer platforms demonstrate more uncovered struts at 6 months postimplantation when eluting newer more potent drugs like biolimus A9, compared with paclitaxel [33]. The main problem with such studies (apart from the cross-sectional design of the majority) is that the rate of MACE following stenting was quite low, making it difficult to draw safe conclusions regarding the exact role of such surrogates. Even more importantly, the rate of ST with newer generation stents seems to be very low [41].

**Uncovered & malapposed struts in BMS of patients with late events**

Late ‘BMS-related MI’ and/or thrombosis has also been described; however, its mechanisms may differ from those of DES, with restenosis being the most frequent finding in such cases [8]. In our experience, up to 50% of BMS in patients presenting with late events postimplantation may demonstrate uncovered struts, although the incidence of uncovered (3.6%) and malapposed (1.6%) struts per stent appears to be very small compared with DES cases. This is indirectly putting in dispute the possible contribution of uncovered and malapposed struts in late events following BMS implantation.

**Figure 1. Optical coherence tomography findings following implantation of drug-eluting stents and bare-metal stents.**

(A) Tissue prolapse or thrombus is shown (white arrow) over two coronary stent struts that may be malapposed immediately post implantation. (B) Severe stent malaposition of a coronary drug-eluting stent in a patient with late-stent thrombosis is shown by the arrow. The stent struts appear as bright circumferential dotted surfaces that are evidently not apposed to the vessel wall. (C) A circumferential very bright zone of tissue with background attenuation lining thick neointima inside a restenosed bare-metal coronary stent of a patient with late myocardial infarction is shown. This may be due to dense macrophage accumulation. An area of possible lipid accumulation is depicted with the asterisks. (D) Optical coherence tomography cross-section from the same case shown in (C), depicts possible neointimal rupture (arrow).
OCT findings in late ‘stent-related MI’ and/or stent thrombosis:
the role of restenosis & neointimal-atherosclerosis

■ Neointimal features in patients with BMS-related late events

In our study, patients with ‘BMS related MI’ (n = 10) presented significantly later, at a median of 95 months (range: 3–180), compared with patients with DES (Figure 1) [8]. Interestingly, 70% of these cases presented as ST segment elevation myocardial infarction with visible angiographic thrombus, and 80% demonstrated binary restenosis. On the other hand, in our experience, thrombus laden is not a consistent OCT finding in restenotic lesions. The existence of visible angiographic thrombus in the majority of BMS-related MI cases suggests that ST – at least for BMS – may be associated with restenosis. The latter is due to hyperproliferative neointimal growth inside the stent, and constitutes an important problem following implantation of, with reported rates of 15–40% at 6 months [42]. Clinical studies in turn have shown that BMS restenosis, contrary to previous thoughts that it may have a benign clinical presentation [43], may also present with ACS [44–47]. This is in accordance with our findings.

Most importantly, OCT in patients with BMS-related late events discloses features of atherosclerosis-like lipid cores, calcium deposits and neovascularization [8,48–51]. Images consistent with neointimal rupture may be detected with OCT in up to 70% of cases [8]. These findings imply that the potential mechanism of ‘BMS-related MI’ late postimplantation may be ‘atherosclerotic neointimal transformation’, with subsequent rupture similar to native atherosclerosis. The existence of BMS neointimal atherosclerosis has been previously reported in pathologic studies [52,53]. This presumed ‘atherosclerotic transformation’ of the neointima seems to happen late post BMS implantation [53]. The abovementioned small OCT studies and case series have come to confirm in vivo such neointimal atherosclerotic features late post-BMS implantation, associated with significant narrowing, tissue rupture and thrombus, which sometimes present as ACS [8,48–51]. However, a direct comparison of OCT images with pathologic specimens from the same cases does not exist. Some investigators have proposed that this atherosclerotic process may progress from the vessel wall through the space between struts into the lumen of the BMS [49,50]. Serial OCT studies are needed to clarify the presumed process of ‘neointimal atherosclerotic transformation’ following stenting.

■ Neointimal features in patients with BMS-related late events

DES restenosis, although rare, may also present clinically with ACS [2,54], and recent evidence suggests that there may be a late catch-up phenomenon in some patients following DES implantation [55]. In our study, none of the ‘DES-related MI’ cases demonstrated restenosis or neointimal atherosclerotic features with OCT [8]. However, the number of such cases was small and the time of the events ranged considerably. A prospective angioscopic study in patients without events, however, demonstrated atherosclerotic findings within DES, speculating that this may represent another possible substrate for DES thrombosis [6]. Additionally, in a pathologic study of thrombosed stents, atherosclerotic changes were found in both DES and BMS neointima, and occurred significantly more frequently (35%) and earlier (after 4 months) in DES lesions, compared with BMS lesions (10%, and beyond 24 months) [53]. The authors speculated that inhibition of neointimal formation by the eluted drug of DES might promote atherosclerosis. The above constitute indirect evidence that late events following DES implantation may be associated with other mechanisms, namely atherosclerotic, apart from delayed healing and malapposition. Gonzalo et al. reported various OCT patterns of restenotic tissue (84% DES cases); however, the median follow-up time was only 12 months [24]. Recently, Kang et al. reported a 90% frequency of lipid-containing neointima on OCT examination late following DES implantation, with 50–60% of cases demonstrating thin cap intima, intimal rupture and thrombi [56]. Such findings were observed in both stable and unstable patients, however, were more frequent in the latter. Most importantly, the authors reported that neointimal fibrous cap thickness decreased over time, with a follow-up imaging ≥20 months post implantation being the best cut-off value to predict the presence of thin cap containing intima, and 70% of patients with late DES restenosis (beyond 20 months postimplantation) revealing thin cap intima on OCT. It may be that a long time interval is needed for the full spectrum of ‘neoatherosclerosis’ to be manifested. Nevertheless, this still seems to happen earlier with DES compared with BMS [53,56]. Finally, in a recent study, Guagliumi et al. also provided direct evidence
that DES ‘neoatherosclerosis’ may be involved in clinical events. However, in that study only two patients with DES had no other OCT surrogates of ST apart from a disrupted plaque with thrombus within, or immediately adjacent, to the DES [7]. A recent case report of a very late DES thrombosis of a fully covered DES, without evidence of malapposition on OCT, and despite dual antiplatelet therapy, underlines the multifactorial nature of this phenomenon, and the need for further OCT studies [57].

**Future perspective**

It is quite evident that more studies regarding late BMS and DES ‘failure’ are eagerly needed. OCT has helped us understand the potential mechanisms of stent related late events. This experience, in turn, might be used for therapeutic purposes. For example, it may be that OCT could be used for PCI optimization immediately following stenting if incomplete apposition is detected. In this case, further dilatation at high pressure with noncompliant balloons might be beneficial. In cases of malapposition discovered late post implantation (which might be persisting initial, or acquired) in the context of a new clinical event, the same practice might also be of benefit. The potential efficiency or the possible benefit of such strategies should be established with properly designed prospective randomized studies.

Neointimal ‘atherosclerotic transformation’ is another potential explanation for MACE occurring late following both DES and BMS implantation. Although there are only a few studies regarding the latter phenomenon, it would be very interesting to investigate with serial OCT studies, the potential effects of established atherosclerotic modifying therapies like statins on this kind of neointimal ‘atherosclerotic transformation’. Additionally, it may help us to understand the potential mechanisms of late catch-up following DES implantation, and the reasons why some patients with in-stent neoatherosclerosis will culminate in ACS, and others will not, or which are the potential DES-treated lesions that will develop DES neoatherosclerosis.

Finally, although the accuracy and reproducibility of OCT in assessing neointimal stent coverage and strut apposition is fairly good [18–33], there is less validation of OCT findings regarding neointimal atherosclerotic findings. Certainly, correlation of such OCT features with pathologic findings are needed.

**Conclusion**

OCT constitutes an extremely useful imaging technique for studying late events, namely MI and/or ST, following implantation of DES and BMS. In the case of ‘BMS-related events’ studied with OCT, it seems that restenosis and/or ‘neointimal atherosclerotic transformation’ are the most frequent features. On the other hand, OCT case reports and studies of such late events point to delayed healing and malapposition as the prevailing but not the sole mechanism of ‘DES related events’. There is recent evidence that ‘neointimal atherosclerotic transformation’ may also happen in DES, and this may be the substrate of late catch-up phenomenon and another potential explanation for late DES failure. It remains to be proven whether the mechanisms and/or the chronology of ‘stent-related MI’ may differ according to the type of stent.

**Executive summary**

- There are only a few in vivo imaging studies in patients with late events following drug-eluting stent (DES) or bare-metal stent (BMS) implantation. These studies point to an important role of uncovered and malapposed struts in these events, namely stent thrombosis.
- It seems that uncovered and malapposed struts are more frequent in DES-related events compared with BMS related events, without totally excluding a potential role in the latter case.
- Uncovered DES struts are considered the result of the antiproliferative properties of the eluted drug, as well as of potential inflammation induced by the polymer carrier. Malapposed DES struts may be the result of initial incomplete stent apposition, or the result of late acquired malapposition due to vessel wall inflammation, although the latter might be less important, especially with new-generation DES.
- Pathologic and optical coherence tomography studies of neointimal features in both DES and BMS have recently introduced the concept of ‘neo-atherosclerosis’.
- Investigators speculate that this constitutes a kind of ‘atherosclerotic transformation’ of the neointimal tissue, progressing from the adjacent vessel wall through the stent struts.
- Plaque rupture and/or erosion with subsequent thrombosis of such ‘atherosclerotic neointima’ might underline some cases of late stent thrombosis. This would explain the mechanism of late events in restenotic lesions.
- Atherosclerotic changes may be found earlier in DES compared with BMS lesions of patients with late events, and this might be due to inhibition of neointimal formation by the eluted drug of DES, promoting atherosclerosis. However, this was suggested by only one pathologic study, and there is no large optical coherence tomography study confirming in vivo this potential chronologic difference between DES and BMS.
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