Abiraterone acetate is an oral inhibitor of the cytochrome P450C17 (CYP17) complex. It inhibits the production of androgens by interfering with the enzymes C17a hydroxylase and C17-C20 lyase. It was tested in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer and showed promising results in Phase I and II studies with prostate specific antigen and radiological responses. In a randomized Phase III trial, AA in combination with prednisone increased median overall survival compared with prednisone alone in progressive docetaxel-pretreated patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Side effects of AA were mild with urinary tract infections, edema and hypokalemia when used in combination with prednisone. There was an influence on pharmacokinetic parameters in patients with renal and moderate hepatic failure without severe clinical complications and no dose adjustments are necessary. AA is a potent inhibitor of CYP2D6 and CYP1A2, and can interact with the metabolism of other drugs as shown in ‘in vitro’ studies.
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Abiraterone acetate (AA), after conversion in vivo to abiraterone, is a selective, irreversible inhibitor of CYP P450 17a (17α-hydroxylase/C17–20 lyase; CYP17). This enzyme complex is important for the conversion of cholesterol into cortisol and the subsequent biosynthesis of androgens such as testosterone. As a consequence, the production of testosterone is blocked in all tissues by AA while the production of other steroid hormones (e.g., cortisol) is decreased (Figure 1). Owing to the negative feedback mechanism by steroids, there is an increased production of adrenocorticotropic hormone in the pituitary gland. This results in an increase of upstream hormones that can lead to arterial hypertension, hypokalemia and fluid retention [1]. The feedback mechanism can be inhibited by the concomitant administration of low dose glucocorticosteroids.

Castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is defined as prostate cancer that progresses under complete androgen deprivation by hormonal or surgical castration, in combination with an antiandrogen and after secondary antiandrogen withdrawal.

In order to be diagnosed with CRPC, patients should have been on antiandrogen withdrawal for at least 4 weeks and their testosterone level should be <50 ng/dl or <1.7 nmol/l; there should be a prostate specific antigen (PSA) progression despite secondary hormonal manipulations defined as three consecutive rises of PSA, 1 week apart, resulting in two 50% increases over the nadir with a PSA level >2 ng/ml, or progression of osseous lesions defined as the appearance of two or more lesions on bone scan, or soft tissue lesions using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours.
LH: Luteinizing hormone; AA: abiraterone acetate; tHSD: 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase.

Figure 1. Mechanism of action of abiraterone acetate.

Abiraterone acetate in the treatment of metastatic prostate cancer

Since 2004, first-line treatment of CRPC was by docetaxel and prednisone, resulting in a survival benefit, while there was no standard second-line cytoreductive. More recently, several trials have been performed in the second-line treatment of CRPC after docetaxel and effective chemotherapy (e.g., cabazitaxel-prednisone), immunotherapeutic (sipuleucel-T) and hormonal (e.g., AA) treatments with improvement in overall survival were approved as second-line treatment in this patient population. This review focuses on the development of AA.

Phase I results

A Phase I dose escalation study with single agent AA was performed in 21 patients with progressive CRPC without previous chemotherapy or radiotherapy. They were treated in five dose levels starting from 250 mg AA per day to 2000 mg AA/day in three patient cohorts. There were no treatment-related grade 3 or 4 toxicities and a plateau of endocrine effects was reported at doses greater than 750 mg/day. Based on safety, endocrinologic and pharmacokinetic parameters, a dose of 1000 mg/day of AA was selected for Phase II studies. The first stage ended after the evaluation of 20 patients, with continued accrual to the second stage if more than one patient had a PSA response of 50% or more after 12 weeks. The primary end point of the study, was observed in 67% and the median time to PSA progression (TTP) for all Phase II patients was 225 days (95% CI: 162–287 days). Independent radiologic evaluation showed a partial response in 37.5% of 24 Phase II patients with measurable disease.

Phase II results

An extension of the first Phase I study was performed and 42 patients with progressive treatment-naive CRPC were treated with 1000 mg AA/day in the Phase II part. A two-stage design was used to reject an obsolete treatment defined as <10% of patients showing a PSA response of 50% or more after 12 weeks. The partial response rate in 37.5% of 24 Phase II patients was 225 days (95% CI: 162–287 days). Improved Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status was seen in 28% of patients.

Phase III results

Based on the Phase II results, a randomized Phase III study was initiated comparing AA in combination with prednisone to placebo plus prednisone as second-line treatment in patients with progressive CRPC, pretreated with docetaxel. Patients had histologically or cytologically confirmed prostate cancer, disease progression defined as two consecutive increases in PSA, and fluid retention. A total of 58 patients with progressive metastatic CRPC (mCRPC) and pretreated with docetaxel, received 1000 mg/day of AA in combination with prednisone (2 × 5 mg/day). Twenty-seven (47%) patients had received prior ketoconazole. A ≥50% decline in PSA was observed in 36% of patients (45% in 31 ketoconazole-naive; 26% of 27 ketoconazole-pretreated patients) with a TTP of 169 days (95% CI: 82–200 days). The partial response rate in 22 patients with RECIST-eligible target lesions was 18%. Improved Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status was seen in 28% of patients.

This combination was well tolerated and grade 3 fatigue was observed in 2% of patients. The association with prednisone prevented the occurrence of significant hypertension or hypokalemia. In these Phase II studies, PSA responses and radiological responses were seen that were higher than reported in historical series. The radiological responses especially showed the possibility of a successful molecule. In addition, the side effect profile was tolerable and when corticosteroids were given in combination with AA, the mineralocorticoid syndrome could be prevented. This was the rationale to combine AA with prednisone in the Phase III design. However, the syndrome could also be treated with eplerenone. Since toxicities of prednisone may occur when treated with prednisone is long, the opportunity to evaluate AA without prednisone has been missed.

A second Phase II trial looked at the combination of AA and prednisone. Prednisone was added to reduce the symptoms associated with secondary hyperaldosteronism such as hypertension, hypokalemia and fluid retention. A total of 58 men with progressive metastatic CRPC (mCRPC) and pretreated with docetaxel, received 1000 mg/day of AA in combination with prednisone (2 × 5 mg/day). Twenty-seven (47%) patients had received prior ketoconazole. A ≥50% decline in PSA was observed in 36% of patients (45% in 31 ketoconazole-naive; 26% of 27 ketoconazole-pretreated patients) with a TTP of 169 days (95% CI: 82–200 days). The partial response rate in 22 patients with RECIST-eligible target lesions was 18%. Improved Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status was seen in 28% of patients.

This combination was well tolerated and grade 3 fatigue was observed in 2% of patients. The association with prednisone prevented the occurrence of significant hypertension or hypokalemia. In these Phase II studies, PSA responses and radiological responses were seen that were higher than reported in historical series. The radiological responses especially showed the possibility of a successful molecule. In addition, the side effect profile was tolerable and when corticosteroids were given in combination with AA, the mineralocorticoid syndrome could be prevented. This was the rationale to combine AA with prednisone in the Phase III design. However, the syndrome could also be treated with eplerenone. Since toxicities of prednisone may occur when treated with prednisone is long, the opportunity to evaluate AA without prednisone has been missed.

Since toxicities of prednisone may occur when treated with prednisone is long, the opportunity to evaluate AA without prednisone has been missed.

In these Phase II studies, PSA responses and radiological responses were seen that were higher than reported in historical series. The radiological responses especially showed the possibility of a successful molecule. In addition, the side effect profile was tolerable and when corticosteroids were given in combination with AA, the mineralocorticoid syndrome could be prevented. This was the rationale to combine AA with prednisone in the Phase III design. However, the syndrome could also be treated with eplerenone. Since toxicities of prednisone may occur when treated with prednisone is long, the opportunity to evaluate AA without prednisone has been missed.

In these Phase II studies, PSA responses and radiological responses were seen that were higher than reported in historical series. The radiological responses especially showed the possibility of a successful molecule. In addition, the side effect profile was tolerable and when corticosteroids were given in combination with AA, the mineralocorticoid syndrome could be prevented. This was the rationale to combine AA with prednisone in the Phase III design. However, the syndrome could also be treated with eplerenone. Since toxicities of prednisone may occur when treated with prednisone is long, the opportunity to evaluate AA without prednisone has been missed.
hypothesis about pain and skeletal-related events. During the study, pain was assessed at baseline and at each treatment cycle until discontinuation using the Brief Pain Intensity SF questionnaire. Palliation/progression of pain intensity and pain interference was consistently in favor of AA resulting in a significantly improved pain outcome with fewer patients progressing in palliation/progression of pain intensity and pain interference: 12% vs 28%, 20 vs 31%, 12 (30 vs 38%, 28 vs 32%) and 18 months (35 vs 46%, 30 vs 35%). Also, time to skeletal-related events (pathologic fracture, spinal cord compression or palliative radiation/bone surgery) was longer in the AA arm compared with placebo (201 vs 150 days; p = 0.0006) (2).

Treatments with the combination of AA plus prednisone resulted in more patients tolerating the 1000 mg/day schedule with side effects observed in at least 10% of patients were fatigue (44%), fluid retention and edema (31%), back pain (30%), nausea (30%), arthralgia (27%), constipation (26%), bone pain (25%), vomiting (21%), diarrhea (18%), hypokalemia (17%), pain in arm or leg (17%), asthma (13%), dyspepsia (13%), cardiac disorder (13%), abdominal pain (12%), urinary tract infection (12%), liver-function test abnormalities (10%) and hypertension (10%), while anemia was the only hematological toxicity seen in more than 10% of patients (23%). Grade 3 and 4 toxicities were rarely observed and those reported in at least 5% of patients in the AA plus prednisone arm were fatigue (8%), anemia (7%), back (6%) and bone pain (5%).

Side effects more frequently observed in the AA arm were urinary tract infections (23.2% vs p = 0.002), fluid retention and edema (31 vs 22%; p = 0.04), hypertension (10 vs 8%) and hypokalemia (17 vs 8%; p < 0.001) (2).

The study showed a clear benefit of patients treated with AA in combination with prednisone in terms of median overall survival. Treatment could continue if there was an increase in PSA only since a combined end point was used to discontinue treatment. This prevented the early discontinuation of a possible beneficial treatment and a PSA rise only should not be used in future trials as a stopping end point. Although there was the possibility of a crossover after the first positive results, the median overall survival benefit remained in the patient treated initially with AA. This may indicate that an early start of AA is indicated in patients with characteristics similar to the inclusion criteria. Since at the moment there are more treatment options for this patient population such as cabazitaxel chemotherapy, the selection which treatment should be used first is challenging. The moderate toxicity of AA may be an advantage in the mainly elderly patient population with mCRPC.

Special groups

To be included in the Phase I, II and III studies, patients had to have adequate renal function (<1.5 of the upper normal limit [UNL] or creatinine clearance > 60 ml/min) and liver function (serum bilirubin <1.5 UNL, aspartate aminotransferase or alanine transaminase < 1 UNL, or aspartate aminotransferase or alanine transaminase <3 UNL in case of liver metastases).

In a Phase I study that involved 33 patients with progressive CRPC, pharmacokinetic analyses showed that in patients treated with the 1000 mg/day schedule, AA was rapidly converted to abiraterone. Maximum drug concentrations (Cmax) were achieved within 1.5 h (mean; range 1.1–2.7 h) for patients with normal renal function (18%), and 219 ± 1096.9 nM/l in patients who had normal renal function (18%). Information regarding patients with renal insufficiency, liver function impairment and concomitant medication interfering with the P450 CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 have been published recently.

Renal insufficiency

In patients with end stage renal failure on dialysis and treated with a dose of 1000 mg/day AA, the systemic exposure to abiraterone was 35–45% lower than in patients with a normal renal function while other pharmacokinetic parameters were similar in both groups (Table 1). However, the tolerability of AA was similar between both groups (1).

Hepatic impairment

In patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment, based on the Child-Pugh (CP) classification, pharmacokinetic studies at the 1000 mg/day AA dose showed an increase in Cmax, AUC and median half-life in patients with moderate hepatic impairment (CP: 7–9), while only the half-life increased in patients with mild hepatic impairment (CP: 5–6) compared with normal patients (Table 1). The tolerability among groups was similar (10).

Drug–drug interaction

Since AA is a potent inhibitor of CYP2D6 and CYP1A2 in vitro, it may potentially interfere with drugs that are metabolized by these systems. CYP2D6 is responsible for the metabolism of drugs such as antiarrhythmics, adrenoceptor antagonists, and antidepressants. The CYP2D6 gene is highly polymorphic and its activity ranges widely from ultrarapid, extensive, intermediate and poor (PM) metabolizer phenotypes. Ultrarapid metabolizer phenotypes and PMs are most at risk for treatment failure or dose-dependent drug toxicity, respectively. Of the Caucasian populations of Europe and North America, 5–10% are of the PM phenotype and are unable to metabolize the anti-hypersensitive drug desirubisquine and numerous other drugs (11). Substrates for CYP2D6 are given in Box 1.

The influence of AA on CYP2D6 was evaluated by the metabolism of dextromethorphan (HR): There was a 100% higher exposure to dextromethorphan with the combination of AA, prednisone and dextromethorphan than with dextromethorphan alone based on the mean values for Cmax and AUC0–24. Although there were interference with the metabolism of dextromethorphan, no excessive toxicities were reported (9,10).

CYP1A2 is part of the CYP450 group of heme-thiolate mono-oxygenases and is involved in a

| PK parameter | Patients (n) | median T1/2 (range: h) | Cmax ± SD (ng/ml) | AUClast ± SD (ng·h/ml) | T1/2 ± SD (h) | Ref.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild hepatic impairment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.0 (0.5–3.0)</td>
<td>71.9 ± 40.2</td>
<td>355 ± 191</td>
<td>17.7 ± 7.91</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hepatic impairment</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5 (1.0–3.0)</td>
<td>297 ± 258</td>
<td>1530 ± 350</td>
<td>18.6 ± 5.04</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal hepatic function</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.75 (1.0–3.0)</td>
<td>85.7 ± 46.6</td>
<td>321 ± 166</td>
<td>13.1 ± 4.19</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired renal function</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal renal function</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>19.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal renal and hepatic function, fasted</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>510 ± 366.5 nM/l</td>
<td>14.4 ± 7.7</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal renal and hepatic function, fed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2394 ± 1096.9 nM/l</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| AUC0–24 | Area under the curve from the time of dosing to the last measurable concentration; Cmax | Maximum drug concentration; PK | Pharmacokinetic; T1/2 | Terminal half-life; T1/2 | Time of maximum observed concentration.

Table 1. Pharmacokinetic parameters of 1000 mg abiraterone acetate in different clinical situations.
Review: Clinical Trial Outcomes

Respiratory drugs (e.g., theophylline)

Local anesthetics (e.g., ropivacaine)

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g., erlotinib)

Cardiovascular medication (e.g., verapamil)

Antiemetics (e.g., ondansetron)

Antidepressants: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors

Anticoagulants (e.g., warfarin)

perhexiline)

tropisetron)

Antiemetics (e.g., minaprine)

Antidepressants: tricyclic (e.g., amitriptiline, imipramine)

Antidepressants: tetracyclic (e.g., mianserin)

Antidepressants: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (e.g., fluoxetine, sertraline)

Analgesics (e.g., codeine, phenacetin, oxycodone, tramadol)

participates in the bioactivation of carcinogenic aromatics. It oxidizes a variety of compounds, including steroids, fatty acids and xenobiotics. It is involved in the metabolism of caffeine, alloxan B1, acetaminophen, phenacetin, and heterocyclic amines and participates in the bioactivation of carcinogenic aromatic and heterocyclic amines. The CYP1A2*1F allele is quite common (40–50%) owing to a substitution of a base in the non-coding region of the gene.

Caffeine

Cardiovascular medication (e.g., verapamil)

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g., erlotinib)

Local anesthetics (e.g., ropivacaine)

Respiratory drugs (e.g., theophylline)

The influence of AA on CYP1A2 was evaluated by the metabolism of theophylline, a substrate of the enzyme system. There was no interference with the metabolism of theophylline. These findings show that AA can interfere with the metabolism of certain drugs and drug-drug interaction should be taken into account when using drugs metabolized by these enzyme systems.

Conclusion

AA has a specific activity blocking the conversion of testosterone by interfering with the CYP17 system. In combination with prednisone, AA has shown activity in patients with mCRPC who have received docetaxel-based chemotherapy with an improvement in median overall survival compared with prednisone alone. Side effects were mild and treatment was supported well. It was shown that AA can be safely used in patients with renal insufficiency, while in patients with severe liver disease its use should be further studied. There are also possible drug-drug interactions and the combination with drugs metabolized by the same enzyme systems should be used with caution. The drug has been registered in the USA, Europe and other regions for the treatment of patients with mCRPC who have received chemotherapy containing docetaxel and a study in chemotherapy-naive patients with prostate cancer. AA is an important addition to the treatment of patients with prostate cancer.

Future perspective

AA is approved for the treatment of patients with mCRPC who have received chemotherapy containing docetaxel. The COU-AA-302 (NCT00887918) was studying patients with mCRPC not pretreated with docetaxel. The study was unblinded by the Independent Data Monitoring Committee in March 2012 after review of the efficacy and safety outcomes of the pre-specified COU-AA-302 interim analysis. The Independent Data Monitoring Committee concluded that the overall survival, radiographic progression-free survival and secondary end point outcomes all pointed to a highly significant advantage in favor of the AA arm. The interim analysis also confirmed the tolerability and acceptable safety profile of AA. After publication of these results, AA may have a place immediately after the development of castration resistance instead of chemotherapy due to its beneficial toxicity profile compared with chemotherapy. Other hormonal treatments are being tested in patients with CRPC and may compete with AA in the future. Based on this indication, chemotherapy will have a place in the treatment of mCRPC after hormonal manipulations. If chemotherapy alone or in combination with these newer molecules provides the best results remains to be determined.

Breast cancer is also a hormone-sensitive disease in many cases and AA is currently being tested in women with hormone-sensitive breast cancer. A Phase II study is comparing AA plus oral prednisone and AA plus oral prednisone plus oral exemestane with oral exemestane alone in postmenopausal women with estrogen receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer, who relapsed after treatment with letrozole or anastrozole.
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Executive summary

Introduction

Abiraterone acetate (AA) is an oral inhibitor of the CYP 17 enzyme complex, blocking the production of testosterone and other androgens. Prostate cancer is a hormone-sensitive cancer and AA was tested in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC).

Phase I results

In Phase I studies, single agent AA was tested in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer before and after docetaxel-based treatment. The recommended dose for Phase II testing was 1000 mg/day. Main toxicities were hypertension and hypokalemia. Prostate specific and radiological responses were observed in the Phase I studies.

Phase II results

In Phase II studies, AA showed responses in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer without and with docetaxel pretreatment. The prostate specific antigen (PSA) nadir was between 36–67% and the radiological partial response rate between 18–37.5%. The association with prednisone prevented the occurrence of significant hypertension or hypokalemia.

Phase III results

In a randomized Phase III study in 1195 patients with mCRPC and pretreated with docetaxel, AA in combination with prednisone increased overall survival from 10.9–14.8 months with a reduction of the risk of death of 35% (Hazard Ratio: 0.646).

Special groups

There was an influence on pharmacokinetics in patients with end-stage renal failure and moderate impaired hepatic function. AA might influence the pharmacokinetics of drugs metabolized with the CYP2D6 and CYP1A2 systems.

Conclusion

AA is an important addition to the treatment of patients with mCRPC who have received chemotherapy containing docetaxel.
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