Advanced MRI of articular cartilage

Musculoskeletal MRI is advancing rapidly, with innovative technology and significant potential for immediate clinical impact. In particular, cartilage imaging has become a topic of increasing interest as our aging population develops diseases such as osteoarthritis. Advances in MRI hardware and software have led to increased image quality and tissue contrast. Additional developments have allowed the assessment of cartilage macromolecular content, which may be crucial to the early detection of musculoskeletal diseases. This comprehensive article considers current morphological and physiological cartilage imaging techniques, their clinical applications, and their potential to contribute to future improvements in the imaging of cartilage.
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Multiple imaging modalities have been used to study articular cartilage in health and disease. Conventional radiography provides an indirect measure of articular cartilage through evaluation of joint space but is unable to detect early chondral damage. Arthrography combined with either x-ray or CT is used to assess cartilage early chondral damage. Arthrography combined evaluation of joint space but is unable to detect disease. Conventional radiography provides an to study articular cartilage in health and disease. Multiple imaging modalities have been used including collagen and proteoglycan matrices. To and assess cartilage biochemistry and physiology about cartilage and underlying bone morphology, thickness, volume, and integrity, provide details about cartilage and underlying bone morphology, and assess cartilage biochemistry and physiology including collagen and proteoglycan matrices. To date, multiple techniques must be used to obtain such a comprehensive picture. Major techniques in morphological imaging of cartilage include spin echo (SE) and gradient-recalled echo (GRE) sequences, fast SE (FSE), and 3D SE and GRE. Physiological imaging techniques such as transverse relaxation time (T1) mapping, delayed gadolinium enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC), T2rho mapping, sodium MRI, and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), provide insight into the molecular composition of cartilage.

Advances in MR software & hardware
MRI manipulates image contrast to highlight different tissue types. Common contrast methods include 2D or multislice longitudinal relaxation (T1)-weighted, proton density, and T2-weighted imaging (Figure 1) [16]. In short, T2-weighted imaging depicts anatomic detail of cartilage but lacks contrast between effusion and cartilage surface (Figure 1A) [17]. T2-weighted imaging provides excellent contrast between effusion and cartilage, but does so at the expense of internal cartilage signal, which is characterized by short to intermediate T2 relaxation times (Figure 1B) [18]. Proton density-weighting is an intermediate between T1 and T2 and is capable of depicting surface defects and internal composition changes. SE and FSE imaging techniques are useful in evaluating focal cartilage defects. Recent improvements in hardware, software, gradients and radiofrequency (RF) coils have led to the use of fast or turbo-spin echo imaging, fat saturation and water excitation (Figure 1C) [16]. In particular, GRE sequences have proven increasingly useful in evaluating cartilage volume.

- 2D SE & FSE
2D FSE imaging acquires multiple echoes per sequence repetition, which shortens scan
time and provides excellent signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and exquisite tissue contrast. Accordingly, FSE is part of the International Cartilage Repair Society’s cartilage evaluation protocol [19]. Despite its advantages, 2D FSE requires image acquisition in multiple planes and is susceptible to section gaps, partial volume effects and anisotropic voxels.

**3D FSE**

3D FSE imaging provides a rapid, isotropic alternative to traditional 2D FSE musculoskeletal imaging. Unlike 2D FSE, 3D FSE only requires the acquisition of a single volume divided into slices. However, by obtaining thin continuous slices, 3D FSE eliminates the slice gaps and anisotropic voxels that plague 2D FSE (Figure 2) [20,21]. These improvements enable image reformatting and improve visualization of oblique anatomy [22]. 3D FSE limitations include blurring and suboptimal assessment of subchondral bone [23,24], but recent sequences have used flip angle modulation to minimize blurring and parallel imaging to decrease scan time, rendering 3D FSE much more clinically useful.

**3D-GRE**

3D GRE MRI is useful for quantification of cartilage thickness and volume, as it has thin slices, no slice gaps, and variable contrast. Spoiled gradient recalled echo (SPGR) has relative T1-weighting and has been used for many years to follow volume and thickness changes in OA [25]. Dual-echo steady state (DESS) MRI has a relative T2-weighting and is used in the OAI to quantify volume and thickness in a large longitudinal study [25–27]. Recent work with DESS shows potential for measuring T2 and diffusion with this method [28,29].

**Fat suppression & water excitation**

Suppression of signal from fat in the subcutaneous tissues or subchondral bone improves dynamic range and eliminates chemical shift artifacts for cartilage imaging. In fat suppression, a lipid-specific RF pulse is applied before 2D or 3D SE or GRE imaging sequences to excite and dephase fat protons. This enables increased contrast between lipid and nonlipid surfaces, particularly at the subchondral bone-cartilage interface. However, fat saturation lengthens acquisition time of 3D GRE sequences [30] and is susceptible to magnetic field inhomogeneities.

Conversely, water excitation imaging selectively excites protons that are not bound to fat. Using a short repetition time (TR) and a small flip angle, these sequences depict cartilage with high signal and sharp contrast.
to surrounding tissue. Compared with fat suppression, water excitation results in a shorter scan time and eliminates chemical shift artifacts (Figure 3B & 3C) [31]. It is commonly used in combination with GRE techniques to quantitatively assess cartilage thickness and volume [31–33].

**Overcoming field inhomogeneities:**

**IDEAL, short T₁ inversion recovery, prepolarized MRI & metal artifact reduction techniques**

Iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least-squares estimation (IDEAL) employs a three-point water-fat saturation technique to provide uniform fat suppression and maintain high SNR [34,35]. Asymmetric echoes and least-squares fitting, often in conjunction with SE or GRE techniques, are used to maximize SNR [34]; IDEAL permits the correction of some field inhomogeneity during reconstruction, so this technique is generally used in more challenging magnetic field environments as a more robust method of eliminating fat signal (Figure3A–3C) [36–38].

Short T₁ inversion recovery (STIR) is also useful for scanning regions that may be subject to magnetic field inhomogeneities. This method uses a 180° inversion pulse and suppresses fat based on its short T₁-relaxation time. Unlike IDEAL, STIR achieves fat suppression at the expense of SNR and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR). STIR is useful for producing uniform fat saturation around metal-induced field inhomogeneities.

Metallic hardware in MRI causes both in-plane and through-plane artifacts in the images. In the presence of metallic hardware, several techniques can be employed to reduce artifact. Prepolarized MRI uses one homogenous low-field readout magnet and a second high-field polarizing magnet. This magnet duo generates a polarizing field to create sample magnetization and a readout field to determine acquisition frequency, drastically reducing chemical shift [39]. This approach requires specialized hardware and software.

View angle tilting (VAT) is another useful technique for minimizing in-plane distortion artifacts (Figure 4). During readout, view angle tilting uses a gradient on the slice select axis equal to the slice select gradient, largely obliterating artifacts from off-resonance spins (Figure 4B) [40]. VAT, however, does not account for through-slice distortion and RF shielding near hardware. Slice encoding for metal artifact correction (SEMAC) and multiple-acquisition with variable resonances image combination (MAVRIC) have been developed in attempt to correct for these metal artifacts. SEMAC combines VAT with additional phase encoding in the slice-dimension to fully resolve slice-selective distortions (Figure 4D) [41]. MAVRIC collects multiple 3D acquisitions at different transmission and reception frequencies to construct an image of the implant region by compiling individual Fourier reconstructions (Figure 4C) [42]. Unlike prepolarized MRI, which is still in the research stage, SEMAC and MAVRIC use conventional hardware and are in product development. Each of these techniques, however, show promise in reducing artifact around metallic hardware and enabling visualization of neighboring tissue, which will likely have an overwhelmingly positive clinical impact.

**Hardware improvements**

The bulk of MRI of the musculoskeletal system is traditionally performed at field strengths of 1.5 T or lower. Recently, imaging at 3.0 T has become more common [43,44]. Increased field strength allows for improvement in SNR, which increases image resolution and decreases examination time [45,46]. As expected, there are

![Figure 3. Sagittal images of the knee using IDEAL. (A) Shows an IDEAL image with both fat and water. (B) IDEAL fat image. (C) IDEAL water image. Arrows denote cartilage and subchondral bone changes characteristic of patellofemoral osteoarthritis.](image-url)
some disadvantages to these systems, including chemical shift displacement artifacts and RF power deposition. The resonant frequencies of fat and water are linearly related to field strength, while RF power is proportional to the square of field strength. Moving from 1.5 to 3.0 T, for example, results in a doubling of the chemical shift displacement artifacts and a quadrupling of RF power \[47,48\].

Imaging at 7.0 T is in early research stages. Advantages of this increased field strength include higher SNR, improved resolution, and shorter examination times, but many technical problems currently exist, including maximum specific absorption rate limits, increased chemical shift, and B1 inhomogeneity (Figure 5) \[49–51\].

Improvements in coil technology are being developed to keep pace with higher field strengths. Phased array coils have a higher intrinsic surface SNR than quadrature coils at the cost of some loss of intensity uniformity of MR images. Parallel imaging methods use multiple channels to extend the imaging field of view without increasing scan time by exploiting the spatially varying sensitivity profiles of the phased array coil elements. Dual use of these techniques reduces scan time and required RF pulses while also shortening echo times (TEs); parallel imaging compromises image uniformity and SNR but phased array coils with as many as 32 channels may be able to offset these shortcomings.

Morphologic imaging of articular cartilage

Morphological assessment of cartilage provides information about tissue size and structural integrity. Many techniques enable imaging of fissuring and focal or diffuse cartilage loss.

- **SPGR imaging with fat suppression**
  3D SPGR imaging with fat suppression is the current standard for morphological imaging of cartilage \[25,52\]. In 3D SPGR imaging with fat suppression, contrast similar to T₁-weighted sequences is obtained by spoiling the transverse
steady state with semi-random RF phase alterations. SPGR can acquire nearly isotropic voxels, producing excellent resolution images with high cartilage signal and low signal from adjacent joint fluid. Unfortunately, this technique requires lengthy acquisition times and does not show reliable differences in signal between cartilage and surrounding fluid. Combining SPGR and IDEAL sequences has been shown to improve SNR and acquisition times [53]. A variant of SPGR, fast low-angle shot, also uses a random gradient to produce a phase shift and spoil the steady state. Fast low-angle shot produces high cartilage signal and permits the acquisition of high-spatial-resolution images, but like traditional SPGR, it requires long acquisition times that render it vulnerable to motion and susceptibility artifacts.

**Driven equilibrium Fourier transform**

Driven equilibrium Fourier transform (DEFT) imaging generates contrast by exploiting the $T_2/T_1$ ratio of tissues. DEFT returns magnetization to the z-axis with a 90° pulse which results in enhanced signal in tissues with long $T_2$-relaxation times. In cartilage imaging, DEFT heightens synovial fluid signal and preserves cartilage signal, resulting in bright synovial fluid at a short TR, high cartilage SNR and improved imaging of full cartilage thickness [54]. The disadvantages of DEFT are increased artifact and inferior fat saturation when compared with $T_2$-FSE imaging [54].

**DESS**

3D DESS imaging acquires two or more gradient echoes, separating each pair of echoes with a refocusing pulse, and combines image data to obtain higher $T_2^*$ weighting. This technique results in high signal intensity in both cartilage and synovial fluid, which enables morphological assessment of cartilage. Simultaneously, high signal in both tissues can wash out tissue contrast and obscure smaller defects. This can be remedied by increasing the flip angle, which increases the contrast between cartilage and synovial fluid and enables differentiation of subtle cartilage lesions [55]. 3D-DESS has been validated for

![Figure 5. Imaging differences at 3 and 7 T. (A & B) Show sagittal and axial images acquired at 7 T using a 28-channel coil. Markedly increased SNR is observed. (C & D) Representative of the same images acquired at 3 T. Increased field strength and improvements in coil technology allow for improved SNR and shorter scan times. SNR: Signal-to-noise ratio. Images courtesy of Ravinder Regatte (New York University, NY, USA).]
clinical use [26,32] and affords advantages, such as high SNR, high cartilage-to-fluid contrast, near-isotropic sections and reduced scan time when compared with 3D-SPGR.

Steady-state free precession
Steady-state free precession (SSFP) MRI techniques are hallmark by excellent synovial fluid-cartilage contrast. Balanced SSFP (bSSFP) is otherwise known as true fast imaging with steady-state precession, fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition and balanced fast field echo imaging. In all of these techniques, fluid is depicted with increased signal, while cartilage signal intensity is preserved, resulting in excellent contrast and diagnostic utility. All of these techniques are vulnerable to off-resonance artifacts secondary to field inhomogeneity, a susceptibility that has been recently combated by advances in coil technology enabling shorter TEs.

Several derivatives of SSFP exist. Fluctuating equilibrium MR (FEMR) is particularly useful for morphological assessment of cartilage of the knee [66]. FEMR generates contrast based on the ratio of T1/T2 in tissues. In the case of the knee, FEMR produces bright synovial fluid signal while maintaining high signal in cartilage and high SNR. Like bSSFP, FEMR is also susceptible to off-resonance artifacts. This vulnerability can be corrected with shimming technology or by combining FEMR sequences with fat-water separating techniques like IDEAL [35]. Another SSFP derivative, vastly undersampled isotropic projection (VIPR) imaging, combines bSSFP imaging with 3D radial k-space acquisition using isotropic spatial resolution and T2/T1-weighted contrast [23]. In VIPR, two radial lines are collected per TR, filling k-space more efficiently. Fat and water signals are separated with linear combinations of bSSFP, isotropic 3D image sections are obtained, and short TRs are used for fat-water separation (Figure 6). The advantages of VIPR are substantial; banding artifacts are reduced, high SNR is obtained, high contrast between tissues is achieved, and short acquisition times are possible [23].

3D FSE imaging
3D FSE techniques obtain isotropic images with proton density- or T1-weighted contrast. 3D FSE (Cube by GE Healthcare, VISTA by Philips, and SPACE by Siemens) utilizes a restore pulse and variable-flip-angle RF pulses applied along an echo train to produce a pseudo steady state. 3D FSE has been shown to demonstrate improved SNR and better SNR efficiency [22,57,58], but its clinical utility has not been validated [59–61]. Disadvantages of techniques such as SPACE and extended echo train acquisition include long acquisition time and diminished cartilage-to-fluid CNR [20,57].

Physiologic imaging of articular cartilage
More recently, MR technology has evolved to provide information about the physiological content of articular cartilage. These developments have been useful in identifying early damage and breakdown. Cartilage is a largely avascular tissue composed of chondrocytes, extracellular matrix, and solid components including type II collagen and proteoglycans [62,63]. Up to 85% of the extracellular matrix consists of water [63]. In OA, proteoglycan and collagen content are reduced [64]. This disrupts the collagen network and results in increased water content and matrix degradation. Newer methods of MRI exploit these macromolecule changes to provide a quantitative understanding of the breakdown process.

T2 mapping
T2 is constant for a given tissue at a given MR field strength [65]. In cartilage, changes in T2-relaxation times are dependent upon the quantity of water and the integrity of the proteoglycan–collagen matrix. Early damage to the collagen matrix results in an influx of water. This increased permeability generates stress throughout the matrix and subsequent degeneration and loss of cartilage tissue. These physiological changes are manifested as an increase in T2 signal. By measuring the spatial

Figure 6. A small cartilage fissure in the medial femoral condyle is shown with VIPR ATR images in the sagittal (A) and coronal (B) planes. Images courtesy of Rick Kijowski (University of Wisconsin, WI, USA).
distribution of $T_2$-relaxation times throughout articular cartilage, areas of increased or decreased water content (which generally correlate with cartilage damage) can be identified. In these studies, technique is particularly important \[66,67\]. Generally, a multiecho SE is used to shorten scan time and signal levels are fitted to one or more decaying exponentials, depending upon whether more than one $T_2$ distribution is anticipated in the tissue \[67\]. However, imperfect refocusing pulses may skew $T_2$ measurements, resulting in regional variation. Following regional assessment, an image of $T_2$-relaxation times is generated with either a color or grayscale map (Figure 7).

$T_2$ mapping software is currently commercially available, allowing for simple implementation on most imaging systems.

Several in vivo studies have shown $T_2$-relaxation times to correlate with collagen matrix and water changes \[66,68–70\]. As collagen degradation occurs, water molecule content and motion increases. This results in high signal intensity on $T_2$-weighted images \[71,72\] and elevated $T_2$-relaxation times \[73,74\]. These results have been mimicked in animal models, where strong correlations between $T_2$ elevation and histological indicators of cartilage degeneration have been observed \[75–82\].

**$T_1$rho mapping**

$T_1$rho mapping is sensitive to the macromolecule content of tissue and therefore very effective in visualizing early changes in OA \[83,84\]. In $T_1$rho, magnetization is tipped into the transverse plane and 'spin-locked' by a constant RF field. When proteoglycan depletion occurs in the earliest phases of OA, the physiochemical interactions in the macromolecule environment are disrupted and $T_1$rho allows measurement of the interaction between motion-restricted water molecules and their extracellular environment \[85\]. Elevated $T_1$rho relaxation times have been measured in osteoarthritic knee cartilage when compared with normal cartilage (Figure 8B & 8E) \[86–88\].

While $T_1$rho represents a promising new technique for evaluating early cartilage damage, it is not without its disadvantages. At field strengths greater than 3.0 T, the large RF power applied in preparation for the spin-lock pulse
may result in heating of tissues and problems with specific absorption rate [16]. Lowering the spin lock frequency can lower the RF power deposition, but reduce the effectiveness of the spin lock [89]. There is some controversy as to the specificity of T$_1$rho for proteoglycan in vivo [90], but it remains a promising technique for detection of early cartilage matrix change.

### Sodium imaging

Sodium MRI exploits the concept of negative fixed charged density within the extracellular matrix of cartilage. Like $^1$H, $^{23}$Na has an odd number of protons or neutrons and therefore possesses a net nuclear spin. Unlike $^1$H, the Larmor frequency of $^{23}$Na is much lower (11.262 vs 42.575 MHz/T) [91] as is the concentration in the human body (320 µM). T$_2$-relaxation times are also lower, and range from 2 to 10 ms for $^{23}$Na [92]. Despite these differences, $^{23}$Na imaging is a promising technique for cartilage evaluation. In healthy cartilage, high concentrations of positively charged $^{23}$Na are associated with the negatively charged glycosaminoglycan (GAG) side chains, which contain a plethora of negatively charged glycosaminoglycan (GAG) side chains, which contain a plethora of negatively charged carboxyl and sulfate groups. When proteoglycan depletion occurs in cartilage damage, GAGs are damaged and sodium signals decline [93–95]. As such, $^{23}$Na imaging represents a potentially useful means of differentiating early stage degenerated cartilage and normal tissue [93].

Sodium imaging is quite promising, but limitations exist. Spatial variation of sodium occurs in healthy cartilage [93] and the relatively low concentration of $^{23}$Na in vivo results in an overall lower signal intensity. Consequently, either increased field strengths or increased imaging time is necessary to generate adequate SNR [96]. In addition, special transmit and receive coils are required to accommodate the lower concentration, lower resonant frequency and shorter T$_2$-relaxation times of $^{23}$Na (Figure 8C & 8F).

### dGEMRIC

Delayed gadolinium enhanced MRI of cartilage, like sodium imaging, also relies on the principle of fixed charge density. Ions in the extracellular fluid are distributed in relation to the concentration of negatively charged GAGs, which is a reflection of the quantity of proteoglycan content in cartilage. Accordingly, extracellular fluid has a lower concentration of anions and higher concentration of cations than are present in blood or synovial fluid. The difference between anion and cation concentrations in extracellular fluid is equal to the fixed charge density [97]. Gd(DTPA)$_2$- is a clinically approved contrast agent that can be used to indirectly measure fixed charge density. Following intravenous injection of Gd(DTPA)$_2$-, the patient typically exercises the joint for 10 min to ensure adequate distribution and penetration of cartilage. Depending on location, images are obtained between 30 and 180 min following injection [98] to allow for cartilage penetration; hence the term ‘delayed’ [99]. The negatively charged Gd(DTPA)$_2$- molecules accumulate in high concentration in areas lacking in GAG and in low concentrations in GAG-rich regions. Subsequent imaging using 3D SPGR pulse sequences with variable flip angles [100], bSSFP, or T$_1$ generates a GAG distribution. This T$_1$ measurement is referred to as the dGEMRIC index; regions with low T$_1$ signal correspond to a low dGEMRIC index, which indicates high Gd(DTPA)$_2$- penetration and greater GAG depletion. The dGEMRIC index has been shown to be affected by factors, such as exercise [101], body mass index [102], and early-stage OA [103]. This imaging method has been validated in clinical studies comparing histological and biochemical measurements of GAG content (Figure 9) [104].

Obvious disadvantages of dGEMRIC include the administration of an intravenous, gadolinium-based contrast agent. Approved for clinical use, the ideal dose of Gd(DTPA)$_2$- is still under debate [105] and there have been reports linking gadolinium-based contrast media...
to nephrogenic systemic fibrosis in patients with moderate to severe renal impairment [106–111]. In addition, both the delay between injection and image acquisition are an inconvenience to the patient and may necessitate correction for motion artifacts [16]. Finally, dGEMRIC relies on the underlying assumption that cartilage is fully penetrated by the contrast agent, which may vary with the conversion of $T_1$ to Gd(DTPA)2-concentration and tissue cellularity in young or engineered tissue samples. In spite of these limitations, dGEMRIC offers a valuable and validated approach in investigating cartilage health and pathology.

↑ Ultrasound TE imaging

Ultrasound TE (uTE) imaging takes advantage of the range of $T_2$ values characteristic of different human tissues. Conventional MR scanners generally use TEs greater than 10 ms to obtain $T_2$-weighted imaging. This protocol is appropriate for tissues having long $T_2$ values, such as the liver or white matter. Musculoskeletal tissues such as ligaments, tendons, menisci, cortical bone and periosium have significantly shorter $T_2$ values, ranging from hundreds of microseconds to tens of milliseconds [13,112]. Consequently, this rapid decay results in little or no signal when traditional $T_2$-weighted imaging is performed. uTE imaging sequences use TEs that are 20–50-times shorter than conventional $T_2$ sequences [12,113,114]. The advantages here are twofold: high signal is acquired from tissues that typically produce little to no signal and increased signal sensitivity allows detection of changes that indicate layers or defects of articular cartilage and identification of meniscal zones (Figure 10).

Ultrashort echo times shortcomings include lengthened scan times and difficulty in slice selection. Technically, uTE challenges include slice profile distortion, errors in radial k-space trajectories, and off-resonance [115–117]. Despite these drawbacks, uTE represents a promising technique for imaging fibrocartilage and cortical bone in musculoskeletal tissues.

↑ DWI

Diffusion-weighted imaging is based on the motion of water molecules. Composing 65–85% of the extracellular matrix in cartilage, water is an integral part of cartilage structure and its motion is directly influenced by the macromolecule environment. The magnitude and direction of local tissue diffusivity is influenced by intracellular and extracellular barriers. In DWI, multiple diffusion-sensitizing gradients are applied. Diffusion weighting, expressed as the b-value, depends on the amplitude and timing of these gradients. In response to these gradients, water accrues a random amount of phase and does not

Figure 10. Images of the meniscus acquired using ultrashort echo times at the decreasing echo times: 45 ms (A), 30 ms (B), 15 ms (C), 16 ms (D), 12 ms (E) and 8 ms (F). Cartilaginous and fibrous components, particularly at the tissue periphery, are unmasked with the use of ultrashort echo times. This is particularly evident when comparing (A & F). Images courtesy of Christine Chung (University of California San Diego, CA, USA).

Figure 11. Diffusion-weighted imaging in patellar cartilage in vivo. (A) Uses high diffusion weighting. (B) Uses low diffusion weighting. Both imaging techniques afford high resolution, high signal-to-noise ratios, and the ability to calculate apparent diffusion coefficients. Images courtesy of Ernesto Staroswiecki and Brian Hargreaves (Stanford University, CA, USA).
Refocus, resulting in signal loss in tissues where diffusion occurs \([99]\). In healthy cartilage, the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is low and diffusion times are long because intact cartilage components restrict the motion of water. When the matrix is disrupted, however, water molecules move more freely, increasing the ADC of cartilage \([118]\). Following acquisition, an ADC map is generated. It is important to note that the term ‘apparent’ is used because these values reflect only the bulk water and do not account for the water protons restricted by tissue membranes (Figure 11) \([99]\). Recently, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has emerged as a more advanced form of DWI capable of obtaining directionality and magnitude measurements of water diffusion. In DTI, diffusion anisotropy effects are obtained, characterized, and employed to provide information about tissue microstructure; in musculoskeletal MRI to date, this has been particularly useful in determining muscle fiber orientation \([119,120]\) and articular cartilage degeneration \([121]\).

Diffusion-weighted imaging and DTI offer unique insight into cartilage structure and orientation. However, these techniques can be difficult in vivo, as cartilage signal is maximized at short TEs and diffusion-sensitizing gradients increase TE and motion sensitivity \([99]\). Additionally, single-shot techniques are limited by low SNR and spatial resolution \([99]\); multiple acquisitions partially remedy these challenges but necessitate motion correction (Table 1) \([122]\).

### Future perspective

Many variables are important to weigh when considering the development and trajectory of future MR technology and research. Ideally, a single MR cartilage examination could provide information about morphological structure and physiological content. However, in reality this understanding arises from a composite of imaging techniques. From a patient perspective, reducing scan time, limiting the use of contrast agents, and improving both feasibility and affordability of MR exams is paramount. In the research realm, priorities include improving SNR, minimizing artifacts, and overcoming field inhomogeneities. Future progress in clinical MR technology will hinge on the marriage of these agendas. Growth in postimage processing techniques could enhance the utility of existing sequences. Developments in physiological imaging may include improvements in \(^{23}\text{Na}\) coil sensitivity, new methods for detection of cartilage macromolecules, such as chemical exchange saturation transfer \([123]\), or improved imaging of short T2 tissues, such as the meniscus. Morphologically, developing techniques like SEMAC or MAVRIC to image patients with metallic implants will improve depiction near these structures. Given the promise of increased SNR, improved image resolution and decreased scan times at high magnetic fields, MRI of articular cartilage will continue to improve in detail and sensitivity. Eventually, MRI may provide the basis for detection of cartilage damage at an early, perhaps reversible stage.

### Table 1. Summary of discussed sequences and their imaging applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2D SE, FSE</td>
<td>Cartilage defects, clinical assessment, menisci and ligaments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D SPGR</td>
<td>Cartilage thickness and volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D SSFP</td>
<td>Cartilage thickness and volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D DESS</td>
<td>Cartilage thickness and volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>Minimize artifact distortion, image around metal implants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAVRIC</td>
<td>Cartilage structure and orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEMAC</td>
<td>Cartilage structure and orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_2)rho</td>
<td>GAG content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_1) mapping</td>
<td>Collagen orientation and organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dGEMRIC</td>
<td>GAG content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>GAG content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uTE</td>
<td>Improve visualization of tissues with short (T_2)-relaxation times: ligaments, tendons, menisci, cortical bone, periosium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWI/DTI</td>
<td>Cartilage structure and orientation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESS: Dual-echo steady state; dGEMRIC: Delayed gadolinium enhanced MRI of cartilage; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DWI: Diffusion-weighted imaging; FSE: Fast spin echo; GAG: Glycosaminoglycan; MAVRIC: Multiple-acquisition with variable resonances image combination; SE: Spin echo; SEMAC: Slice encoding for metal artifact correction; SPGR: Spoiled gradient recalled echo; SSFP: Steady-state free precession; uTE: Ultrashort echo time; VAT: View-angle tilting.
Conclusion
MRI is a powerful tool for imaging and understanding cartilage structure, integrity, and pathology. Existing technology is roughly divided into techniques intended for evaluating cartilage morphology and those intended for measuring cartilage physiology and macromolecule content. A comprehensive understanding of both of these components is essential for clinical diagnosis and treatment of many musculoskeletal disorders.
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Executive summary

Conventional MR
- T₁-weighted, T₂-weighted and proton density imaging techniques provide contrast by exploiting tissue-specific relaxation times.
- Current standard for cartilage imaging is 2D spin echo or fast spin echo.
- Recent improvements have focused on increasing signal-to-noise ratio and diminishing artifact.
- 3D FSE eliminates slice gaps and anisotropic voxels by obtaining thin, isotropic slices.
- Fat suppression and water excitation allow the selective removal of certain proton signals to enhance contrast in surrounding tissues.
- Iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least-squares estimation, short T₁ inversion recovery time inversion recovery, prepolarized MRI and metal artifact reduction techniques such as view angle tilting, slice, encoding for metal artifact correction and multiple acquisition with variable resonances image combination are used to overcome field inhomogeneities.
- Imaging at higher field strengths such as 3T or 7T increases image resolution and decreases scan time.

Morphologic imaging of cartilage
- Morphological imaging assesses structure integrity. Currently, the gold standard is 3D spoiled gradient recalled echo imaging with fat suppression. This technique acquires near-isotropic voxels and dramatically improves resolution.
- Several other techniques are used to improve structural evaluation of cartilage.
- Driven equilibrium Fourier transform heightens synovial fluid signal and diminishes cartilage signal, providing excellent contrast.
- Dual-echo steady state imaging heightens cartilage and synovial fluid signals, which improves morphological assessment.
- Steady-state free precession imaging techniques like balanced steady-state free precession, true fast imaging with steady-state precession, fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition, fluctuating equilibrium MR and vastly undersampled isotropic projection are used to increase contrast between fluid and cartilage signals.
- 3D FSE obtains isotropic voxels, which improves signal-to-noise ratio and signal-to-noise ratio efficiency.

Physiological imaging of cartilage
- Newer MRI methods probe the macromolecule content of cartilage in an attempt to quantitatively assess early tissue damage.
- T₁ mapping identifies areas of high or low water content by measuring T₁-relaxation times throughout a tissue. Increased water content has been correlated with damage to the collagen matrix.
- T₁rho imaging measures transverse plane magnetization and the interaction between motion-restricted water molecules and their surrounding environment. Increases in these values have been shown to correlate with osteoarthritis.
- Sodium and delayed gadolinium enhanced MRI of cartilage imaging techniques use the principle of fixed charge density to measure proteoglycan depletion in cartilage.
- Ultrafast echo time imaging dramatically shortens echo times to enhance the signal from tissues with short T₂-relaxation times.
- Diffusion-weighted and diffusion-tensor imaging techniques provide insight into cartilage health by evaluation the movement of water molecules within the tissue.

Future perspective
- While it would be ideal to design an imaging technique capable of simultaneously assessing cartilage morphology and physiology, current limitations require a composite of imaging techniques to obtain this information. Decreases in scan time and improvements in detail and sensitivity will likely remain the primary objectives of further advancements in musculoskeletal MRI.
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